LETTERS

OF

JUNIUS.

STAT NOM INIS THERA

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR J. MUNDELL & CO. EDINSPECE;
AND FOR
J. MUNDELL, COLLEGE, GLASGOW;

1798.-

Mr Corotters

CONTENTS

DIDICATION to the English N LETTER I. Junius to the Printer of the Public Advertifer. LETTER IL Sir William Draper's answer to Junios, LEWIS HE WAS THE PARTY Tuning to Sir William Draper, LETTER IV. Sir William Drapes to Junius, .. LETTER V. Man of Man To Sir William To his Grace the Duke

To his Grace the Du

LETTER XII.	Page.
To his Grace the Duke of Grafton	52
LETTER XIII.	
Philo Junius to the Printer of the Public Advertiser,	58
LETTER XIV.	
Philo Junius to the Printer of the Public Advertiser,	60
LETTER XV.	
To his Grace the Duke of Grafton,	63.
LETTER XVI.	
To the Printer of the Public Advertiser,	68
LETTER XVII.	
Philo Junius to the Printer of the Public Advertiser	72
LETTER XVIII.	
To Sir Wm. Blackstone, Solicitor-General to her Maj	efty, 74
LETTER XIX.	
Philo Junius to the Printer of the Public Advertiser	78
LETTER XX.	
Junius to the Printer of the Public Advertiser,	85
LETTER XXI.	
To the Printer of the Public Advertiser,	90
LETTER XXII.	
Philo Junius to the Printer of the Public Advertiser	91
LETTER XXIII.	
Junius to the Duke of Bedford,	94
LETTER XXIV.	
Sir William Desper to Junius,	lot

e.

12

8

io

3

8

12

4

8

3

A

1

36

F

J

P

J

₽

P

P

LETTER XXXVIIL	Page
To the Printer of the Public Advertiser,	152
LETTER XXXIX.	
To the Printer of the Public Advertiser,	4
LETTER XL.	157
To Lord North,	166
	100
LETTER XLI. To the Right Honourable Lord Mansfield, -	-
	168
LETTER XLIF.	Did.
To the Printer of the Public Advertiser,	176
LETTER XLIII.	
Philo Junius to the Printer of the Public Advertiser,	183
LETTER XLIV.	
Junius to the Printer of the Public Advertiser,	186
LETTER XLV.	
Philo Junius to the Printer of the Public Advertises,	195
LETTER XLVI.	
Philo Junius to the Printer of the Public Advertiser,	194
LETTER XLVII.	
Philo Junius to the Printer of the Public Advertiser,	196
LETTER XLVIII.	
Philo Junios to the Printer of the Public Advertiser,	197
LETTER XLIX.	
Junius to the Duke of Grafton,	200
LETTER 1:	
To his Grace the Duke of Grafton,	203
	203

	Page.
LETTER LI.	
From the Rev. Mr. Horne to Junius, -	207
LETTER LIL	
Jonius to the Rev. Mr. Horne,	200
LETTER LIIL	
From the Rev. Mr. Horne to Junius, -	211
LETTER LIV.	1.12
Junius to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, -	221
LETTER LV.	s diam's
Philo Junius to the Printer of the Public Advertiser,	229
LETTER LVI.	
The Rev. Mr. Horne to Junius,	231
LETTER LVII.	10.704
Junius to his Grace the Duke of Grafton,	232
LETTER LVIII.	
Addressed to the Livery of London,	237
LETTER LIX.	
To the Printer of the Public Advertiser,	239
LETTER LX.	
Philo Junius to the Printer of the Public Advertises	, 246
LETTER LXI.	
Philo Junius to Zeno,	248
LETTER LXII.	
Philo Junius to an Advocate in the Cause of the Peop	ole, 253
LETTER LXIII.	
Observations by a Friend of Junius, in answer to	

8.

5.

Mark The Control of t	
LETTER LXIV.	age.
Declarations in behalf of Junius,	256
LETTER LXV.	
Junius to Lord Chief Justice Mansfield,	258
LETTER LXVI.	
Junius engages to make good the charge against Lord Mansfield,	259
LETTER LXVII.	[מו
Junius to the Duke of Grafton,	ib.
CETTER LXVIII.	
To Lord Chief Juffice Mansfield,	262
LETTER LXIX.	357
To the Right Honourable Lord Camden,	281
Norms,	287
INDEX,	303

t t t t t t t t t t

DEDICATION

the fitting of the second seco

TO THE

ENGLISH NATION.

change and 120 km of the will be a made in the contract I DEDICATE to you a collection of letters, write: ten by one of yourselves for the common benefit of us all. They would never have grown to this fize, without your continued encouragement and applause. To me they originally owe nothing but a healthy, fanguine conftitution. Under your care they have thriven. To you they are indebted for whatever strength or beauty they posies. When Kings and Ministers are forgotten, when the force and direction of personal satire is no longer understood, and when measures are only felt in their remotest consequences, this book will, I believe, be found to contain principles worthy to be transmitted to posterity. When you leave the unimpaired hereditary freshold to your children, you do but half your duty. Both liberty and property are precarious, unless the possessors have fense and spirit enough to defend them. This is not the language of vanity. If I am a vain man, my gratification lies within a narrow circle. I am the fole depositary of my own fecret, and it shall perish with me.

If an honest, and, I may truly affirm, a laborious zero for the public service, has given me any weight in your esteem, let me exhort and conjure you never to suffer an invasion of your political constitution, however minute the instance may appear, to pass by, without a determined, persevering resistance. One precedent creates another.—They soon accumulate, and constitute law. What yesterday was fact, to day is doctrine. Examples are supposed to justify the most dangerous measured a and where they do not suit exactly, the defect is supplied by analogy. Be affured that the laws, which protect us in our civil rights, grow out of the constitution, and that

they must fall or flourish with it. This is not the cause of faction or of party, or of any individual, but the common interest of every man in Britain. Although the King should continue to support his present system of government, the period is not very distant at which you will have the means of redress in your own power. It may be nearer perhaps than any of us expect, and I would warn you to be prepared for it. The King may possibly be advised to dissolve the present parliament a year or two before it expires of course, and precipitate a new election, in hopes of taking the nation by surprise. If such a measure be in agitation, this very caution may de-

a

fe

V

CI

tr

li

al

u

CC

ye

fle

m

72

th

th

to

pr

tio

op

pai

ver

fibi

tio

aní

wit

best

feat or prevent it.

I cannot doubt that you will unanimously affert the freedom of election, and vindicate your exclusive right to choose your representatives. But other questions have been flarted, on which your determination should be equally clear and unanimous. Let it be impressed upon your minds, let it be instilled into your children, that the liberty of the press is the palladium of all the civil, political, and religious rights of an Englishman; and that the right of juries to return a general verdict, in all cases whatfoever, is an effential part of our constitution, not to be controyled or limited by the judges, nor in any shape questionable by the legislature. The power of King, Lords, and Commons, is not an arbitrary power 2. They are the trustees, not the owners of the estate. The feesimple is in us. They cannot alienate, they cannot waste. When we fay that the legislature is supreme, we mean, that it is the highest power known to the constitution; that it is the highest in comparison with the other subordinate powers established by the laws. In this sense, the word fupreme is relative, not absolute. The power of the legislature is limited, not only by the general rules of natural justice, and the welfare of the community, but by the forms and principles of our particular constitution. If this doctrine be not true, we must admit that King, Lords, and Commons have no rule to direct their refolutions, but merely their own will and pleasure. They and hands, and diffulve the constitution by an act of parliament. But Lam parfuaded you will not leave it to

the choice of seven hundred persons, notoriously corrupted by the Crown, whether seven millions of their equals shall be freemen or slaves. The certainty of for-feiting their own rights, when they sacrifice those of the nation, is no check to a brutal, degenerate mind. Without insisting upon the extravagant concession made to Harry the Eighth, there are instances, in the history of other countries, of a formal, deliberate surrender of the public liberty into the hands of the Sovereign. If England does not share the same sate, it is because we have better resources than in the virtue of either house of

parliament.

.

C

f

of.

ıt

1-

ir

y

10

of

to

I faid that the liberty of the press is the palladium of all your rights, and that the right of the juries to return a general verdict, is part of your constitution. To preferve the whole fystem, you must correct your legislature. With regard to any influence of the constituent over the conduct of the representative; there is little difference between a feat in parliament for feven years, and a feat for The prospect of your resentment is too remote; and although the last session of a septennial parliament be usually employed in courting the favour of the people, confider, that at this rate your representatives have fix years for offence, and but for one atonement. A deathbed repentance feldom reaches to restitution. If you reflect, that in the changes of administration which have marked and difgraced the present reign, although your warmest patriots have in their turn been invested with the lawful and unlawful authority of the crown, and though other reliefs or improvements have been held forth to the people, yet, that no one man in office has ever promoted or encouraged a bill for shortening the duration of parliaments, but that (whoever was minister) the opposition to this measure, ever since the septennial act paffed, has been constant and uniform on the part of government.—You cannot but conclude, without the polfibility of a doubt, that long parliaments are the foundation of the undue influence of the crown. This influence answers every purpole of arbitrary power to the crown. with an expence and oppression to the people, would be unnecessary in an arbitrary government. Th best of our ministers find it the easist and most com-

pendious mode of conducting the King's affairs; and all ministers have a general interest in adhering to a system, which of itself is sufficient to support them in office, without any affiftance from personal virtue, popularity, labour, abilities, or experience. It promifes every gratification to avarice and ambition, and secures impunity. These are truths unquestionable. If they make no impression, it is because they are too vulgar and notorious. But the inattention or indifference of the nation has continued too long. You are roused at last to a sense of your danger. The remedy will foon be in your power. If Junius lives, you shall often be reminded of it. If, when the opportunity presents itself, you neglect to do your duty to yourselves and to posterity, to God and to your country, I shall have one consolation left, in common with the meanest and basest of mankind. Civil liberty may still last the life of

alic line in the :

of the state of London

and the second

The state of the s

JUNIUS.

PREFACE.

fourious, mangled publications of the letters of Junius, persuades me, that a complete edition, corrected and improved by the author, will be favourably received. The printer will readily acquit me of any view to my own profit. I undertake this troublesome task, merely to serve a man who has deserved well of me, and of the public; and who, on my account, has been exposed to an expensive, tyrannical prosecution. For these reasons, I give to Mr. Henry Sampson Woodfall, and to him alone, my right, interest, and property in these letters, as fully and completely, to all intents and purposes, as an author can possibly convey his property in his own works to another.

This edition contains all the letters of Junius, Philo Junius, and of Sir William Draper and Mr. Horne to Junius, with their respective dates, and according to the order in which they appeared in the Public Advertiser. The auxiliary part of Philo Junius was indispensably necessary to defend or explain particular passages in Junius, in answer to plausible objections; but the subordinate character is never guilty of the indecorum of praising his principal. The fraud was innocent, and I always intended to explain it. The notes will be found not only useful, but necessary. References to facts not generally known, or allusions to the current report or opinion of the day, are in a little time unintelligible. Yet the reader will not find himself overloaded with explanations. I was not born to be a commentator, even upon my own works.

It remains to fay a few words upon the liberty of the press. The daring spirit, by which these letters are supposed to be distinguished, seems to require that something serious should be said in their desence. I am no lawyer by profession, nor do I pretend to be more deeply read than every English gentlemen should be in the laws of his country. If, therefore, the principles I maintain are truly constitutional, I shall not think myself answered, though I should be convicted of a mistake in terms, or of mistake

OT

S.

1-

of

P.

f,

lo

to

n-

li-

15.

plying the language of the law. I speak to the plain understanding of the people, and appeal to their honest, li-

beral construction of me.

Good men, to whom alone I address myself, appear to me to confult their piety as little as their judgment and experience, when they admit the great and effential advantages accruing to fociety from the freedom of the press, yet indulge themselves in peevish or passionate exclamations against the abuses of it. Betraying an unreafonable expectation of benefits pure and entire from any human institution, they in effect arraign the goodness of Providence, and confess that they are diffatisfied with the common lot of humanity. In the present instance, they really create to their own minds, or greatly exaggerate, the evil they complain of. The laws of England provide as effectually as any human laws can do for the protect. tion of the subject, in his reputation, as well as in his person and property. If the characters of private men are insulted or injured, a double remedy is open to them, by action and indictment. If, through indolence, falle shame, or indifference, they will not appeal to the laws of their country, they fail in their duty to fociety, and are selves. If, from an unwarrantable distrust unjust to then of the integrity of juries, they would wish to obtain justice by any mode of proceeding more fummary than a trial by their peers, I do not feruple to affirm, that they are in effect greater enemies to themselves than to the liteller they profecute.

With regard to strictures upon the characters of men in office, and the measures of government, the case is a little different. A considerable latitude must be allowed in the discussion of public affairs, or the liberty of the press will be of no benefit to society. As the indulgence of private malice and personal slander should be checked and resisted by every legal means, so a constant examination into the characters and conduct of ministers and magistrates should be equally promoted and encouraged. They who conceive that our newspapers are no restraint upon bed men, or impediment to the execution of bad measures, know nothing of this country. In that state of abandoned servicity and prostitution, to which the undue insures of the crown has reduced the other branches of

the legislature, our ministers and magistrates have in reality little punishment to fear, and few difficulties to contend with, beyond the censure of the press, and the spirit of resistance which it excites among the people. While this censorial power is maintained, to speak in the words of a most ingenious foreigner, both minister and magistrate is compelled, in almost every instance, to choose between his duty and his reputation. A dilemma of this kind perpetually before him, will not indeed work a miracle in his heart, but it will assuredly operate in some degree upon his conduct. At all events, these are not times to admit of any relaxation in the little discipline we have left.

But it is alleged that the licentiousness of the press is carried beyond all bounds of decency and truth; -that our excellent ministers are continually exposed to the public hatred or derision; -that, in profecutions for libels on government, juries are partial to the popular fide; and that, in the most flagrant cases, a verdict cannot be obtained for the King. If the premises were admitted, I should deny the conclusion. It is not true that the femper of the times has in general an undue influence over the conduct of juries. On the contrary, many fignal instances may be produced of verdicts returned for the King, when the inclinations of the people led frongly to an undiftinguishing opposition to government. Witness the cases of Mr. Wilkes and Mr. Almon. In the late perfecutions of the printers of my address to a great perfonage, the juries were never fairly dealt with. Lord Chief Justice Mansfield, conscious that the paper in queftion contained no treasonable or libellous matter, and that the severest parts of it, however painful to the King, or offensive to his servants, were strictly true, would fain have restricted the jury to the finding of special facts, which, as to guilty or not guilty, were merely indifferent. This particular motive, combined with his general purpole to contract the power of juries, will account for the charge he delivered in Woodfall's trial. He told the jury. in fo many words, that they had nothing to determine except the fact of printing and publishing, and whether or no the blanks or inuendos were properly filled up in the information; but that, whether the defendant?

è

-

ď

it

d

in a court of civil law, or in the chambers of the inquifition? It is you, my Lord, who then try the crime, not the jury. As to the probable effect of the motion in arrest of judgment, I shall only observe, that no reasonable man would be so eager to possess himself of the invidious power of inslicting punishment, if he were not predetermined to make use of it.

ir

ti

O

0

L

u

C

d

2

tl

h

2

n

2

d

2

n

to

b

n

a

i

Q

0

a

n

u

t

1

Again:—We are told, that judge and jury have a diffinct office;—that the jury is to find the fact, and the judge to deliver the law. De jure respondent judices, de satio jurati. The distum is true, though not in the sense given to it by Lord Mansfield. The jury are undoubtedly to determine the sact, that is, whether the desendant did or did not commit the crime charged against him. The judge pronounces the sentence annexed by law to that sact so found; and if, in the course of the trial, any question of law arises, both the counsel and the jury must, of necessity, appeal to the judge, and leave it to his decision. An exception, or plea in bar, may be allowed by the court; but when issue is joined, and the jury have received their charge, it is not possible, in the nature of things, for them to separate the law from the sact, unless

It has also been alleged that although a

It has also been alleged, that, although a common jury are sufficient to determine a plain matter of fact, they are not qualified to comprehend the meaning, or to judge of the tendency, of a seditious libel. In answer to this objection (which, if well founded, would prove nothing as to the strict right of returning a general verdict). I might fafely deny the truth of the affertion. Englishmen of that rank, from which juries are usually taken, are not fo illiterate as (to serve a particular purpose) they are now represented. Or, admitting the fact, let a special jury be furnmoned in all cases of difficulty and importance, and the objection is removed. But the truth is, that if a paper, supposed to be a libel upon government, be so obfourely worded, that twelve common men cannot possibly fee the feditious meaning and tendency of it, it is in effect no libel. It cannot inflame the minds of the people, nor alienate their affections from government; for they me more understand what it means, than if it were published in a language unknown to them.

t

e

e

le

e |-

ıt

1.

io ly

ry

15

bs

ve

of

ry

re

of b-

as ht

li-

re-

be

nd oa-

b-

oly

eat

TOL

TID

ed

Upon the whole matter, it appears, to my understanding, clear beyond a doubt, that if, in any future profecution for a feditious libel, the jury should bring in a verdict of acquittal not warranted by the evidence, it will be owing to the false and absurd doctrines laid down by Lord Mansfield. Difgusted by the odious artifices made use of by the judge to mislead and perplex them, guarded against his sophistry, and convinced of the falsehood of his affertions, they may perhaps determine to thwart his detestable purpose, and defeat him at any rate. To him at least they will do substantial justice. - Whereas, if the whole charge, laid in the information, be fairly and honestly submitted to the jury, there is no reason whatsoever to prefume that twelve men, upon their oaths, will not decide impartially between the King and the defendant. The numerous instances in our state-trials, of verdicts recovered for the King, fufficiently refute the falle and scandalous imputations thrown by the abettors of Lord Mansfield upon the integrity of juries.—But even admitting the supposition, that in times of universal discontent, arising from the notorious maladministration of public affairs, a feditious writer should escape punishment, it makes nothing against my general argument. If jurice are fallible, to what other tribunal shall we appeal?—It juries cannot fafely be trufted, shall we unite the offices of judge and jury, so wisely divided by the constitution, and trust implicitly to Lord Mansfield?—Are the judges of the court of King's Bench more likely to be unbiaffed and impartial, than twelve yeomen, burgeffes, or gentlemen, taken indifferently from the county at large?—Or, in thort, thall there be no decision, until we have instituted a tribunal, from which no possible abuse or inconvenience whatfoever can arise?—If I am not grossly mistaken, these questions carry a decisive answer along with them.

Having cleared the freedom of the press from a restraint equally unnecessary and illegal, I return to the use which

has been made of it in the prefent publication.

National reflections, I confest, are not justified in theory, nor upon any general principles. To know how well they are deserved, and how justly they have been applied, we must have the evidence of facts before us. We must be conversant with the Scots in private life, and ob-

ble

on

"

"

"

"

"

61

-

-

6

" fession, to impart your considence and affection to those men only, who, though now perhaps detached from " the desperate cause of the Pretender, are marked in " this country by an hereditary attachment to high and " arbitrary principles of government?—Are you so infatuated as to take the fense of your people from the reof presentation of ministers, or from the shouts of a mob, " notoriously hired to furround your coach, or stationed " at a theatre? - And if you are, in reality, that public man, that King, that Magistrate, which these questions " suppose you to be, is it any answer to your people, to " fay, That among your domestics you are good-humoured; that to one lady you are faithful; that to your children you are indulgent ?- Sir, the man who ad-" dreffes you in these terms is your best friend. He " would willingly hazard his life in defence of your title " to the crown; and, if power be your object, would fill thow you how possible it is for a King of England, by the noblest means, to be the most absolute prince in Europe. You have no enemies, Sir, but those who " perfuade you to aim at power without right, and who think it flattery to tell you, that the character of King of diffolves the natural relation between guilt and punish-66 ment."

I cannot conceive that there is a heart to callous, or an understanding fo depraved, as to attend to a discourse of this nature, and not to feel the force of it. But where is the man, among those who have access to the closet, resolute and honest enough to deliver it? The liberty of the press is our only resource. It will command an audience, when every honest man in the kingdom is excluded. This glorious privilege may be a security to the King, as well as a resource to his people. Had there been no star-chamber, there would have been no rebellion against Charles the First. The constant censure and admonition of the preis would have corrected his conduct, prevented a civil war, and faved him from an ignominious death .- I am no friend to the doctrine of precedents exclusive of right; though lawyers often tell us, that whatever has been once done may lawfully be done

I shall conclude this preface with a quotation applica-

le

m

in id

2-

eb,

d

ic

15

to T-

d-

le

le

ld d

in

10

10

g

1-

in of real transfer in the rea

is t c ble to the subject, from a foreign writer d; whose essay on the English constitution I beg leave to recommend to the public, as a performance deep, solid, and ingenious.

"In short, whoever considers what it is that consti-" tutes the moving principle of what we call great af-" fairs, and the invincible fenfibility of man to the opi-" nion of his fellow-creatures, will not helitate to affirm, " that if it were possible for the liberty of the press to " exist in a despotic government, and (what is not less " difficult) for it to exist without changing the con-" stitution, this liberty of the press would alone form a " counterpoise to the power of the prince. If, for ex-" ample, in an empire of the East, a fanctuary could be " found, which, rendered respectable by the ancient re-" ligion of the people, might enfure fafety to those who " should bring thither their observations of any kind; " and that, from thence, printed papers fhould iffue, " which, under a certain feal, might be equally respect-" ed; and which, in their daily appearance, should ex-" amine and freely discuss the conduct of the Cadis, the " Bashaws, the Vizir, the Divan, and the Sultan hint-" felf; that would introduce immediately some degree of " liberty."

the collection of the collecti of hermonogram similard is not and temp in the all can an and the of a gerian said of confident and an are Allen to the a data subilities were not recorded as An teaty this way the last production in antique and country to faile, and the tax and all the little and to are existent commended the following or commended the first of the state of e esta in a election, common re act fedicat is not less addition of the state of the classical charge the conse l'agrica, this lighter it confirment allait som a " countrie to the power of the prince 14 for 18ed Many your 1860 of the fact of the party of the be the wint of the state of the the Miller of win that for which out at his principle of the principle think this to michael the forest of the state of the stat with blance is one tore of whole our beat hear? Property and the man had and any second of the second seco all ter a la sittanav el ale el segundone el el - Marting and the course of the state of the and office of the second second second of the second second

Pecon his H

31

21

0

I

fi

21

u

to for en anti

TO CE

CI W

LETTERS OF JUNIUS.

thorage code to likely

committee with the tree man

LETTER I. to to a lace the real

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, January 21. 1769.

THE fubmission of a free people to the executive authority of government is no more than a compliance with laws, which they themselves have enacted. While the national honour is firmly maintained abroad, and while justice is impartially administered at home, the obedience of the subject will be voluntary, cheerful, and I might almost say unlimited. A generous nation is grateful even for the preservation of its rights, and willingly extends the respect due to the office of a good prince into an affection for his person. Loyalty, in the heart as understanding of an Englishman, is a rational attachmen to the guardian of the laws. Prejudices and passion ha fometimes carried it to a criminal length; and, whate foreigners may imagine, we know that Englishmen erred as much in a miltaken zeal for particular person and families, as they ever did in defence of what they thought most dear and interesting to themselves.

It naturally fills us with refentment, to see such a temper insulted and abused. In reading the history of a free people, whose rights have been invaded, we are interested in their cause. Our own seelings tell us how they ought to have submitted, and at what moment it would have been treachery to themselves not to have resisted. How much warmer will be our resentment, if experience should bring the satal example home to ourselves!

The situation of this country is alarming enough to rouse the attention of every man who pretends to a concern for the public welfare. Appearances justify suspicion; and when the safety of a nation is at stake, suspicion is a just ground of inquiry. Let us enter into it with candour and decency. Respect is due to the station of ministers; and, if a resolution must at last be taken.

there is none so likely to be supported with firmness as

to

bu

lar

ve

rat

de

cip

far

HS.

1

ex

ru

L

no

de

m

en

bu

re

2

It

96

th

De

m

ho

of

to

di

hi

W

ch

th

H

he

of

n

PI

Y

th

CI

that which has been adopted with moderation.

The ruin or prosperity of a state depends so much upon the administration of its government, that, to be acquainted with the merit of a ministry, we need only obferve the condition of the people. If we fee them obedient to the laws, prosperous in their industry, united at home, and respected abroad, we may reasonably presume that their affairs are conducted by men of experience, abilities, and virtue. If, on the contrary, we fee an univerfal spirit of distrust and disfatisfaction, a rapid decay of trade, diffentions in all parts of the empire, and a total loss of respect in the eyes of foreign powers, we may pronounce without hesitation, that the government of that country is weak, distracted, and corrupt. The multitude, in all countries, are patient to a certain point. Ill-ufage may rouse their indignation, and hurry them into excesses; but the original fault is in government. Perhaps there never was an instance of a change, in the circumstance and temper of a whole nation, so sudden and extraordi nary as that which the misconduct of ministers has, with in these few years, produced in Great Britain. When our gracious Sovereign afcended the throne, we were flourishing and a contented people. If the personal viv tues of a king could have enfured the happiness of his Subjects, the scene could not have altered so entirely as it has done. The idea of uniting all parties, of trying all characters, and distributing the offices of state by rotation, was gracious and benevolent to an extreme, though it has not yet produced the many falutary effects which were intended by it. To fay nothing of the wifdom of fuch a plan, it undoubtedly arose from an unbounded goodness of heart, in which folly had no share. It was not a capricious partiality to new faces;—it was not a natural turn for low intrigue; -nor was it the treacherous amusement of double and triple negotiations. No, Sir; it arose from a continued anxiety, in the purest of all possible hearts, for the general welfare. Unfortunately for us, the event has not been answerable to the defign. After a rapid fuccession of changes, we are reduced to that state, which hardly any change can mend. Yet there is no extremity of diffress, which of itself ought to reduce a great nation to despair. It is not the disorder, but the physician;—it is not a casual concurrence of calamitous circumstances;—it is the pernicious hand of government, which alone can make a whole people desperate.

Without much political fagacity, or any extraordinary depth of observation, we need only mark how the principal departments of the state are bestowed, and look no farther for the true cause of every mischief that besals

MS.

up-

ac-

ob-

be-

at

me

ice,

ini.

otal

nay

hat

de,

age

es;

ere

ces

rdi

ith

hen

C

vir

his

25

ing

TO-

ugh

ich

n of

ded

was

t a

he-

No.

t of

atc-

de-

du-

nd.

ght

The finances of a nation, finking under its debts and expences, are committed to a young nobleman already ruined by play. Introduced to act under the auspices of Lord Chatham, and left at the head of affairs by that nobleman's retreat, he became minister by accident; but deferting the principles and professions which gave him a moment's popularity, we see him, from every honourable engagement to the public, an apostate by defign. As for buliness, the world yet knows nothing of his talents or resolution; unless a wayward, wavering inconfisten a mark of genius, and caprice a demonstration of spirit. It may be faid, perhaps, that it is his Grace's province, es furely it is his passion, rather to distribute than to fave the public money; and that while Lord North is Chancellor of the Exchequer, the First Lord of the Treasury may be as thoughtless and extravagant as he pleases. hope, however, he will not rely too much on the fertility of Lord North's genius for finance. His Lordship is yet to give us the first proof of his abilities: It may be candid to suppose that he has hitherto voluntarily concealed his talents; intending perhaps to aftonish the world, when we least expect it, with a knowledge of trade, a choice of expedients, and a depth of resources, equal to the necessities, and far beyond the hopes, of his country. He must now exert the whole power of his capacity, if he would wish us to forget, that, fince he has been in office, no plan has been formed, no system adhered to, nor any one important measure adopted for the relief of public credit. If his plan for the service of the current year be not irrevocably fixed on, let me warn him to think feriously of the consequence before he ventures to increase the public debt. Outraged and oppressed as we

60

ed

de

bf

n

be

h

an

ic

10

W

ha

a

U

ic

u

cı

111

r

h

Si

it

of

li

V

a

be

a

h

o

h

ic

are, this nation will not bear, after a fix years peace, to fee new millions borrowed, without an eventual diminution of debt, or reduction of interest. The attempt might rouse a spirit of resentment, which might reach beyond the facrifice of a minister. As to the debt upon the civil lift, the people of England expect that it will not be paid without a strict inquiry how it was incurred. If it must be paid by parliament, let me advise the Chancellor of the Exchequer to think of some better expedient than a lottery. To support an expensive war, or in circumstant ces of absolute necessity, a lottery may perhaps be allowable; but, befides that it is at all times the very work way of raising money upon the people, I think it ill becomes the Royal dignity to have the debts of a King provided for, like the repairs of a country bridge, or a decayed hospital. The management of the King's affain in the House of Commons cannot be more diffraced than it has been. b A leading minister repeatedly called down for absolute ignorance; -ridiculous motions ridiculously withdrawn; -deliberate plans disconcerted, and a week's preparation of graceful cratory lost in a moment, give u some, though not adequate idea, of Lord North's parliamentary abilities and influence. Yet before he had the misfortune of being Chancellor of the Exchequer, he was neither an object of derision to his enemies, nor of me lancholy pity to his friends.

A feries of inconfistent measures has alienated the colonies from their duty as subjects, and from their natural affection to their common country. When Mr. Grenville was placed at the head of the Treasury, he felt the impossibility of Great Britain's supporting such an establishment as her former successes had made indispensable, and at the same time of giving any sensible relief to foreign trade, and to the weight of the public debt. He thought it equitable that those parts of the empire which had benefited most by the expences of the war, should contribute fomething to the expences of the peace, and he had no doubt of the constitutional right vested in parliament to raise the contribution. But, unfortunately for this country, Mr. Grenville was at any rate to be diftrelfed because he was minister, and Mr. Pitt and Lord Cambden were to be the patrons of America because they were in opposition. Their declaration gave spirit and argument to the colonies; and while perhaps they meant to more than the ruin of a minister, they in effect divid-

ed one half of the empire from the other.

nu-

ight

ond

civil

paid

nust r of

m a

tan

ow-

rorft

be-

pro-

de

fairs

han

nwo

rll pr

ck'i

e u

rlia-

the

wat

me

co

tural

ren-

t the

esta-

able,

fo-

He

hich

ould

and

par-

y for

tref-

Lord

they

Under one administration the stamp-act is made; under the second, it is repealed; under the third, in spite of all experience, a new mode of taxing the colonies is nvented, and a question revived which ought to have been buried in oblivion. In these circumstances a new office is established for the business of the plantations, and the Earl of Hilfborough called forth, at a most criical feafon, to govern America. The choice at least announced to us a man of superior capacity and knowledge. Whether he be so or not, let his dispatches as far as they: have appeared, let his measures as far as they have opeated, determine for him. In the former, we have feen trong affertions without proof, declamation without argument, and violent censures without dignity or moderaion; but neither correctness in the composition, nor udgment in the design. As for his measures, let it be emembered, that he was called upon to conciliate and mite; and that when he entered into office, the most reractory of the colonies were still disposed to proceed by he constitutional methods of petition and remonstrance. since that period they have been driven into excesses ittle short of rebellion. Petitions have been hindered rom reaching the throne; and the continuance of one of the principal affemblies rested upon an arbitrary conlition d; which, confidering the temper they were in, it was impossible they should comply with, and which would have availed nothing as to the general question if it had een complied with. So violent, and I believe I may all it fo unconstitutional, an exertion of the prerogative, o fay nothing of the weak injudicious terms in which it was conveyed, gives us as humble an opinion of his lordhip's capacity as it does of his temper and moderation. While we are at peace with other nations, our military orce may perhaps be spared to support the Earl of Hilforough's measures in America. Whenever that force hall be necessarily withdrawn or diminished, the dismision of such a minister will-neither console us for his imtudence, nor remove the fettled refentment of a people, who, complaining of an act of the legislature, are out raged by an unwarrantable stretch of prerogative, and supporting their claims by argument, are insulted with de-

01

br

er

on

ea

rm

m

one

aite

e r

cri nd !

ary

ent

Wi

Drawing lots would be a prudent and reasonable me thod of appointing the officers of flate, compared to late disposition of the secretary's office. Lord Rochford was acquainted with the affairs and temper of the fouthern courts: Lord Weymouth was equally qualified for effecther department. By what unaccountable caprice has en it happened, that the latter, who pretends to no experience whatfoever, is removed to the most important of the two departments, and the former by preference placed and in an office where his experience can be of no use to ato him? Lord Weymouth had distinguished himself in his V first employment by a spirited, if not judicious conduct our He had animated the civil magistrate beyond the tone of ocivil authority, and had directed the operations of the ble army to more than military execution. Recovered from T army to more than military execution. Recovered from T the errors of his youth, from the distraction of play, and appears the bewitching smiles of Burgundy, behold him exerting the whole strength of his clear unclouded faculties in the isservice of the crown. It was not the heat of midnight reexcesses, nor ignorance of the laws, nor the surious spin iler of the house of Bedford: No, Sir, when this respectable he minister interposed his authority between the magistrat our and the people, and signed the mandate, on which, so now aught he knew, the lives of thousands depended, he did not it from the deliberate motion of his heart, supported by justices. the best of his judgment.

It has lately been a fashion to pay a compliment to the bravery and generofity of the commander in chief if the expence of his understanding. They who love his least make no question of his courage, while his biend dwell chiefly on the facility of his disposition. Admit ting him to be as brave as a total absence of all feeling and reflection can make him, let us fee what fort of men The he derives from the remainder of his character. If it is mp generofity to accumulate in his own person and family wind number of lucrative employments; to provide, at the ce public expence, for every creature that bears the name of Manners; and, neglecting the merit and services of mr. ut he rest of the army, to heap promotions upon his faourites and dependants; the present commander in chief the most generous man alive. Nature has been sparng of her gifts to this noble lord; but where birth and ortune are united, we expect the noble pride and inde-endence of a man of spirit, not the servile humiliating ord omplaifance of a courtier. As to the goodness of his eart, if a proof of it be taken from the facility of never for efusing, what conclusion shall we draw from the indehas ency of never performing? And if the discipline of the rmy be in any degree preserved, what thanks are due to man, whose cares, notoriously confined to filling up vaman, whole cares, notoriously confined to fining up va-leed ancies, have degraded the office of commander in chief to no a broker of commissions? his With respect to the navy, I shall only say, that this

ountry is so highly indebted to Sir Edward Hawke, that e of expence should be spared to secure to him an honour-the ble and affluent retreat.

de-

eri-Of

ron

The pure and impartial administration of justice is per-and aps the firmest bond to secure a cheerful submission of time people, and to engage their affections to government, the is not sufficient that questions of private right or wrong high re justly decided, nor that judges are superior to the spin sleness of pecuniary corruption. Jesseries himself, when table he court had no interest, was an upright judge. A trate ourt of justice may be subject to another fort of bias hore important and pernicious, as it reaches beyond the edit sterest of individuals, and affects the whole community. In the decision of private causes, yet a orth aiter to the public. When a victim is marked out by The pure and impartial administration of justice is pero the aitor to the public. When a victim is marked out by

his crifice. He will not scruple to prostitute his dignity, and betray the fanctity of his office, whenever an arbidimit ary point is to be carried for government, or the resentation of a court to be gratified.

These principles and proceedings, odious and continuous marrials are they are, in effect are no less injudicious, wise and generous people are roused by every appearance of oppressive, unconstitutional measures, whether one measures are supported only by the power of government, or masked under the forms of a court of instance of the court of the es a mment, or marked under the forms of a court of juRice. Prudence and self-preservation will oblige the most moderate dispositions to make common cause, even with a man whose conduct they censure, if they see him per secuted in a way which the real spirit of the laws will not justify. The sacts, on which these remarks are found

ed, are too notorious to require an application.

This, Sir, is the detail. In one view, behold a nation overwhelmed with debt; her revenues wasted; her traded declining; the affections of her colonies alienated: the duty of the magistrate transferred to the soldiery; a gallant army, which never fought unwillingly but again their fellow-subjects, mouldering away for want of the direction of a man of common abilities and spirit; and in the last instance, the administration of justice become odious and suspected to the whole body of the people. This deplorable scene admits of but one addition—the we are governed by counsels, from which a reasonable man can expect no remedy but posson, no relief by death.

If, by the immediate interpolition of Providence, were pollible for us to escape a crisis so full of terror andespair, posterity will not believe the history of the present times. They will either conclude that our distress were imaginary, or that we had the good fortune to be governed by men of acknowledged integrity and wisdom they will not believe it possible that their ancestors could have survived or recovered from so desperate a condition while a Duke of Graston was Prime Minister, a Low North Chancellor of the Exchequer, a Weymouth and Hilsborough Secretaries of State, a Granby Command in Chief, and Manssield chief criminal Judge of the king domestic.

JUNIO

fi

t

V

0

t

t

I

11

C

b

P

a

P

t

I

1

2

1

t

a

r

t

I

LETTER II.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

THE kingdom swarms with such numbers of lonious robbers of private character and virtue, that honest or good man is safe; especially as these coward

no

vit

per wil

ind

tio

rad

th

gal

ain

th

an

om

ople the

1abl

bu

c, 1

an

pre

refle

dom

cou

ition

Lor

and

king

JNI

of

hat

wat

base affassins stab in the dark, without having the courage to fign their real names to their malevolent and wicked productions. A writer, who figns himfelf Junius, in the Public Advertiser of the 21st instant, opens the deplorable fituation of his country in a very affecting manner; with a pompous parade of his candour and decency, he tells us, that we see diffensions in all parts of the empire, an universal spirit of distrust and distatisfaction, and a total loss of respect towards us in the eyes of foreign powers, But this writer, with all his boafted candour, has not told us the real cause of the evils he so pathetically enumerates. I shall take the liberty to explain the cause for him. Junius and fuch writers as-himfelf occasion all the mischief complained of, by falfely and maliciously traducing the best characters in the kingdom. For when our deluded people at home, and foreigners abroad, read the poisonous and inflammatory libels that are daily published with impunity, to vilify those who are any way distinguished by their good qualities and eminent virtues; when they find no retice taken of, or reply given to, these flanderous tongues and pens; their conclusion is, that both the minifters and the nation have been fairly described; and they act accordingly. I think it therefore the duty of every good citizen to stand forth, and endeavour to undeceive the public, when the vileft arts are made use of to defame and blacken the brightest characters among us. An eminent author affirms it to be almost as criminal to hear a worthy man traduced, without attempting his justification, as to be the author of the calumny against him. For my own part, I think it a fort of misprision of treason against society. No man, therefore, who knows Lord Granby, can possibly hear so good and great a character most vilely abused, without a warm and just indignation against this Junius, this high-priest of envy, malice, and all uncharitableness, who has endeavoured to facrifice our beloved commander in chief at the altars of his horrid deities. Nor is the injury done to his lordship alone, but to the whole nation, which may too foon feel the contempt, and consequently the attacks, of our late enemies, if the be induced to believe that the person, on whom the ty of these kingdoms so much depends, is unequal to his high station, and destitute of those qualities which form a

his

mic po

m

to

ho

on

ba

th

m

co

ag

tio

no

fai

nii

w

in fpe

pli

de

an

Lo

cal

an

He

bu

is

m

is p

cal frie

the

ing

der

hid

ir

good general. One would have thought that his lordship's services in the cause of his country, from the battle
of Culloden to his most glorious conclusion of the late
war, might have entitled him to common respect and
decency at least; but this uncandid, indecent writer, has
gone so far as to turn one of the most amiable men of the
age into a stupid, unseeling, and senseless being; possessed
indeed of a personal courage, but void of those effential
qualities which distinguish the commander from the common soldier.

A very long, uninterrupted, impartial, I will add, a most difinterested, friendship with Lord Granby, gives me the right to affirm, that all Junius's affertions are false and scandalous. Lord Granby's courage, though of the brightest and most ardent kind, is among the lowest of his numerous good qualities; he was formed to excel in war by nature's liberality to his mind as well as person. Educated and instructed by his most noble father, and a most spirited as well as excellent scholar, the pe sent Bithop of Bangor, he was trained to the nicest sense of honour, and to the truest and noblest fort of pride, that of never doing or suffering a mean action. A sincere dove and attachment to his king and country, and to their glory, first impelled him to the field, where he never gained aught but honour. He impaired, through his bounty, his own fortune: for his bounty, which this writer would in vain depreciate, is founded upon the noblest of the human affections; it flows from a heart melting to goodness from the most refined humanity. Can a man, who is described as unfeeling and void of reflection, be confrantly employed in feeking proper objects on whom to exercise those glorious virtues of compassion and generosity? The distressed officer, the soldier, the widow, the orphan, and a long lift besides, know that vanity has no thare in his frequent donations; he gives, because he feels their distresses. Nor has he ever been rapacious with one hand, to be bountiful with the other: yet this uncandid Innius would infinuate, that the dignity of the commander in chief is depraved into the base office of commissionbroker; that is, Lord Granby bargains for the fale of commissions; for it must have this meaning, if it has any at all. But where is the man living who can justly charge his lordship with such mean practices? Why does not Junius produce him? junius knows that he has no other means of wounding this hero, than from some missile weapon, shot from an obscure corner: He seeks, as all defamatory writers do,

In vulgum ambiguas

le

te

d

as

b

al

n.

ft

he

nd

he

of in

n.

2

nt of

at

eir

his

it-

est

to

an,

be

om

ne-

the

no

eels

did

nd-

011-

of

any

rge

to raise a suspicion in the minds of the people. But I hope that my countrymen will be no longer imposed upon by artful and defigning men, or by wretches, who, bankrupts in buliness, in fame, and in fortune, mean nothing more than to involve this country in the fame common ruin with themselves. Hence it is, that they are, constantly aiming their dark and too often fatal weapons against those who stand forth as the bulwark of our national fafety. Lord Granby was too conspicuous a mark not to be their object. He is next attacked for being unfaithful to his promises and engagements: Where are Tunius's proofs? Although I could give fome instances, where a breach of promise would be a virtue, especially in the case of those who would pervert the open, unfuspecting moments of convivial mirth, into fly, infidious applications for preferment or party-fystems, and would endeavour to furprise a good man, who cannot bear to see any one leave him diffatisfied, into unguarded promifes. Lord Granby's attention to his own family and relations is called selfish. Had he not attended to them, when fair and just opportunities presented themselves, I should have thought him unfeeling, and void of reflection indeed. How are any man's friend or relations to be provided for, but from the influence and protection of the patron? It is unfair to suppose that Lord Granby's friends have not as much merit as the friends of any other great man: If he 13 generous at the public expence, as Junius invidiously calls it, the public is at no more expence for his lordship's friends than it would be if any other let of men possessed those offices. The charge is ridiculous!

The last charge against Lord Granby, is of a most alarming nature indeed. Junius afferts, that the army is mouldering away for want of the direction of a man of common abilities and spirit. The present condition of the army gives the directest lie to his affertions. It was never

B :

upon a more respectable footing with regard to discipline and all the effentials that can form good foldiers. Lord Ligonier delivered a firm and noble palladium of our fafeties into Lord Granby's hands, who has kept it in the same good order in which he received it. The strictest care has been taken to fill up the vacant commissions, with such gentlemen as have the glory of their ancestors to support, as well as their own, and are doubly bound to the cause of their king and country, from motives of private property as well as public spirit. The adjutant-general, who has the immediate care of the troops after Lord Granby, is an officer that would do great honour to any service in Europe, for his correct arrangements, good sense and discernment upon all occasions, and for a punctuality and precision which give the most entire satisfaction to all who are obliged to confult him. The reviewing generals, who inspect the army twice a-year, have been selected with the greatest care, and have answered the important trust reposed in them in the most laudable manner. Their reports of the condition of the army are much more to be credited than those of Junius, whom I do advise to atone for his shameful aspersions, by asking pardon of Lord Granby and the whole kingdom, whom he has offended by his abominable scandals. In short, to turn Junius's own battery against him, I must affert, in his own words " that he has given strong affertions without proof, decla-" mation without argument, and violent censures without " dignity or moderation."

WILLIAM DRAPER

W

br

yo

no

ha

To

int

ter

VO

lib

fle

na

rit

and

ha

fho

for

we

the

28

pla

lice

im

Tho

def

mi

hav

he

ha f (

and up,

ers

me

ter

you

con

o l

We

LETTER III.

TO SIR WILLIAM DRAPER, KNIGHT OF THE BATH.

SIR, Feb. 7. 176

Your defence of Lord Granby does honour to the goodness of your heart. You feel, as you ought to do, for the reputation of your friend, and you expend yourself in the warmest language of your passions. In an other cause, I doubt not, you would have cautious weighed the consequences of committing your names the licentious discourses and malignant opinions of the í

es

bc

25

ch

rt,

ife

-0

ho

)y,

lif-

nd

all

als,

ted

ant

neir

be

one,

ord

ded

us's

rds,

cla-

nout

PER.

UNIT

1769

ur t

prei n an ioul

me t

world. But here, I presume, you thought it would be a breach of friendship to lose one moment in consulting your understanding; as if an appeal to the public were no more than a military coup de main, where a brave man has no rules to follow but the dictates of his courage. Touched with your generofity, I freely forgive the excesses into which it has led you; and, far from refenting those terms of reproach, which, confidering that you are an advocate for decorum, you have heaped upon me rather too liberally, I place them to the account of an honest unreflecting indignation, in which your cooler judgment and natural politeness had no concern. I approve of the fpirit with which you have given your name to the public; and, if it were a proof of any thing but spirit, I should have thought myfelf bound to follow your example. should have hoped that even my name might have carried some authority with it, if I had not seen how very little: weight or confideration a printed paper receives even from the respectable fignature of Sir William Draper.

You begin with a general affertion, that writers, fuch as I am, are the real cause of all the public evils we complain of. And do you really think, Sir William, that the licentious pen of a political writer is able to produce fuch important effects? A little calm reflection might have hown you, that national calamities do not arise from the description, but from the real character and conduct of ministers. To have supported your affertion, you should have proved that the present ministry are unquestionably the best and brightest characters of the kingdom; and hat, if the affections of the colonies have been alienated, f Corfica has been shamefully abandoned, if commerce anguishes, if public credit is threatened with a new debt. and your own Manilla ranfom most dishonourably given up, it has all been owing to the malice of political wriers, who will not fuffer the best and brightest characters meaning still the prefent ministry) to take a fingle right tep for the honour or interest of the nation. But it seems you were a little tender of coming to particulars. onscience infinuated to you, that it would be prudent o leave the characters of Grafton, North, Hilsborough, Weymouth, and Mansfield, to shift for themseves; and

truly, Sir William, the part you have undertaken is at

tic

pa

be

fia

in

pr

to

the

hi

the

lia

the

coi wi

gre

YOU

Lo

cla

Lo

aga

Lo

of

rec

or

ger

wh

tio

kno

Me

wh

int

neg

fin

kno

not

ally

ref

tice

least as much as you are equal to.

Without disputing Lord Granby's courage, we are yet to learn in what articles of military knowledge nature has been so very liberal to his mind. If you have ferved with him, you ought to have pointed out some instances of able disposition and well concerted enterprise, which might fairly be attributed to his capacity as a general. It is you, Sir William, who make your friend appear awkward and ridiculous, by giving him a laced fait of tawdry qualifications, which nature never intended him to wear.

You say he has acquired nothing but honour in the sield. Is the Ordnance nothing? Are the Blues nothing? Is the command of the army, with all the patronage annexed to it, nothing? Where he got these nothings, I know not; but you at least ought to have told us where

he deserved them.

As to his bounty, compassion, &c. it would have been but little to the purpose, though you had proved all that you have afferted. I meddle with nothing but his character as commander in chief: and, though I acquit him of the baseness of selling commissions, I still affert that his military cares have never extended beyond the disposal of vacancies; and I am justified by the complaints of the whole army, when I fay, that in this diffribution he confults nothing but parliamentary interest, or the gratifica tion of his immediate dependants. As to his service submillion to the reigning ministry, let me ask whether h did not defert the cause of the whole army when he sul fered Sir Jefferey Amherst to be facrificed, and wha share he had in recalling that officer to the service? Di he not betray the just interest of the army, in permitting Lord Percy to have a regiment? And does he not at this moment give up all character and dignity as a gentleman in receding from his own repeated declarations in favou of Mr. Wilkes?

In the two next articles I think we are agreed. Yo candidly admit that he often makes such promises as it is a virtue in him to violate, and that no man is more assumed to provide for his relations at the public expense I did not urge the last as an absolute vice in his dispose

tion, but to prove that a careless difinterested spirit is no part of his character; and as to the other, I defire it may be remembered that I never descended to the indecency of inquiring into his convivial hours. It is you, Sir Wilham Draper, who have taken care to represent your friend in the character of a drunken landlord, who deals out his promifes as liberally as his liquor, and will fuffer no man to leave his table either forrowful or fober. None but an intimate friend, who must frequently have feen him in their unhappy, difgraceful moments, could have described

him fo well.

at

et

28

th

le

ht

u,

nd

a-

he

g?

n-

re

en

na-im

hi

h

on-

ca-

b

uf

ha

Did

in

hi

ou

70

H

The last charge, of the neglect of the army, is indeed the most material of all. I am forry to tell you, Sir William, that, in this article, your first fact is falle; and as there is nothing more painful to me that to give a direct contradiction to a gentleman of your appearance, I could wish that, in your future publications, you would pay a greater attention to the truth of your premiles, before you fuffer your genius to hurry you to a conclusion, Lord Ligonier did not deliver the army (which you, in classical language, are pleased to call a palladium) into Lord Grandy's hands. It was taken from him much against his inclination, some two or three years before Lord Granby was commander in chief. As to the state of the army, I should be glad to know where you have received your intelligence. Was it in the rooms at Bath, or at your retreat at Clifton? The reports of reviewing generals comprehend only a few regiments in England, which, as they are immediately under the royal inspection, are perhaps in some tolerable order. But do you know any thing of the troops in the West Indies, the Mediterranean, and North America, to fay nothing of a whole army absolutely ruined in Ireland? Inquire a little into facts, Sir William, before you publish your next panegyric upon Lord Granby; and believe me, you will find there is a fault at head-quarters, which even the acknowledged care and abilities of the adjutant-general cannot correct.

Permit me now, Sir William, to address myself personally to you, by way of thanks for the honour of your correspondence. You are by no means undeferving of notice; and it may be of consequence even to Lord Granby

to have it determined, whether or no the man, who has praifed him to lavishly, be himself deferving of praife, When you returned to Europe, you zealously undertook the cause of that gallant army, by whose bravery at Manilla your own fortune had been established. You complained, you threatened, you even appealed to the public in print. By what accident did it happen, that, in the midft of all this buftle, and all these clamours for justice to your injured troops, the name of the Manilla ranfom was fuddenly buried in a profound, and, fince that time, an uninterrupted filence? Did the ministry suggest any motives to you, strong enough to tempt a man of honour to defert and betray the cause of his fellow-soldiers? Was it that blushing ribband, which is now the perpetual ornament of your person? Or was it that regiment, which you afterwards (a thing unprecedented among foldiers) fold to Colonel Gifborne? Or was it that government, the full pay of which you are contented to hold, with the half-pay of an Irish colonel? And do you now, after a retreat not very like that of Scipio, presume to intrude yourfelf, unthought of, uncalled for, upon the patience of the public? Are your flatteries of the commander in chief directed to another regiment, which you may again dispose of on the same honourable terms? We know your prudence, Sir William, and I should be forry to stop your preferment.

JUNIUS.

b

k

t

t

2

1

1

LETTER IV.

TO JUNIUS.

SIR,

bustyes a

od wood brend to

Feb. 17. 1769.

I RECEIVED Junius's favour last night; he is determined to keep his advantage by the help of his mask; it is an excellent protection, it has faved many a man from an untimely end. But whenever he will be honest enough to lay it aside, avow himself, and produce the face which has so long lurked behind it, the world will be able to judge of his motives for writing such infamous invectives. His real name will discover his freedom and independency, or his servility to a feedion. Disappointed am-

has

ife.

ook

Ma-

om-

blic

the

tice

om

me,

any

our

Vas

OT-

iich

ers)

ent,

the

er a

ude

nce

in

ain

out

our

EUS.

769.

15

fk;

nau

nest

ace

ble

ec-

de-

ım-

bition, refentment for defeated hopes, and defire of revenge, affume but too often the appearance of public fpirit; but be his defigns wicked or charitable, Junius should learn that it is possible to condemn measures, without a barbarous and criminal outrage against men. Junius delights to mangle carcafes with a hatchet; his language and instrument have a great connection with Clare-Market; and, to do him justice, he handles his weapon most admirably. One would imagine he had been taught to throw it by the favages of America. It is therefore high time for me to step in once more to shield my friend from this merciless weapon, although I may be wounded in the attempt. But I must first ask Junius, by what forced analogy and construction the moments of convivial mirth are made to fignify indecency, a violation of engagements, a drunken landlord, and a defire that every one in company should be drunk likewise? He must have culled all the flowers of St. Giles's and Billingsgate to have produced fuch a piece of oratory. Here the hatchet descends with tenfold vengeance; but, alas! it hurts no one but its mafter! For Junius must not think to put words into my mouth, that feem too foul even for his own.

My friend's political engagements I know not; fo cannot pretend to explain them, or affert their confistency. I know not whether Junius be considerable enough to belong to any party; if he should be so, can he affirm that he has always adhered to one set of men and measures? Is he sure that he has never sided with those whom he was first hired to abuse? Has he never abused those he was hired to praise? To say the truth, most men's politics sit much too loosely about them. But as my friend's military character was the chief object that engaged me

in this controversy, to that I shall return.

Junius asks what instances my friend has given of his military skill and capacity as a general? When and where he gained his honour? When he deserved his emoluments? The united voice of the army which served under him, the glorious testimony of Prince Ferdinand, and of vanquished enemies, all Germany will tell him. Junius repeats the complaints of the army against parliamentary influence. I love the army too well not to wish that such influence were less. Let Junius point out the

W

fro

th

fa

tic

OV

lic

dr

en

pı

01

h

is

at

6

it

fl tl

f

n

t

n

e

C

1

1

1

time when it has not prevailed. It was of the least force in the time of that great man, the late Duke of Cumberland, who, as a prince of the blood, was able as well as willing to frem a torrent which would have overborne any private subject. In time of war, this influence is fmall. In peace, when discontent and faction have the furest means to operate, especially in this country, and when, from a fearcity of public spirit, the wheels of government are rarely moved but by the power and force of obligations, its weight is always too great. Yet, if this influence at present has done no greater harm than the placing Earl Percy at the head of a regiment, I do not think that either the rights or best interests of the army are facrificed and betrayed, or the nation undone. Let me ask Junius if he knows any one nobleman in the army who has had a regiment by feniority? I feel myself happy in feeing young noblemen of illustrious name and great property come among us. They are an additional fecurity to the kingdom from foreign or domestic flavery. Junius needs not be told, that, should the time ever come when this nation is to be defended only by those who have nothing more to lofe than their arms and their pay, its danger will be great indeed. A happy mixture of men of quality with foldiers of fortune is always to be wished for. But the main point is still to be contended for, I mean the discipline and condition of the army; and I must still maintain, though contradicted by Junius, that it was never upon a more respectable sooting, as to all the effentials that can form good foldiers, than it is at present. Junius is forced to allow that our army at home may be in some tolerable order; yet how kindly does he invite our late enemies to the invalion of Ireland, by alfuring them that the army in that kingdom is totally ruined! (The colonels of that army are much obliged to him.) I have too great an opinion of the military talents of the lord lieutenant, and of all their diligence and capacity, to believe it. If from some strange, unaccountable fatality, the people of that kingdom cannot be induced to confult their own fecurity by fuch an effectual augmentation as may enable the troops there to act with power and energy, is the commander in chief here to blame? Or is he to blame, because the troops in the Mediterranean, in the West Indies, in America, labour under great dissiculties from the scarcity of men, which is but too visible all over these kingdoms? Many of our forces are in climates unfavourable to British constitutions; their loss is in proportion. Britain must recruit all these regiments from her own emaciated bosom, or, more precariously, by Catholics from Ireland. We are likewise subject to the fatal drains to the East Indies, to Senegal, and the alarming emigrations of our people to other countries: Such depopulation can only be repaired by a long peace, or by some fensible bill of naturalization.

I must now take the liberty to talk to Junius on my own account. He is pleased to tell me that he addresses himself to me personally; I shall be glad to see him. It is his impersonality that I complain of, and his invisible attacks: for his dagger in the air is only to be regarded because one cannot see the hand which holds it; but had it not wounded other people more deeply than myself, I should not have obtruded myself at all on the patience of

the public.

ce

1

28

ne is

he

be

0-

cé

if

an

do

he

e.

he

elf

nd al

Y.

ne.

y,

en

ed I

I

at

at

ne

ne f-

1-

1.)

10

to

y,

ut

To

ie ic

Mark how a plain tale shall put him down, and transfuse the blush of my ribband into his own cheeks. nius tells me, that, at my return, I zealoully undertook the cause of the gallant army by whose bravery at Manilla my own fortunes were established; that I complained, that I even appealed to the public. I did fo; I glory in having done so, as I had an undoubted right to vindicate my own character, attacked by a Spanish memorial, and to affert the rights of my brave companions. I glory likewise that I have never taken up my pen but to vindicate the injured. Junius asks by what accident did it happen, that, in the midst of all this bustle, and all the clamours for justice to the injured troops, the Manilla ranfom was fuddenly buried in a profound, and, fince that time, an uninterrupted lilence? I will explain the cause to the public. The several ministers who have been employed fince that time have been very defirous to do jultice, from two most laudable motives; a strong inclination to affift injured bravery, and to acquire a welldelerved popularity to themselves. Their efforts have been in vain. Some were ingenuous enough to own that they could not think of involving this distressed nation in-

ciff

ma

COL

Gi

an

to

the

hu

eig

of

ju

m

m

to

OL

th

-

to another war for our private concerns. In short, our rights for the present are sacrificed to national convenience; and I must confess, that although I may lose five and twenty thousand pounds by their acquiescence to this breach of faith in the Spaniards, I think they are in the right to temporize, considering the critical fituation of this country, convused in every part by poison insused by anonymous, wicked, and incendiary writers. Lord Shelburne will do me the justice to own, that, in September last, I waited upon him with a joint memorial from the admiral Sir S. Cornish and myself, in behalf of our injured companions. His Lordship was as frank upon the occasion as other secretaries had been before him. He did not deceive us by giving any immediate hopes of relief.

Junius would basely infinuate that my filence may have been purchased by my government, by my blushing ribband, by my regiment, by the sale of that regiment, and

by half-pay as an Irish colonel.

His Majesty was pleased to give me my government for my fervice at Madras. I had my first regiment in 1757. Upon my return from Manilla, his Majesty, by Lord Egremont, informed me that I should have the first vacant red ribband, as a reward for many fervices in an enterprise which I had planned as well as executed. The Duke of Bedford and Mr. Grenville confirmed those affurances many months before the Spaniards had protested the ranfom bills. To accommodate Lord Clive, then going upon a most important service to Bengal, I waved my claim to the vacancy which then happened. As there was no other vacancy until the Duke of Grafton and Lord Rockingham were joint ministers, I was then honoured with the order: and it is furely no small honour to me, that, in such a succession of ministers, they were all pleased to think that I had deferved it; in my favour they were all united. Upon the reduction of the 79th regiment, which had ferved fo gloriously in the East Indies, his Majesty, unsolicited by me, gave me the 16th of foot as an equivalent. My motives for retiring afterwards are foreign to the purpose: let it suffice, that his Majesty was pleased to approve of them; they are such as no man can think indecent, who knows the shocks that repeated viDur

ve-

ive

his

the

of

fed

ord

P-

ial

of

on

m.

of.

ve

b-

nd

nt

ft

n

C

-

n

d

C

ł

ciffitudes of heat and cold, of dangerous and fickly climates, will give to the best constitutions in a pretty long course of service. I resigned my regiment to Colonel Gilborne, a very good officer, for his half pay, 2001. Irish annuity; fo that, according to Junius, I have been bribed to fay nothing more of the Manilla ranfom, and facrifice those brave men, by the strange avarice of accepting three hundred and eighty pounds per annum, and giving up eight hundred! If this be bribery, it is not the bribery of these times. As to my flattery, those who know me will By the asperity of Junius's style, I cannot judge of it. indeed call him a flatterer, unless he be as a cynic, or a mastiff; if he wags his tail, he will still growl, and long to bite. The public will now judge of the credit that ought to be given to Junius's writings, from the fallities that he has infinuated with respect to myself.

WILLIAM DRAPERS

LETTER V.

TO SIR WILLIAM DRAPER, KNIGHT OF THE BATH.

SIR, Feb. 21. 1769. I should justly be suspected of acting upon motives of more than common enmity to Lord Granby, if I continued to give you fresh materials or occasion for writing in his defence. Individuals who hate, and the public who despise, have read your letters, Sir William, with infinitely more fatisfaction than mine. Unfortunately for him, his reputation, like that unhappy country to which you refer me for his last military achievements, has fuffered more by his friends than his enemies. In mercy to him, let us drop the fubject. For my own part, I willingly leave it to the public to determine whether your vindication of your friend has been as able and judicious, as it was certainly well intended; and you, I think, may be fatisfied with the warm acknowledgments he already owes you for making him the principal figure in a piece, in which, but for your amicable affiftance, he might have passed without particular notice or distinction, who was the rest of the weather and

In justice to your friends, let your future labours be

the

cha

of

ma

of

SI

ta

OI

ta

N

h

2

P

P

n

f

n

1

confined to the care of your own reputation. Your declaration, that you are happy in feeing young noblemen come among us, is liable to two objections. With respect to Lord Percy, it means nothing, for he was already in the army. He was aid-de-camp to the King, and had the rank of Colonel. A regiment therefore could not make him a more military man, though it made him richer, and probably at the expence of some brave, deferving, friendless officer.-The other concerns yourself. -After felling the companions of your victory in one instance, and after felling your profession in the other, by what authority do you presume to call yourseif a soldier? The plain evidence of facts is superior to all declarations. Before you were appointed to the 16th regiment, your complaints were a diffress to government; -from that moment you were filent. The conclusion is inevitable. You infinuate to us that your ill state of health obliged you to quit the service. The retirement necessary to repair a broken constitution, would have been as good a reason for not, accepting, as for resigning the command of a regiment. There is certainly an error of the press, or an affected obscurity in that paragraph, where you fpeak of your bargain with Colonel Gifborne. Instead of attempting to answer what I do not really understand, permit me to explain to the public what I really know. In exchange for your regiment, you accepted of a colonel's half-pay (at least 220l. a-year), and an annuity of 2001. for your own and Lady Draper's life jointly. - And is this the losing bargain, which you would represent to us, as if you had given up an income of 8001. a-year for 3801.? Was it decent, was it honourable, in a man who pretends to love the army, and calls himself a foldier, to make a traffic of the royal favour, and turn the highest honour of an active profession into a fordid provision for himself and his family? It were unworthy of me to press you farther. The contempt with which the whole army heard of the manner of your retreat, affures me, that as your conduct was not justified by precedent, it will never be thought an example for imitation.

The last and most important question remains. When you receive your half-pay, do you, or do you not take a folemn oath, or fign a declaration upon your honour, to

the following effect? "That you do not actually hold any " place of profit, civil or military, under his Majesty." The charge which the question plainly conveys against you, is of fo shocking a complexion, that I fincerely wish you may be able to answer it well, not merely for the colour of your reputation, but for your own peace of mind.

UNIUS.

LETTER VI.

TO JUNIUS. NO ALL SOLD ASSESSED AND ASSESSED AND ASSESSED AND ASSESSED AND ASSESSED AND ASSESSED ASSESSED.

de-

nen

ea

in had

not

im deelf.

10by

er'

ns.

our

hat

ole.

ed

re-

a

nd

ís,

ou'

of

id,

W.

0-

of

nd

to

or

an

1-

10

0-

of

ne

es

t,

Feb. 27. 1769.

I HAVE a very thort answer for Junius's important question; I do not either take an oath, or declare upon honour, that I have no place of profit, civil or military, when I receive the half-pay as an Irish colonel. My most gracious Sovereign gives it me as a pension; he was pleafed to think I deferved it. The annuity of 2001. Irish, and the equivalent for the half-pay, together, produce no more than 3801. per annum, clear of fees and perquifites of office. I receive 1671. from my government of Yarmouth. Total 547l. per annum. My conscience is much at ease in these particulars; my friends need not blush for me. o Property and

Junius makes much and frequent use of interrogations: they are arms that may be easily turned against himself. I could, by malicious interrogation, disturb the peace of the most virtuous man in the kingdom. I could take the decalogue, and fay to one man, Did you never steal? To the next, Did you never commit murder? And to Junius himself, who is putting my life and conduct to the rack, Did you never bear false withess against thy neighbour? Junius must easily see, that unless he affirms to the contrary in his real name, fome people who may be as ignorant of him as I am, will be apt to suspect him of having deviated a little from the truth: therefore let Junius alk no more questions. You bite against a file: ceale, viper.

surjection of the Manager of the second of the second of

W. D. from order older transcript, the Midwest, I am and the Markette and the Ma

LETTER VII.

expe

halfdwel

two

in al

but

you

in I and

inca

hav

nou

mo

me

had

you

for of kn

[e]

YO

lif

di

de

Pi cl

P

TO SIR WILLIAM DRAPER, KNIGHT OF THE BATH.

SIR, March 3. 1769

An academical education has given you an unfimited command over the most beautiful figures of speech. Masks, hatchets, racks and vipers, dance through your letters in all the mazes of metaphorical confusion. These are the gloomy companions of a disturbed imagination; the melancholy madness of poetry, without the inspiration. I will not contend with you in point of composition. You are a scholar, Sir William; and, if I am truly informed, you write Latin with almost as much purity as English. Suffer me, then, for I am a plain un, lettered man, to continue that style of interrogation, which suits my capacity; and to which, considering the readiness of your answers, you ought to have no objection. Even Mr. Bingly promises to answer, if put to the torture.

Do you then really think, that, if I were to alk a most virtuous man whether be ever committed thest, or murder, it would disturb his peace of mind? Such a question might perhaps discompose the gravity of his muscles, but I believe it would little affect the tranquillity of his conscience. Examine your own breast, Sir William, and you will discover, that reproaches and inquiries have no power to afflict either the man of unblemished integrity, or the abandoned profligate. It is the middle compound character which alone is vulnerable; the man who, without firmness enough to avoid a dishonourable action, has feeling enough to be ashamed of it.

I thank you for the hint of the decalogue, and shall take an opportunity of applying it to some of your most

virtuous friends in both houses of parliament.

You feem to have dropped the affair of your regiment; fo let it rest. When you are appointed to another, I dare say you will not sell it either for a gross sum, or for an annuity upon lives.

I am truly glad (for really, Sir William, I am not your enemy, nor did I begin this contest with you) that you have been able to clear yourself of a crime, though at the

expence of the highest indifcretion. You fay that your half-pay was given you by way of pension. I will not lwell upon the fingularity of uniting in your own person two forts of provision, which, in their own nature, and in all military and parliamentary views, are incompatible; but I call upon you to justify that declaration, wherein you charge your Sovereign with having done an act in your favour notoriously against law. The half-pay, both in Ireland and England, is appropriated by Parliament and if it be given to persons who, like you, are legally incapable of holding it, it is a breach of law. It would have been more decent in you to have called this dishonourable transaction by its true name; a job to accommodate two persons, by particular interest and management at the Caffle, What sense must government have had of your fervices, when the rewards they have given you are only a difgrace to you?

And, now, Sir William, I shall take my leave of you for ever. Motives very different from any apprehension of your resentment, make it impossible you should ever know me. In truth, you have some reason to hold yourself indebted to me. From the lessons I have given you, you may collect a profitable instruction for your future life. They will either teach you so to regulate your conduct, as, to be able to set the most malicous inquiries at defiance; or, if that be a lost hope, they will teach you prudence enough not to attract the public attention to a character which will only pass without censure, when it

passes without observation.

JUNIUS

LETTER VIII.

TO THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD,

69

n4

h.

ur

efe

1;

a-

fis-

m

u-

1,

h

1

1.

le

A

6

F

1

S

d

0

March 18. 1769.

Before you were placed at the head of affairs, it had been a maxim of the English government, not unwillingly admitted by the people, that every ungracious or severe exertion of the prerogative should be placed to the account of the Minister; but that, whenever an act of grace or benevolence was to be performed, the whole

p the

imp

mucl

this :

to h

nece kno

guil

are

the

you

titu

giv

of,

to

fc

de

m

fi

t

I

merit of it should be attributed to the Sovereign himselfi It was a wife doctrine, my Lord, and equally advantage. ous to the King and his fubjects; for while it preserved that suspicious attention, with which the people ough always to examine the conduct of ministers, it tended at the fame time rather to increase than diminish their attachment to the person of the Sovereign. If there be not a fatality attending every measure you are concerned in, by what treachery, or by what excels of folly, has it happened, that those ungracious acts which have distinguished your administration, and which I doubt not were entirely your own, should carry with them a strong appearance of personal interest, and even of personal enmity, in a quarter where no such interest or enmity can be supposed to exist without the highest injustice, and the highest dishonour? On the other hand, by what injudicious management have you contrived it, that the only act of mercy to which you have ever advised your Sovereign, far from adding to the luttre of a character truly gracious and benevolent, should be received with universal disapprobation and dilgust? I shall consider it as a ministerial measure, because it is an odious one; and as your measure, my Lord Duke, because you are the minister.

As long as the trial of this chairman was depending, it was natural enough that government should give him every possible encouragement and support. The honourable fervice for which he was hired, and the spirit with which he performed it, made a common cause between your Grace and him. The minister, who by secret corruption invades the freedom of elections, and the ruffian, who, by open violence, destroys that freedom, are embarked in the same bottom. They have the same interests, and mutually feel for each other. To do justice to your Grace's humanity, you felt for M'Quirk as you ought to do; and if you had been contented to affift him indirectly, without a notorious denial of justice, or openly insulting the sense of the nation, you might have satisfied every duty of political friendship, without commuting the honour of your Sovereign, or hazarding the reputation of his government. But when this unhappy man had been folemnly tried, convicted, and condemned;—when it appeared that he had been frequently employed felfi

tage.

rved

ught d at

r at-

not

in,

ap.

hed

ely

to

10ze-

to

m

e-

on

e,

y

n the fame fervices, and that no excuse for him could be rawn either from the innocence of his former life, or the implicity of his character; was it not hazarding too much, to interpose the arength of the prerogative between his felon and the justice of his country *? You ought to have known, that an example of this fort was never fo necessary as at present; and certainly you must have known that the lot could not have fallen upon a more guilty object. What system of government is this? You are perpetually complaining of the riotous disposition of the lower class of people; yet, when the laws have given you the means of making an example in every fense unexceptionable, and by far the most likely to awe the multitude, you pardon the offence, and are not ashamed to give the fanction of government to the riots you complain of, and even to future murders. You are partial perhaps to the military mode of execution; and had rather fee a score of these wretches butchered by the guards, than one of them fuffer death by regular course of law. How does it happen, my Lord, that, in your hands, even the mercy of the prerogative is cruelty and oppression to the fubject?

The measure, it seems, was so extraordinary, that you thought it necessary to give some reasons for it to the

public. Let them be fairly examined.

1. You say that Messis Bromsield and Starling were not examined at M'Quirk's trial. I will tell your Grace why they were not. They must have been examined upon oath; and it was foreseen, that their evidence would either not benefit, or might be prejudicial to the prisoner. Otherwise, is it conceivable that his counsel should ne-

glect to call in such material evidence?

You say that Mr. Foot did not see the deceased until after his death. A surgeon, my Lord, must know very little of his profession, if, upon examining a wound or a contusion, he cannot determine whether it was mortal or not.—While the party is alive, a surgeon will be cautious of pronouncing; whereas, by the death of the patient, he is enabled to consider both cause and effect in one view, and to speak with a certainty consirmed by experience.

Yet we are to thank your Grace for the establishment

of a new tribunal. Your inquisitio post mortem is unknown to the laws of England, and does honour to your invention. The only material objection to it is, that if Mr. Foot's evidence was insufficient, because he did not examine the wound till after the death of the party, much less can a negative opinion, given by gentlemen who never saw the body of Mr. Clarke, either before or after his decease, authorise you to supersede the verdict of a jury, and the sentence of the law.

Now, my Lord, let me ask you, Has it never occurred to your Grace, while you were withdrawing this desperate wretch from that justice which the laws had awarded, and which the whole people of England demanded against him, that there is another man, who is the favourite of his country, whose pardon would have been accepted with gratitude, whose pardon would have healed all our divisions? Have you quite forgotten that this man was once your Grace's friend? Or is it to murderers only that you will extend the mercy of the crown?

These are questions you will not answer, nor is it necessary. The character of your private life, and the tenor of your public conduct, is an answer to them all.

JUNIUS.

urre

ey co

ectio

are a

ion

the 1

writ

The

the

to t

tho

ous

fuc

at

He

int

yo

VO

in

fh

y

0

ŀ

LETTER IX.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD,

e realigns for at to the

April 10. 1769.

I have so good an opinion of your Grace's discernment, that when the author of the vindication of your conduct assures us, that he writes from his own mere motion, without the least authority from your Grace, I should be ready enough to believe him, but for one statal mark, which seems to be fixed upon every measure in which either your personal or your political character is concerned.—Your first attempt to support Sir William Proctor, ended in the election of Mr. Wilkes; the second ensured success to Mr. Glynn. The extraordinary step you took to make Sir James Lowther lord paramount of Cumberland, has ruined his interest in that country for ever. The House List of Directors was cursed with the con-

en.

Mr.

ex.

ich

ne-

his

ry,

ed

ité

d.

Ift.

of

d

1P

13

it.

furrence of government; and even the miserable Dingey could not escape the misfortune of your Grace's proection. With this uniform experience before us, we are authorised to suspect, that when a pretended vindicaion of your principles and conduct, in reality contains the bitterest reflections upon both, it could not have been written without your immediate direction and affiftance. The author indeed calls God to witness for him, with all the fincerity, and in the very terms of an Irish evidence. to the best of his knowledge and belief. My Lord, you should not encourage these appears to Heaven. The pious Prince from whom you are supposed to descend, made fuch frequent use of them in his public declarations, that at last the people also found it necessary to appeal to Heaven in their turn. Your administration has driven us into circumstances of equal distress; beware at least how

you remind us of the remedy. You have already much to answer for. You have provoked this unhappy gentleman to play the fool once more in public life, in spite of his years and infirmities; and to show'us, that, as you yourfelf are a singular instance of youth without spirit, the man who defends you is a no less remarkable example of age without the benefits of experience. To follow fuch a writer minutely would, like his own periods, be a labour without end. The subject too has been already discussed, and is sufficiently understood. I cannot help observing, however, that, when the pardor of M'Quirk was the principal charge against you, it would have been but a decent compliment to your Grace's understanding, to have defended you upon your own principles. What credit does a man deserve, who tells us plainly, that the facts fet forth in the King's proclamation were not the true motives on which the pardon was granted; and that he wishes that those chirurgical reports, which first gave occasion to certain doubts in the royal breaft, had not been laid before his Majefty? You fee, my Lord, that even your friends cannot defend your actions, without changing your principles; nor justify a deliberate measure of government, without contradicting the main affertion on which it was founded.

The conviction of M'Quirk had reduced you to a dilemma, in which it was hardly possible for you to reconobliged either to abandon an active useful partisan, or a protect a felon from public justice. With your usual spirit, you preferred your interest to every other consideration; and with your usual judgment, you founded you determination upon the only motives which should no

nak

our

R,

f e

ipe

rof

ewa

uai

B

npo

OU

nat

g

wn

P

W

ly

ge

fo

pe

R

ru

Ot

I

T

fs

It

n

a

have been given to the public and the

I have frequently centured Mr. Wilkes's conduct, ye your advocate reproaches me with having devoted mylet to the service of sedition. Your Grace can best inform us, for which of Mr. Wilkes's good qualities you first he noured him with your friendship, or how long it was be fore you discovered those bad ones in him, at which, it feems, your delicacy was offended. Remember, my Lord, that you continued your connection with Mr. Wilkes long after he had been convicted of those crimes which you have fince taken pains to represent in the blackest colours of blasphemy and treason. How unlucky to it that the first instance you have given us of a scrupudous regard to decorum is united with the breach of a moral obligation! For my own part, my Lord, I am proud to affirm, that if I had been weak enough to form fuch a friendship, I would never have been base enough to betray it. But let Mr. Wilkes's character be what it may, this at least is certain, that, circumstanced as he is with regard to the public, even his vices plead for him. The people of England have too much discernment to fuffer your Grace to take advantage of the failings of a private character, to establish a precedent by which the public liberty is affected, and which you may hereafter, with equal eafe and fatisfaction, employ to the ruin of the best men in the kingdom. Content yourfelf, my Lord, with the many advantages which the unfullied purity of your own character has given you over your unhappy deferted friend. Avail yourfelf of all the unforgiving piety of the court you live in, and bless God that you " are not as other men " are, extortioners, unjust, adulterers, or even as this " publican." In a heart void of feeling, the laws of honour and good faith may be violated with impunity, and there you may fafely indulge your genius: But the laws of England fliall not be violated, even by your holy zeal to oppress a finner; and though you have fucceeded in naking him a tool, you shall not make him the victim of our ambition.

TUNIUS.

LETTER X.

our not

eli

rm

10

be-

my VII.

nes

the

cky

pu-

no-

pud

h a be-

ay,

he

ate

litral

the

wn

nd.

rou

his

10-

and

of

to

TO MR EDWARD WESTON.

I said you were an old man without the benefit.

I said you were an old man without the benefit.

I experience. It feems you are also a volunteer with the ipend of twenty commissions; and at a period when all rospects are at an end, you are still looking forward to ewards which you cannot enjoy. No man is better actuainted with the bounty of government than you are.

Temeraire vieillard, aura fa recompense.

But I will not descend to an altercation either with the npotence of your age, or the peevishness of your diseases. four pamphlet, ingenious as it is, has been so little read, not the public cannot know how far you have a right o give me the lie, without the following citation of your wn words.

Page 6.— 1. That he is perfuaded that the motives which he (Mr. Weston) has alleged, must appear fully sufficient, with or without the opinions of the surgeons.

'2. That those very motives MUST HAVE BEEN the foundation on which the Earl of Rochfort thought pro-

3. That he CANNOT BUT REGRET that the Earl of Rochfort feems to have thought proper to lay the chirurgical reports before the King, in preference to all the other fufficient motives,' &c.

Let the public determine whether this be defending go-

inment on their principles, or your own.

The style and language you have adopted are, I cons, not ill suited to the elegance of your own manners, to the dignity of the cause you have undertaken. Every mmon dauber writes rascal and villain under his piones; because the pictures themselves have neither ehader nor resemblance. But the works of a master re-

ruire no index. His features and color ring are taken from nature. The impression they make is mme liate and uniform; nor is it possible to mistake his characters, who ther they represent the treachery of a minister, or the abused simplicity of a king.

TUNIUS

fer

er

gr

YO

ge

YO of ex

be m

cx

Ar

ho

fu

to

by

att

fu

pri

tu

prof

de

de

(er

rif

e

do

10

u

LETTER XI.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD,

April 24. 176

THE fystem you seemed to have adopted, who Lord Chatham unexpectedly left you at the head of a fairs, gave us no promife of that uncommon exertion vigour which has fince illustrated your character an diftinguished your administration. Far from discovering a spirit bold enough to invade the first rights of the people and the first principles of the constitution, you were son pulous of exercifing even those powers with which the executive branch of the legislature is legally invested. W have not yet forgotten how long Mr. Wilkes was fuffere to appear at large, nor how long he was at liberty to can vas for the city and county, with all the terrors of an ou dignity, and of the safety of his person, when, at a cris ex which courtiers affected to call alarming, you lest the mount of riot and disorder. The security of the same of t from infult was then sufficiently provided for in Mr. Con way's firmness, and Lord Weymouth's discretion; while the prime minister of Great Britain, in a rural retirement and in the arms of faded beauty, had loft all memory his Sovereign, his country, and himself. In these is stances you might have acted with vigour, for you would have had the fanction of the laws to support you. The friends of government might have defended you without shame; and moderate men, who wish well to the peace and good order of society, might have had a pretence for applauding your conduct. But these, it seems, were of occasions worthy of your Grace's interpolition. Your the

ferved the proofs of your intrepid spirit for trials of greater hazard and importance: and now, as if the most difgraceful relaxation of the executive authority had given you a claim of credit to indulge in excesses still more dangerous, you feem determined to compensate amply for your former negligence, and to balance the non-execution of the laws with a breach of the constitution. From one extreme you fuddenly fart to the other, without leaving, between the weakness and the fury of the passions, one moment's interval for the firmness of the understanding.

These observations, general as they are, might easily be extended into a faithful history of your Grace's adminifration, and perhaps may be the employment of a future hour. But the business of the present moment will not fuffer me to look back to a feries of events, which cease to be interesting or important, because they are succeeded by a measure so singularly daring, that it excites all our

attention, and engrosses all our refentment.

IUS

whe

fal

n d

erin

opk

fen

n th

ffere

can

n out

n ha of hi

crif

re mo

pecio

idend . Con

whil

emen ory

efe U

woul

Th

vithou pead

nce fo

Your patronage of Mr. Luttrell has been crowned with faccess. With this precedent before you, with the principles on which it was established, and with a future House of Commons, perhaps less virtuous than the present, every county in England under the auspices of the treasury, may be represented as completely as the county of Middlesex. Posterity will be indebted to your Grace for not contenting yourself with a temporary expedient, but entailing upon them the immediate bleffings of your administration. Boroughs were already too much at the mercy of government. Counties could neither be purchased nor intimidated. But their solemn determined election may be rejected, and the man they detest may be appointed, by another choice, to repreent them in parliament. Yet it is admitted, that the sheriffs obeyed the laws and performed their duty ". The teturn they made must have been legal and valid, or undoubtedly they would have been cenfured for making it. With every good-natured allowance for your Grace's routh and inexperience, there are fome things which you cannot but know. You cannot but know that the ight of the freeholders to adhere to their choice (even ere of upposing it improperly exerted) was as clear and indifputable as that of the House of Commons to exclude one OU TO

of

pa

wi

in

as

W

the

fta

dic

in '

ter

for

dec

the

mai

app

hole

an l

bert

as c

nor

thei

ong

clair

R

ipic

m

ead

Atte

eat

org

ari

iot

om

eft

of their own members.—Nor is it pellible for you not to fee the wide distance there is between the negative power of rejecting one man, and the positive power of appointing another. The right of expulsion, in the most favourable sense, is no more than the custom of parliament. The right of election is the very essence of the constitution. To violate that right, and much more to transfer it to any other set of men, is a step leading immediately to the dissolution of all government. So far forth as it operates, it constitutes a House of Commons which does not represent the people. A House of Commons so formed would involve a contradiction and the grossest consumer of ideas; but there are some ministers, my Lord, whose views can only be answered by reconciling absurdities, and making the same proposition, which is salse and absurd in argu-

ment, true in fact.

This measure, my Lord, is however attended with one consequence favourable to the people, which I am perfuaded you did not foresee . While the contest lay between the ministry and Mr. Wilkes, his situation and priwate character gave you advantages over him, which common candour, if not the memory of your former friendship, should have forbidden you to make use of. To religious men, you had an opportunity of exaggerating the irregularities of his past life; -to moderate men, you held forth the pernicious consequences of faction. Men, who with this character looked no farther than to the object before them, were not distatisfied at feeing Mr. Wilkes excluded from parliament. You have now taken care to shift the question; or rather, you have created a new one, in which Mr. Wilkes is no more concerned than any other English gentleman. You have united this country against you on one grand constitutional point, on the decision of which our existence, as a free people, absolutely depends You have afferted; not in words but in fact, that the representation in parliament does not depend upon the choice of the freeholders. If fuch a cafe ean possibly happen once, it may happen frequently; it may happen always: -and if three hundred votes, by any mode of reasoning whatfoever, can prevail against twelve hundred, the same reasoning would equally have given Mr. Luttrell his feat with ten votes, or even with one. The consequences e 1.

it

nt

n-

5;

an

ng u-

ne

er-

oe.

m-

nd-

eli-

the

eld

vha

ica

lkes.

e to

one,

ther

inft

n of

nds.

e re-

Dice

ppen rays: afonthe II his ences

of this attack upon the constitution are too plain and palpable not to alarm the dullest apprehension. I trust you will find, that the people of England are neither deficient in spirit nor understanding, though you have treated them as if they had neither sense to feel, nor spirit to resent. We have reason to thank God and our ancestors, that there never yet was a minister in this country who could stand the issue of such a conflict; and with every prejudice in favour of your intentions, I fee no fuch abilities in your Grace as should entitle you to succeed in an enterprife, in which the ablest and basest of your predecesfor have found their destruction. You may continue to deceive your gracious master with false representations of the temper and condition of his fubjects. You may command a venal vote, because it is the common established appendage of your office. But never hope that the freeholders will make a tame furrender of their rights, or that an English army will join with you in overturning the liberties of their country. They know that their first duty as citizens is paramount to all subsequent engagements: nor will they prefer the discipline, or even the honours of their profession, to those sacred original rights, which belonged to them before they were foldiers, and which they chim and possess as the birth-right of Englishmen.

Return, my Lord, before it be too late, to that easy, inspid system which you first set out with. Take back your mistres;—the name of friend may be fatal to her, for it eads to treachery and persecution. Indulge the people. Attend Newmarket. Mr. Luttrell may again vacate his eat; and Mr. Wilkes, if not persecuted, will soon be orgotten. To be weak and inactive, is safer than to be aring and criminal; and wide is the distance between a lot of the populace and a convulsion of the whole king-om. You may live to make the experiment, but no ho-

est man can wish you should survive it.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XII.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD,

May 30. 1769.

ir

fr

e:

y(

ni

th

W

I

21

ab

ha

the

Gi

tuc

ba

he

inf

def

tro

her be

the

for

the

ters and

live

con

tho

virt

pro

utio

If the measures in which you have been most fuccessful had been supported by any tolerable appearance of argument, I should have thought my time not ill employed in continuing to examine your conduct as a minister, and stating it fairly to the public. But when I fee questions of the highest national importance carried as they have been, and the first principles of the constitution openly violated, without argument or decency, I confess I give up the cause in despair. The meanest of your predecessors had abilities sufficient to give a colour to their measures. If they invaded the rights of the people, they did not dare to offer a direct infult to their understanding; and in former times, the most yenal parliaments made it a condition in their bargain with the minister, that he should furnish them with some planfible pretences for felling their country and themselves You have had the merit of introducing a more compen dious fystem of government and logic. You neither ad dress yourself to the passions, nor to the understanding but simply to the touch. You apply yourself immediate ly to the feelings of your friends; who, contrary to the forms of parliament, never enter heartily into a debat until they have divided.

Relinquishing, therefore, all idle views of amendment to your Grace, or of benefit to the public, let me be permitted to consider your character and conduct merely a subject of curious speculation.—There is something it both, which distinguishes you not only from all other ministers, but all other men; it is not that you do wron by design, but that you should never do right by mistake It is not that your indolence and your activity have been equally misapplied; but that the first uniform principle or, if I may call it, the genius of your life, should have carried you through every possible change and contradition of conduct, without the momentary imputation colour of a virtue; and that the wildest spirit of inconsistency should never once have betrayed you into a will

or honourable action. This I own gives an air of fingularity to your fortune as well as to your disposition. Let us look back together to a scene in which a mind like yours will find nothing to repent of. Let us try, my Lord, how well you have supported the various relations in which you stood, to your fovereign, your country, your friends, and yourself. Give us, if it be possible, some excuse to posterity, and to ourselves, for submitting to your administration. If not the abilities of a great minister, if not the integrity of a patriot, or the fidelity of a friend, show us at least the firmness of a man.-For the fake of your mistress, the lover shall be spared. I will not lead her into public, as you have done, nor will I infult the memory of departed beauty. Her fex, which alone made her amiable in your eyes, makes her respectable in mine.

769.

noft

nce

em-

mi-

n l

nsti-

y, 1

ft of

lour

the their

par-

the

plau-

lves

r ad

ding

diate the

ebat

430

men

e per

ng 1

othe

Wron

ftak

e bee

hav

ion (

inco

a WI

The character of the reputed ancestors of some men, has made it possible for their descendants to be vicious in the extreme, without being degenerate. Those of your Grace, for instance, left no distressing examples of virtue even to their legitimate posterity; and you may look back with pleasure to an illustrious pedigree, in which heraldry has not left a fingle good quality upon record to infult or upbraid you. You have better proofs of your descent, my Lord, than the register of a marriage, or any troublesome inheritance of reputation. There are some hereditary strokes of character, by which a family may be as clearly distinguished, as by the blackest features of the human face. Charles the First lived and died a hypocrite. Charles the Second was a hypocrite of another fort, and should have died upon the same scaffold. the distance of a century, we see their different characters happily revived and blended in your Grace. Sullen and fevere without religion, profligate without gaiety, you live like Charles the Second, without being an amiable companion; and, for aught I know, may die as his father did, without the reputation of a martyr.

You had already taken your degrees with credit in those schools in which the English nobility are formed to virtue, when you were introduced to Lord Chatham's protection. From Newmarket, White's, and the opposition, he gave you to the world with an air of popularity,

th

of

to

W

no

th

ho

yo

VI

10

ha

te

no

it

le

m

u

I

m

in

W

ad

W

fr

m

TO

h

0

P

ri

f

C

fi

f

1

which young men usually set out with, and seldom preferve :- grave and plausible enough to be thought fit for business; too young for treachery; and, in short, a pa-Lord Chatham triot of no unpromising expectations. was the earliest object of your political wonder and attachment; yet you deserted him, upon the first hopes that offered of an equal share of power with Lord Rockingham. When the Duke of Cumberland's first negotiation failed, and when the favourite was pushed to the last extremity, you faved him by joining with an administration in which Lord Chatham had refused to engage. Still, however, he was your friend: and you are yet to explain to the world, why you confented to act without him; or why, after uniting with Lord Rockingham, you deferted and betrayed him. You complained that no measures were taken to satisfy your patron; and that friend Mr. Wilkes, who had fuffered so much for the party, had been abandoned to his fate. They have fince contributed, not a little, to your present plenitude of power: yet, I think, Lord Chatham has less reason than ever to be fatisfied; and as for Mr. Wilkes, it is, perhaps, the greatest missortune of his life that you should have to many compensations to make in the closet for your former friendship with him. Your gracious master understands your character; and makes you a persecutor, because you have been a friend.

Lord Chatham formed his last administration upon principles which you certainly concurred in, or you could never have been placed at the head of the Treasury. By deferting those principles, and by acting in a direct contradiction to them, in which he found you were fecretly Supported in the closet, you foon forced him to leave you to yourself, and to withdraw his name from an administration which had been formed on the credit of it. You had then a prospect of friendships better suited to your genius, and more likely to fix your disposition. Marriage is the point on which every rake is stationary at last: and truly, my Lord, you may well be weary of the circuit you have taken; for you have now fairly travelled throw every fign in the political zodiac, from the Scorpion, in which you flung Lord Chatham, to the hopes of a Virgin * in the house of Bloomsbury. One would

think that you had had sufficient experience of the frailty of nuptial engagements, or, at least, that such a friend-ship as the Duke of Bedford's might have been secured to you by the auspicious marriage of your late Duchess with his nephew. But ties of this tender nature cannot be drawn too close; and it may possibly be a part of the Duke of Bedford's ambition, after making her an honest woman, to work a miracle of the same fort upon your Grace. This worthy nobleman has long dealt in virtue. There has been a large consumption of it in his own family; and, in the way of traffic, I dare say, he has bought and sold more than half the representative in-

tegrity of the nation.

C.

or

2-

m

t

es

k-

0-

he

11-

e.

to

ut

Du

10

21

10

57

de

m

5,

ld

70

er

r,

n

ld

n-

ly

u

11-

u

11

1-

at

10

bs

r-

es

Н

In a political view, this union is not imprudent. favour of princes is a perithable commodity. You have now a strength sufficient to command the closet; and if it be necessary to betray one friendship more, you may let even Lord Bute at defiance. Mr. Stuart Mackenzie may polibly remember what use the Duke of Bedford usually makes of his power; and our gracious Sovereign, I doubt not, rejoices at this first appearance of union among his servants. His late Majesty, under the happy influence of a family connection between his ministers, was relieved from the cares of the government. A more active prince may perhaps observe, with suspicion, by what degrees an artful fervant grows upon his mafter, from the first unlimited professions of duty and attachment, to the painful representation of the necessity of the royal fervice, and foon, in regular progression, to the humble infolence of dictating in all the obsequious forms The interval is carefully emof peremptory submission. ployed in forming connections, creating interests, collecting a party, and laying the foundation of double marriages; until the deluded prince, who thought he had found a creature profittuted to his service, and infigniticant enough to be always dependent upon his pleasure, finds him at last too strong to be commanded, and too formidable to be removed.

Your Grace's public conduct, as a minister, is but the counter part of your private history;—the same inconfishency, the same contradictions. In America we trace you, from the sirst opposition to the Stamp Act, on prin-

hav

my

met

rup

clai

yea

not

fect

3

hor

tion

able

hap

fec

and

fha

bee

the

gov

hav

tim

fee

fou

his

Ric

har

Sci

or

in

yo

VIV

va

al

fu

CO

th

th

T

35

he

then forward to Lord Rockingham's furrender of the fact; then back again to Lord Rockingham's declaration of the right; then forward to taxation with Mr. Townshend; and in the last instance, from the gentle Conway's undetermined discretion, to blood and compulsion with the Duke of Bedford: Yet, if we may believe the simplicity of Lord North's eloquence, at the opening of next session, you are once more to be the patron of America. Is this the wisdom of a great minister? or is it the ominous vibration of a pendulum? Had you no opinion of your own, my Lord? or was it the gratification of betraying every party with which you have been united, and of deserting every political principle in which you had concurred?

Your enemies may turn their eyes without regret from this admirable fystem of provincial government. They will find gratification enough in the survey of your do-

meftie and foreign policy.

If, instead of disowning Lord Shelburne, the British court had interpoled with dignity and firmnels, you know, my Lord, that Corfica would never have been invaded. The French faw the weakness of a distracted ministry, and were justified in treating you with contempt. They would probably have yielded in the first instance, rather than hazard a rupture with this country; but, being once engaged, they cannot retreat, without dishonour. Common sense foresees consequences which have escaped your Grace's penetration. Either we fuffer the French to make an acquifition, the importance of which you have probably no conception of; or we oppose them by an underhand management, which only diffraces us in the eyes of Europe, without answering any purpose of policy or prudence. From secret, indirect assistance, a transition to some more open decisive measure becomes unavoidable; till at last we find ourselves principal in the war, and are obliged to hazard every thing for an object which might have originally been obtained without expence or danger. I am not versed in the politics of the north; but this I believe is certain, that half the money you have distributed to carry the expulsion of Mr. Wilkes, or even your secretary's share in the last subscription, would

have kept the Turks at your devotion. Was it economy, my Lord? or did the coy relistance you have constantly met with in the British senate, make you despair of corrupting the Divan? Your friends indeed have the first claim upon your bounty; but if five hundred pounds ayear can be spared in pension to Sir John Moore, it would not have disgraced you to have allowed something to the

fecret fervice of the public.

ti

hè

on

n-

th

n-

2.

11-

e-

d,

OU

m

50

ifh

W,

d.

ry,

ley

er

ng

uf.

ed

ch

ve

an

he

CY

on

id-

ar,

eh

OT

h;

ou

10

ıld

You will say, perhaps, that the situation of affairs at home demanded and engroffed the whole of your attention. Here, I confess, you have been active. An amiable, accomplished prince, ascends the throne under the happiest of all auspices, the acclamations and united affections of his subjects. The first measures of his reign, and even the odium of a favourite, were not able to shake their attachment. Your services, my Lord, have been more fuccessful. Since you were permitted to take the lead, we have feen the natural effects of a system of government at once both odious and contemptible. have feen the laws fometimes fcandalously relaxed, fometimes violently stretched beyond their tone. We have feen the person of the Sovereign insulted; and in profound peace, and with an undisputed title, the fidelity of his subjects brought by his own servants into public question s. Without abilities, resolution, or interest, you have done more than Lord Bute could accomplish with all Scotland at his heels.

Your Grace, little anxious perhaps either for present or future reputation, will not desire to be handed down in these colours to posterity. You have reason to flatter yourself that the memory of your administration will survive even the forms of a constitution which our ancestors vainly hoped would be immortal; and as for your personal character, I will not, for the honour of human nature, suppose that you can wish to have it remembered. The condition of the present times is desperate indeed; but there is a debt sue to those who come after us; and it is the historian's office to punish, though he cannot correct. I do not give you to posterity as a pattern to imitate, but as an example to deter; and as your conduct comprehends every thing that a wife or honest minister should

avoid, I mean to make you a negative instruction to your successors for ever.

TUNIUS.

m

WI

all

CO

po

of

to

lat

pe

ref

fuc

ma

to

had

are

_

It v

lov

to !

fca

tha

hin

tan

the

W

ma

ing

LETTER XIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, June 12. 1769.

The Duke of Grafton's friends, not finding it convenient to enter into a contest with Junius, are now reduced to the last melancholy resource of defeated argument, the slat general charge of scurrility and salfehood. As for his style, I shall leave it to the critics. The truth of his sacts is of more importance to the public. They are of such a nature, that I think a bare contradiction will have no weight with any man who judges for himself. Let us take them in the order in which they appear in his last letter.

1. Have not the first rights of the people, and the first principles of the constitution, been openly invaded, and the very name of an election made ridiculous, by the ar-

bitrary appointment of Mr. Luttrell?

2. Did not the Duke of Grafton frequently lead his mistress into public, and even place her at the head of his table, as if he had pulled down an ancient temple of Venus, and could bury all decency and shame under the ruins?—Is this the man who dares to talk of Mr. Wilkes's morals?

3. Is not the character of his prefumptive ancestors as strongly marked in him as if he had descended from them in a direct legitimate line? The idea of his death is only prophetic; and what is prophecy but a narrative preceding the fact?

4. Was not Lord Chatham the first who raised him to

abandonéd ?

5. Did he not join with Lord Rockingham, and betrap

6. Was he not the bosom friend of Mr. Wilkes, whom he now pursues to destruction?

7. Did he not take his degrees with credit at New-

market, White's, and the opposition?

8. After deferting Lord Chatham's principles, and facrificing his friendship, is he not now closely united with a set of men, who, though they have occasionally joined with all parties, have in every different situation, and at all times, been equally and constantly detested by this country?

9. Has not Sir John Moore a pension of five hundred pounds a-year?—This may probably be an acquittance of favours upon the turf; but is it possible for a minister to offer a grosser outrage to a nation, which has so very lately cleared away the beggary of the civil list at the ex-

pence of more than half a million?

10. Is there any one mode of thinking or acting with respect to America, which the Duke of Grafton has not

fuccessively adopted and abandoned.

man, who has so little delicacy and feeling as to submit to the opprobrium of marrying a near relation of one who had debauched his wife?—In the name of decency, how are these amiable cousins to meet at their uncle's table?—It will be a scene in Œdipus, without the distress.—Is it wealth, or wit, or beauty—or is the amorous youth in love?

The rest is notorious. That Corsica has been sacrificed to the French; that in some instances the laws have been scandalously relaxed, and in others daringly violated; and that the King's subjects have been called upon to affore him of their sidelity, in spite of the measures of his ser-

tants.

Ш

US.

69.

it

WC

18-

od.

th

ley

on

m-

75

rft

nd

ar-

his his

10-

he

s's

25

m

rly

d

to.

he

27

m

A writer, who builds his arguments upon facts such as these, is not easily to be consuted. He is not to be answered by general affertions, or general reproaches. He may want eloquence to amuse and persuade: but, speaking truth, he must always convince.

Pento Junius

want in flowed and badl

LETTER XIV.

Ip

Th

the

ha

pri

of

ful

eft

fcı

kn

in

ag

do

de

ex

ra

fo

no

ly

bi

M

L

th

th

th

m

F

h

h

P

2

C

t

f

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

BIR, June 22. 1769.

THE name of Old Noll is destined to be the ruin of the house of Stuart. There is an ominous fatality in it, which even the spurious descendants of the family cannot escape. Oliver Cromwell had the merit of conducting Charles the First to the block. Your correfpondent Old Noll appears to have the fame defign upon the Duke of Grafton. His arguments confift better with the title he has affumed, than with the principles he profeffes; for, though he pretends to be an advocate for the Duke, he takes care to give us the best reasons why his patron should regularly follow the fate of his presumptive ancestor. - Through the whole course of the Duke of Grafton's life, I see a strange endeavour to unite contradictions, which cannot be reconciled. He marries, to be divorced; he keeps a mistress, to remind him of conjugal endearments; and he chooses such friends, as it is virtue in him to defert. If it were possible for the genius of that accomplished president who pronounced sentence upon Charles the First to be revived in some modern sycophant t, his Grace, I doubt not, would by fympathy difcover him among the dregs of mankind, and take him for a guide in those paths which naturally conduct a minister to the scaffold.

The affertion that two thirds of the nation approve of the acceptance of Mr. Luttrell (for even Old Noll is too modest to call it an election), can neither be maintained nor confuted by argument. It is a point of fact, on which every English gentleman will determine for himfelf. As to lawyers, their profession is supported by the indiscriminate desence of right and wrong; and I confess I have not that opinion of their knowledge or integrity, to think it necessary that they should decide for me upon a plain constitutional question. With respect to the appointment of Mr. Luttrell, the chancellor has never yet given any authentic opinion. Sir Fletcher Norton is indeed an honest, a very honest man; and the Attorney General is ex officio the guardian of liberty, to take care,

I presume, that it shall never break out into a criminal excess. Doctor Blackstone is Solicitor to the Queen. The Doctor recollected that he had a place to preserve, though he forgot that he had a reputation to lose. We have now the good fortune to understand the Doctor's principles as well as writings. For the defence of truth, of law, and reason, the Doctor's book may be safely consulted; but whoever wishes to cheat a neighbour of his estate, or to rob a country of its rights, need make no

scruple of consulting the Doctor himself.

30

a-

of e-

on

th

0-

he

nis

ve

of

abe

gal

ue

at

on

if-

or

cr

of

00

led

on

m-

he els

ty,

p-

in-

re,

The example of the English nobility may, for aught I know, sufficiently justify the Duke of Grafton, when he indulges his genius in all the fathionable excesses of the age; yet, confidering his rank and station, I think it would do him more honour to be able to deny the fact, than to defend it by fuch authority. But if vice itself could be excused, there is yet a certain display of it, a certain outrage to decency, and violation of public decorum, which, for the benefit of fociety, should never be forgiven. It is not that he kept a mistress at home, but that he constantly attended her abroad. It is not the private indulgence, but the public infult, of which I complain. The name of Miss Parsons would hardly have been known, if the First Lord of the Treasury had not led her in triumph through the Opera House, even in the presence of the Queen. When we fee a man act in this manner, we may admit the shameless depravity of his heart, but what are we to think of his understanding?

His Grace, it seems, is now to be a regular domestice man; and, as an omen of the future delicacy and correctness of his conduct, he marries a first cousin of the man who had fixed that mark and title of infamy upon him, which, at the same moment, makes a husband unhappy and ridiculous. The ties of consanguinity may possibly preserve him from the same sate a second time; and as to the distress of meeting, I take for granted the venerable uncle of these common cousins has settled the etiquette in such a manner, that, if a mistake should happen, it may reach no farther than from Madame ma semme

to Madame ma coufine.

The Duke of Grafton has always fome excellent reafon for deferting his friends—Thetage and incapacity of Lord Chatham, the debility of Lord Rockingham, or the infamy of Mr. Wilkes. There was a time, indeed, when he did not appear to be quite so well acquainted, or so violently offended, with the infirmities of his friends. But now, I confers, they are not ill exchanged for the youthful, vigorous virtue of the Duke of Bedford, the firmness of General Conway, the blunt, or if I may call it the awkward, integrity of Mr. Rigby, and the spotless morality of Lord Sandwich.

72

M

h

di

m

n

th

re

re

it

vi

fu

PI

21

fo

d

h

B

th

tr

ti

n

e

Y

to

C

to

P

If a late pension to a "broken gambler be an act worthy of commendation, the Duke of Grafton's connections will furnish him with many opportunities of doing praise worthy actions; and, as he himself bears no part of the expence, the generolity of distributing the public money, for the support of virtuous families in distress, will be an

unquestionable proof of his Grace's humanity.

As to the public affairs, Old Noll is a little tender of descending to particulars. He does not deny that Corsica has been factificed to France; and he confesses, that, with regard to America, his patron's measures have been subject to some variation; but then he promises wonders of stability and firmness for the future. These are mysteries of which we must not pretend to judge by experience; and, truly, I fear we shall perish in the Desert, before we arrive at the Land of Promise. In the regular course of things, the period of the Duke of Grafton's ministerial manhood should now be approaching. The imbecility of his infant-state was committed to Lord Chatham. Charles Townshend took some care of his education at that ambiguous age which lies between the follies of political childhood and the vices of puberty. The empire of the passions soon succeeded. His earliest principles and connections were of course forgotten or despised. The company he has lately kept has been of no fervice to his morals; and, in the conduct of public affairs, we fee the character of his time of life strongly distinguished. An obstinate ungovernable self-sufficiency plainly points out to us that flate of imperfect maturity, at which the graceful levity of youth is loft, and the folidity of experience not yet acquired. It is possible the young man may in time grow wifer, and reform; but, if I underfland his disposition, it is not of such corrigible sull, that

he

en

18.

he

he all

10

ns fe

he

y, an

of

Ca

at,

en

yf-

rt,

ar

11-

m-12-

2-

114

cs d.

to

CC

d.

113

he

10-

an.

T.

at

we should hope for any amendment in him before he has accomplished the destruction of this country. Like other rakes, he may perhaps live to see his error, but not until he has ruined his estate.

PHILO JUNEUS.

LETTER XV.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD, July 8. 1769.

IF nature had given you an understanding qualified to keep pace with the wishes and principles of your heart, the would have made you perhaps the most formidable minister that ever was employed under a limited monarch, to accomplish the ruin of a free people. When neither the feelings of shame, the reproaches of-conscience, nor the dread of punishment, form any bar to the defigns of a minister, the people would have too much reason to lament their condition, if they did not find some resource in the weakness of his understanding. We owe it to the bounty of Providence, that the completest depravity of the heart is fometimes strangely united with a confusion of the mind, which counteracts the most favourite principles, and makes the fame man treacherous without art, and a hypocrite without deceiving. The measures, for instance, in which your Grace's activity has been chiefly exerted, as they were adopted without ikil, thould have been conducted with more than common dexterity. But truly, my Lord, the execution has been as groß as the defign. By one decifive step, you have defeated all the arts of writing. You have fairly confounded the intrigues of opposition, and filenced the clamours of faction. A dark ambiguous fystem might require and furwith the materials of ingenious illustration; and, in doubtful measures, the virulent exaggeration of party must be employed, to rouse and engage the patitions of the people. You have now brought the merits of your administration to an iffue, on which every Englishman, of the narrowest capacity, may determine for himself: It is not an alarm to the passions, but a calm appeal to the judgment of the people, upon their own most essemial interests. A more

mi

to

tio

col

COL

for

my

rei

fur

fle

de

an

tie

fol

try

tri

fta

Su

w

cii

de

in

yo bo

of

th

u

in

W

te

21

to

u

0

d

h

experienced minister would not have hazarded a direct invasion of the first principles of the constitution, before he had made some progress in subduing the spirit of the people. With such a cause as yours, my Lord, it is not sufficient that you have the court at your devotion, unless you can find means to corrupt or intimidate the jury. The collective body of the people form that jury, and

from their decision there is but one appeal.

Whether you have talents to support you at a crisis of fuch difficulty and danger, should long since have been confidered. Judging truly of your disposition, you have perhaps mistaken the extent of your capacity. Good faith and folly have fo long been received as fynonymous terms, that the reverse proposition has grown into credit, and every villain fancies himself a man of abilities. It is the apprehension of your friends, my Lord, that you have drawn fome hafty conclusion of this fort, and that a partial reliance upon your moral character has betrayed you beyond the depth of your understanding. You have now carried things too far to retreat. You have plainly declared to the people what they are to expect from the continuance of your administration. It is time for your Grace to confider what you also may expect in return from their spirit and their resentment.

Since the accession of our most gracious Sovereign to the throne, we have feen a system of government which may well be called a reign of experiments. Parties of all denominations have been employed and difmiffed. The advice of the ablest men in this country have been repeatedly called for and rejected; and when the royal displeafure has been fignified to a minister, the marks of it have usually been proportioned to his abilities and integrity. The fpirit of the favourite had fome apparent influence upon every administration; and every set of ministers preferved an appearance of duration as long as they fubmitted to that influence. But there were certain services to be performed for the favourite's fecurity, or to gratify his refentments, which your predecessors in office had the wisdom or the virtue not to undertake. The moment this refractory spirit was discovered, their disgrace was determined. Lord Chatham, Mr. Grenville, and Lord Rockingham, have successively had the honour to be dilred

ore

the

not

un-

Iry.

and

of

een

ave

boo

ous

lit,

t is

ave

ar-

BO

WO

le-

he

ur

irn

to

ch

all

he

at-

a-

ve

ty.

CE

ers

b-

es

fy

he

nt

25

rd

1

miffed for preferring their duty, as fervants of the public, to those compliances which were expected from their station. A submissive administration was at last gradually collected from the deferters of all parties, interest, and connections; and nothing remained but to find a leader for these gallant, well disciplined troops. Stand forth, my Lord, for thou art the man. Lord Bute found no resource of dependence or security in the proud imposing superiority of Lord Chatham's abilities, the shrewd inflexible judgment of Mr. Grenville, nor in the mild but determined integrity of Lord Rockingham. His views and situation required a creature void of all these properties; and he was forced to go through every division, refolution, composition, and refinement, of political chemistry, before he happily arrived at the caput mortuum of vitriol in your Grace. Flat and infipid in your retired state, but, brought into action, you became vitriol again. Such are the extremes of alternate indolence or fury which have governed your whole administration. Your circumstances with regard to the people soon becoming desperate, like other honest servants you determined to involve the best of masters in the same difficulties with yourself. We owe it to your Grace's well-directed labours, that your Sovereign has been perfuaded to doubt of the affections of his subjects, and the people to suspect the virtues of their Sovereign, at a time when both were unquestionable. You have degraded the Royal dignity into a base and dishonourable competition with Mr. Wilkes; nor had you abilities to carry even the last contemptible triumph over a private man, without the grolfelt violation of the fundamental laws of the constitution and rights of the people. But these are rights, my Lord, which you can no more annihilate, than you can the foil to which they are annexed. The question no longer turns upon points of national honour and fecurity abroad, or on the degrees of expedience and propriety of measures at home. It was not inconsistent that you should abandon the cause of liberty in another country, which you had perfecuted in your own; and in the common arts of domestic corruption, we miss no part of Sir Robert Walpole's fystem except his abilities. In this humble imitative line you might long have proceeded fafe and con-

refs

on

-A

he I

in in

nen

her

hen

ow

hofe

anc

east

hat f a

fa

s re

OW

ot

ifc

nay

am

ruf

ou

vill

o r

ho

ou

OI

lift

TO

rec n

lur

or

he

O

temptible. You might probably never have rifen to the dignity of being hated, and even have been despised with moderation. But it seems you meant to be distinguish ed; and, to a mind like yours, there was no other ro to fame but by the destruction of a noble fabric, which you thought had been too long the admiration of man kind. The use you have made of the military force i troduced an alarming change in the mode of executing the laws. The arbitrary appointment of Mr. Luttrell is vades the foundation of the laws themselves, as it man feltly transfers the right of legislation from those who the people have chosen, to those whom they have rejed With a fuccession of such appointments, we may Soon fee a House of Commons collected, in the choice which the other towns and counties of England will have as little there as the devoted county of Middlefex.

Yet I trust that your Grace will find that the peop of this country are neither to be intimidated by violen measures, nor deceived by refinements. When they is Mr. Luttrell feated in the House of Commons by mer dint of power, and in direct opposition to the choice of whole county, they will not liften to those subtleties by which every arbitrary exertion of authority is explained into the law and privilege of parliament. It requires m perfuation of argument, but fimply the evidence of the fenses, to convince them, that to transfer the right of election from the collective to the representative body of the people, contradicts all those ideas of a House of Commons, which they have received from their forefather, and which they had already, though vainly perhaps, de livered to their children. The principles on which this violent measure has been defended, have added scorn w injury; and forced us to feel, that we are not only opprefied, but insulted.

With what force, my Lord, with what protection, are you prepared to meet the united detestation of the people of England? The city of London has given a generous example to the kingdom, in what manner a king of this country ought to be addressed; and I fancy, my Lord, it is not yet in your courage to stand between your Sovereign and the addresses of his subjects. The injuries you have done this country are such as demand not only to

with road hid hid in the control of the control of

len len la

of a

s by

not

100

the

of

y of

om

ers

de

his

1 to

op-

art

ple

005

his

, it

rve-

VOU

10

refs, but vengeance. In vain shall you look for protecon to that venal vote, which you have already paid for. -Another must be purchased; and, to save a minister, he House of Commons must declare themselves not onindependent of their constituents, but the determined nemies of the constitution. Consider, my Lord, wheher this be an extremity to which their fears will permit hem to advance; or, if their protection should fail you, ow far you are authorised to rely upon the fincerity of hose smiles which a pious court lavishes without relucance upon a libertine by profession. It is not indeed the aft of the thousand contradictions which attend you, hat a man, marked to the world by the groffest violation fall ceremony and decorum, should be the first servant f a court, in which prayers are morality, and kneeling religion. Trust not too far to appearances, by which our predecessors have been deceived, though they have ot been injured. Even the best of princes may at last liscover, that this is a contention, in which every thing hav be loft, but nothing can be gained; and as you beame minister by accident, were adopted without choice, rufted without confidence, and continued without faour, be affured, that, whenever an occasion presses, you vill be discarded without even the forms of regret. You will then have reason to be thankful, if you are permitted o retire to that feat of learning, which, in contemplaion of the system of your life, the comparative purity of our manners with those of their high steward, and a housand other recommending circumstances, has chosen ou to encourage the growing virtue of their youth, and o preside over their education. Whenever the spirit of listributing prebends and bishopricks shall have departed rom you, you will find that learned feminary perfectly ecovered from the delirium of an installation, and, what n truth it ought to be, once more a peaceful scene of lumber and thoughtless meditation. The venerable tuors of the university will no longer diffress your modelty, by proposing you for a pattern to their pupils. The earned dulness of declamation will be filent; and even he venal muse, though happiest in fiction, will forget our virtues. Yet, for the benefit of the succeeding age, could with that your retreat might be deferred, until

your morals shall happily be ripened to that maturity of corruption, at which the worst examples cease to be contagious.

TUNIUS

bin

who

faci

of of

and wh

nio

16

"

"

66

*

í

"

I a

Co

in

br

ar

en

ne

to

n

fu

to

H

21

6

b

n

t

0

a

1

1

LETTER XVI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

July 19 176

A GREAT deal of useless argument might have been faved in the political contest, which has arisen from the expulsion of Mr. Wilkes, and the subsequent appoint ment of Mr. Luttrell, if the question had been once stated with precision, to the satisfaction of each party, and clearly understood by them both. But in this, as in a most every other dispute, it usually happens, that much time is loft in referring to a multitude of cases and pro cedents, which prove nothing to the purpose; or i maintaining propositions, which are either not disputed or, whether they be admitted or denied, are entirely in different as to the matter in debate; until at last the mind, perplexed and confounded with the endless subtle ties of controversy, loses fight of the main question, and never arrives at truth. Both parties in the dispute an apt enough to practife these distionest artifices. The man who is confcious of the weakness of his cause, is interest ed in concealing it: and, on the other fide, it is not un common to fee a good cause mangled by advocates who do not know the real strength of it.

I should be glad to know, for instance, to what purpose, in the present case, so many precedents have been produced to prove, that the House of Commons have a right to expel one of their own members; that it belongs to them to judge of the validity of elections; or that the law of parliament is part of the law of the land v? After all these propositions are admitted, Mr. Luttrell's right to his seat will continue to be just as disputable as it was before. Not one of them is at present in agitation. Let it be admitted that the House of Commons were authorised to expel Mr. Wilkes, that they are the proper count to judge of elections, and that the law of parliament is

binding upon the people: still it remains to be inquired. whether the house, by their resolution in favour of Mr. Luttrell, have or have not truly declared that law. facilitate this inquiry, I would have the question cleared of all foreign or indifferent matter. The following state of it will probably be thought a fair one by both parties; and then I imagine there is no gentleman in this country, who will be capable of forming a judicious and true opinion upon it. I take the question to be strictly this: "Whether or not it be the known, established law of " parliament, that the expulsion of a member of the " House of Commons of itself creates in him such an in-" capacity to be re-elected, that, at a subsequent elec-" tion, any votes given to him are null and void; and " that any other candidate, who, except the person ex-" pelled, has the greatest number of votes, ought to be

" the fitting member?"

con.

TUE

176

have

ron

oint-

ated

and

2 2

uch

pro

r ii

ted

in

the

otle

ane

man

reft.

un-

who

pur-

peen

ve a

ngs

the

fter

t to

was

La

tho-

ourt

2t 1

To prove that the affirmative is the law of parliament, I apprehend it is not fufficient for the present House of Commons to declare it to be fo. We may shut our eyes indeed to the dangerous consequences of suffering one branch of the legislature to declare new laws, without argument or example, and it may perhaps be prudent enough to submit to authority; but a mere affertion will never convince, much less will it be thought reasonable to prove the right by the fact itself. The ministry have not yet pretended to fuch a tyranny over our minds. To support the affirmative fairly, it will either be necessary to produce some statute, in which that positive provision shall have been made, that specific disability clearly created, and the consequences of it declared; or, if there be no fuch statute, the custom of parliament must then be referred to; and some case or cases w, strictly in point, must be produced, with the decision of the court upon them; for I readily admit, that the custom of parliament, once clearly proved, is equally binding with the common and statute law.

The confideration of what may be reasonable or unreasonable makes no part of this question. We are inquiring what the law is, not what it ought to be. Reason may be applied to show the impropriety or expedience of a law, but we must have either statute or precedent to

ppo

rove

II.

om

ynn

ould

eive

hey

ir.

ney and

tea

and

utio

rho

T

be

th

70

prove the existence of it. At the same time I do no mean to admit that the late resolution of the House of Commons is defensible on general principles of reason any more than in law. This is not the hinge on which the debate turns.

Supposing, therefore, that I have laid down an accurate flate of the question, I will venture to affirm, 1st, That there is no statute existing, by which that specific disability which we speak of is created. If there be, let it be produced. The argument will then be at an end.

adly, That there is no precedent, in all the proceedings of the House of Commons, which comes entirely home to the present case, viz. "where an expelled member has been returned again, and another candidate, with an inserior number of votes, has been declared the fitting member." If there be such a precedent, let it be given to us plainly, and I am sure it will have more weight than all the cunning arguments which have been

drawn from inferences and probabilities.

The ministry, in that laborious pamphlet, which I prefume contains the whole strength of the party, have declared . "That Mr. Walpole's was the first and only in-" stance in which the electors of any county or borough " had returned a person expelled to serve in the same of parliament." It is not possible to conceive a case more exactly in point. Mr. Walpole was expelled; and, having a majority of votes at the next election; was returned again. The friends of Mr. Taylor, a candidate fet up by the ministry, petitioned the House that he might be the litting member. Thus far the circumstances tally exactly, except that our House of Commons saved Mr. Luttrell the trouble of petitioning. The point of law, however, was the fame. It came regularly before the House, and it was their business to determine upon it. They did determine it, for they declared Mr. Taylor not duly elected. If it be faid that they meant this resolution as matter of favour and indulgence to the borough; which had retorted Mr. Walpole upon them, in order that the burgeffes, knowing what the law was, might correct their error, I answer,

I. That it is a strange way of arguing, to oppose a

pposition, which no man can prove, to a fact which over itself.

II. That if this were the intention of the House of commons, it must have deseated itself. The burgesses of you could never have known their error, much less ould they have corrected it by any instruction they recived from the proceedings of the House of Commons. hey might perhaps have foreseen, that, if they returned fr. Walpole again, he would again be rejected; but my never could inser, from a resolution by which the andidate with the sewest votes was declared not duly bested, that, at a future election, and in similar circumtances, the House of Commons would reverse their resolution, and receive the same candidate as duly elected thom they had before rejected.

This indeed would have been a most extraordinary way feelaring the law of parliament, and what I presume man, whose understanding is not at cross-purposes.

ith itself, could possibly understand.

0 10

afe o

afor

which

ural

Tha

ifabi

it b

ceed

tirely

nem-

date,

lared

t, let

more

been

pre-

de-

in-

ugh

ame

nore

hav-

utri-

fet

ight

ally

Mr.

aw,

the

it.

not

olu-

gh;

der

ght

e 2

If, in a case of this importance, I thought myself at berty to argue from suppositions rather than from facts, think the probability, in this instance, is directly the everse of what the ministry assume; and that it is much were likely that the House of Commons at that time would rather have strained a point in favour of Mr. Tayw, than that they would have violated the law of parament, and robbed Mr. Taylor of a right legally vested in him, to gratify a refractory borough, which, in deance of them, had returned a person branded with the trongest mark of the displeasure of the House.

But really, Sir, this way of talking, for I cannot call targument, is a mockery of the common understanding the nation, too gross to be endured. Our dearest intests are at stake. An attempt had been made, not needly to rob a single county of its rights, but, by inestable consequence, to alter the constitution of the House of Commons. This stall attempt has succeeded, and tands as a precedent recorded for ever. If the ministry we unable to defend their cause by fair argument sounded on sacts, let them spare us at least the mortification of the samused and deladed like children. I believe there yet a spirit of resistance in this country, which will not

fabmit to be oppressed: but I am sure there is a fund of good sense in this country, which cannot be deceived.

JUNIOS

lav

if

de

aid

but

var

on

Ho

ver

ha

priv

mat

divi

mu

DOV

wh

mei

but

ree

wo

wit

m

lute

edg

Driv

Affi I

able

parl

LETTER XVII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

STR:

Aug: 1. 176

It will not be necessary for Junius to take the trouble of answering your correspondent G. A. or the quotation from a speech without doors, published in you paper of the 28th of last month. The speech appeared before Junius's letter; and as the author seems to consider the great proposition, on which all his argument depends, viz. That Mr. Wilkes was under that known legal incapacity of which Junius speaks, as a point granted, his speech is in no shape an answer to Junius, for the

is the very question in debate.

As to G. A. I observe, first, that if he did not admi Junius's state of the question, he should have shown the fallacy of it, or given us a more exact one; -fecondly that, confidering the many hours and days which the mi nistry and their advocates have wasted in public debate in compiling large quartos, and collecting innumerable precedents, expressly to prove that the late proceeding of the House of Commons are warranted by the law custom, and practice of parliament, it is rather an er traordinary supposition, to be made by one of their own party, even for the fake of argument, " That no fuch flatute, no fuch custom of parliament, no such case in point "can be produced." G. A. may however make the sup-position with safety. It contains nothing but literally the fact, except that there is a case exactly in point, with decision of the House diametrically opposite to that which the present House of Commons came to in favour of Ma Luttrell.

The ministry now begin to be ashamed of the weakness of their cause; and, as it usually happens with sales hood, are driven to the necessity of shifting their ground, and changing their whole defence. At sirst we were told, that nothing could be clearer than that the proceed-

ings of the House of Commons were justified by the known law and uniform custom of parliament. But it now feems, if there be no law, the House of Commons have a right to make one; and if there be no precedent, they have a right to create the first :- for this I presume is the amount of the questions proposed to Junius. If your correspondent had been at all versed in the law of parliament, or generally in the laws of this country, he would have feen

that his defence is as weak and false as the former.

769 the

Ou

Te

COD

ren

WC

ant

thi

lmi

the

idly,

mi

bate

rabk

ing

law

er.

own

ı fta-

oint

Sup-

y the

ith 1

which

Mr

knell

falle

ound,

were

ceed

The privileges of either House of Parliament, it is true. are indefinite, that is, they have not been described or aid down in any one code or declaration whatfoever; but whenever a question of privilege has arisen, it has invariably been disputed or maintained upon the footing of precedents alone . In the course of the proceedings upon the Aylfbury election, the House of Lords resolved, That neither House of Parliament had any power, by any vote or declaration, to create to themselves any new privilege that was not warranted by the known laws " and customs of parliament." And to this rule the House of Commons, though otherwise they had acted in a very arbitrary manner, gave their affent; for they affirmed hat they had guided themselves by it, in afferting their privileges.-Now, Sir, if this be true with respect to matters of privilege, in which the House of Commons, infividually and as a body, are principally concerned, how much more strongly will it hold against any pretended power in that House to create or declare a new law, by which not only the rights of the House over their own member, and those of the member himself, are included, but all those of a third and separate party. I mean the freeholders of the kingdom? To do justice to the mihistry, they have not yet pretended that any one, or any wo of the three estates have power to make a new law. without the concurrence of the third. They know that man who maintains fuch a doctrine, is liable, by stalute, to the heaviest penalties. They do not acknowedge that the House of Commons have assumed a new privilege, or declared a new law. On the contrary, they firm that their proceedings have been strictly conformable to, and founded upon the ancient law and custom of Parliament. Thus, therefore, the question returns to the

point at which Junius had fixed it, viz. "Whether or no "this be the law of parliament?" If it be not, the House of Commons had no legal authority to establish the precedent; and the precedent itself is a mere fact, without

any proof of right whatfoever.

Your correspondent concludes with a question of the simplest nature, Must a thing be wrong, because it has never been done before? No. But admitting it were proper to be done, that alone does not convey any authority to do it. As to the present case, I hope I shall never see the time when not only a single person, but a whole country, and in effect the entire collective body of the people, may again be robbed of their birth right, by a vote of the House of Commons. But if, for reason which I am unable to comprehend, it be necessary to trust that House with a power so exorbitant and so unconstitutional, at least let it be given to them by an act of the legislature.

PHILO JUNIUS

fpe

ha

are

giv

arg of

not

Gr

WOI

eff

Bat

hefi

nto

mo

f a

usti oam

ion Y

nen

onf

her

nen

uef

ega

ie f

is t

nd]

tale

pu

ffic

ries

yo.

LETTER XVIII.

TO SIR WILLIAM BLACKSTONE, SOLICITOR GENERAL TO

SIR, affording a for the House of the Market

July 29. 1765

I SHALL make you no apology for considering a certain pamphlet, in which your late conduct is defended, as written by yourself. The personal interests, the personal resentments, and, above all, that wounded spirit, unaccustomed to repreach, and I hope not frequently conscious of deserving it, are signals which betray the author to us as plainly as if your name were in the title page. You appeal to the public in desence of your reputation. We hold it, Sir, that an injury offered to an individual, is interesting to society. On this principle, the people of England made common cause with Mr. Wilkes. On this principle, if you are injured, they will join in your resentment. I shall not follow you through the insipid form of a third person, but address myself to you directly.

You feem to think the channel of a pamphlet more re-

spectable, and better suited to the dignity of your cause han that of a newspaper. Be it so. Yet if newspapers are scurrilous, you must confess they are impartial. They give us, without any apparent preference, the wit and rgument of the ministry, as well as the abusive dulness of the opposition. The scales are equally poised. It is not the printer's fault, if the greater weight inclines the palance.

S

e-

of by

PEE

flu

Ωi.

the

US.

TO

23

1764

ng a

ided,

per-

pirit,

ently

e au

ur re-

to an

iciple,

y will

rough

felf to

ore re-

Your pamphlet then is divided into an attack upon Mr. Grenville's character, and a defence of your own. It would have been more confistent perhaps with your proleffed intention, to have confined yourfelf to the last. But anger has some claim to indulgence, and railing is stually a relief to the mind. I hope you have found beefit from the experiment. It is not my defign to enter nto a formal vindication of Mr. Grenville, upon his own rinciples. I have neither the honour of being personally nown to him, nor do I pretend to be completely mafter fall the facts. I need not run the risk of doing an inuffice to his opinions, or to his conduct, when your amphlet alone carries upon the face of it a full vindicaion of both.

Your first reflection is, that Mr. Grenville 2 was, of all nen, the person who should not have complained of inonfistence with regard to Mr. Wilkes. This, Sir, is eiter an unmeaning fneer, a peevish expression of resentent, or, if it means any thing, you plainly beg the uestion; for whether his parliamentary conduct with gard to Mr. Wilkes has or has not been inconfiftent, reains yet to be proved. But it feems he received upon e spot a sufficient chastisement for exercising so unfairly stalents of misrepresentation. You are a lawyer, Sir, d know better than I do upon what particular occasions talent for mifrepresentation may be fairly exerted; but punish a man a second time, when he has been once ficiently chastised, is rather too severe. It is not in e laws of England; it is not in your own Commennes; nor is it yet, I believe, in the new law you have ealed to the House of Commons. I hope this doctrine no existence but in your own heart. After all, Sir, you had confulted that fober discretion, which you. m to oppose with triumph to the honest jollity of a ta-

vern, it might have occurred to you, that, although you could have succeeded in fixing a charge of inconfishence upon Mr. Grenville, it would not have tended in any

a

66

in

qu

ch

w

in

ju

the

w

are

Spe

wil

of

VOL

it f

hav

inc

yea

ticu

fore

the

by 1

Mic

10,

lone

have

be r

tatio

affec

dual

You

Han

hws

nefit

alls Sir,

our

com!

Y

shape to exculpate yourself.

Your next infinuation, that Sir William Meredith had hastily adopted the false glosses of his new ally, is of the same fort with the first. It conveys a sneer as little wor. thy of the gravity of your character, as it is useless to your defence. It is of little moment to the public to in quire, by whom the charge was conceived, or by whom it was adopted. The only question we ask is, Whether or no it be true? The remainder of your reflection upon Mr. Grenville's conduct destroy themselves. He could not possibly come prepared to traduce your integrity to the House. He could not foresee that you would even speak upon the question; much less would be forfee that you could maintain a direct contradiction of that doctrine which you had folemnly, difinterstedly, and upon soberest reflection, delivered to the public. He came armed indeed with what he thought a respectable authority, to support what he was convinced was the cause of truth; and I doubt not he intended to give you, in the course of the debal, an honourable and public testimony of his esteem. Thinking highly of his abilties, I cannot however allow him the gift of divination As to what you are pleased to call a plan coolly formed to impose upon the House of Commons, and his producing it without provocation at midnight, I consider it as the language of pique and invective, therefore unworthy regard. But, Sir, I am fenfible I have followed your example too long, and wandered from the point.

The quotation from your Commentaries is matter a record. It can neither be altered by your friends, no mifrepresented by your enemies; and I am willing to take your own word for what you have said in the House of Commons. If there be a real difference between what you have written and what you have spoken, you constitute your book ought to be the standard. Now, Sir, i words mean any thing, I apprehend, that when a lone enumeration of disqualifications (whether by statute of the custom of parliament) concludes with these generation of parliament) concludes with these generations.

" and disqualifications, every subject of the realm is eli" gible of common right," a reader of plain understanding must of course rest satisfied that no species of disqualification whatsoever had been committed. The known
character of the author, and the apparent accuracy with
which the whole work is compiled, would confirm him
in his opinion; nor could he possibly form any other
judgment, without looking upon your Commentaries in
the same light in which you consider those penal laws
which, though not repealed, are fallen into disuse, and

are now in effect a SNARE TO THE UNWARY .

DO

ce

ny

ad

he

orto

in-

om

her

ons

He

ite.

uld

ore-

that

ind,

He

able

the

you,

blic

bili-

tion

med

cing

s the

y of

r ex

er d

, no

take

ife o

wha

rafel

ir, I

lon

te o

ner

Livin

You tell us indeed, that it was not part of your plan to specify any temporary incapacity; and that you could not, without a spirit of prophecy, have specified the disability of a private individual, subsequent to the period at which you wrote. What your plan was, I know not; but what it should have been, in order to complete the work you have given us, is by no means difficult to determine. The incapacity, which you call temporary, may continue feven years; and though you might not have foreseen the particular case of Mr. Wilkes, you might, and should have foreseen the possibility of such a case, and told us how far the House of Commons were authorised to proceed in it by the law and custom of parliament. The freeholders of Middlefex would then have known what they had to trust to, and would never have returned Mr. Wilkes, when Colonel Luttrell was a candidate against him. They would have chosen some indifferent person, rather than submit to be represented by the object of their contempt and detestation.

Your attempt to distinguish between disabilities which affect whole classes of men, and those which affect individuals only, is really unworthy of your understanding. Your Commentaries had taught me, that although the inflance in which a penal law is exerted be particular, the laws themselves are general. They are made for the benefit and instruction of the public, though the penalty salls only upon an individual. You cannot but know, sir, that what was Mr. Wilkes's case yesterday, may be source or mine to-morrow; and that, consequently, the tommon right of every subject of the realm is invaded by a Professing, therefore, to treat of the constitution of the

House of Commons, and of the laws and customs relative to that constitution, you certainly were guilty of a most unpardonable omission in taking no notice of a right and privilege of the House, more extraordinary and more arbitrary than all the others they possess put together. If the expulsion of a member, not under any legal disability, of itself creates in him an incapacity to be elected, I see a ready way marked out, by which the majority may at any time remove the honestest and ablest men who happen to be in opposition to them. To say that they will not make this extravagant use of their power, would be a language unsit for a man so learned in the laws as you are. By your doctrine, Sir, they have the power; and laws, you know, are intended to guard against what men may do not to trust what they will do.

Upon the whole, Sir, the charge against you is of a plain, simple nature: It appears even upon the face of your own pamphlet. On the contrary, your justification of yourself is full of subtlety and refinement, and in some places not very intelligible. If I, were personally your enemy, I should dwell, with a malignant pleasure, upon those great and useful qualifications which you certainly posses, and by which you once acquired, though they could not preserve to you, the respect and esteem of your country. I should enumerate the honours you have lost, and the virtues you have disgraced: but having no private resentments to gratify, I think it sufficient to have given my opinion of your public conduct, leaving the punishment it deserves to your closet and to yourself.

JUNIUS.

BO

pai

all

cre

of

tol

pa

dif

in

ex

fto

ne

tra

fa

u

is

th

W

hi

fu

h

ft

u

"

"

tl

0

I

LETTER XIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, August 14-1769

A CORRESPONDENT of the St. James's Evening Post first wilfully misunderstands Junius, then censures him for a bad reasoner. Junius does not say that it was incumbent upon Dr. Blackstone to foresee and state the crimes for which Mr. Wilkes was expelled. If, by a spirit of prophecy, he had even done so, it would have been

nothing to the purpose. The question is, Not for what particular offences a person may be expelled, but general-ally whether by the law of parliament expulsion alone creates a disqualification? If the affirmative be the law of parliament, Doctor Blackstone might, and should have told us so. The question is not confined to this or that particular person, but forms one great general branch of disqualification, too important in itself, and too extensive in its consequences, to be omitted in an accurate work,

expressly treating of the law of parliament.

The truth of the matter is evidently this :- Dr. Blackstone, while he was speaking in the House of Commons, never once thought of his Commentaries, until the contradiction was unexpectedly urged, and stared him in the face. Instead of defending himself upon the spot, he sunk under the charge in an agony of confusion and despair. It is well known that there was a paule of some minutes in the House, from a general expectation that the Doctor would fay-fomething in his own defence; but it feems his faculties were too much overpowered to think of those fubtleties and refinements which have fince occurred to him. It was then Mr. Grenville received the severe chaftisement which the Doctor mentions with so much triumph: " I wish the honourable gentleman, instead of " shaking his head, would shake a good argument out " of it." If to the elegance, novelty, and bitterness of this ingenious farcasm, we add the natural melody of Sir. Fletcher Norton's pipe, we shall not be surprised that Mr. Grenville was unable to make him any reply.

As to the Doctor, I would recommend it to him to be quiet. If not, he may perhaps hear again from Junius

himfelf

tive

nof

and

rbi

the

, of

ee a

any

n to

nake

rage By

you do,

of a

e of

tion

ome

rout

pon

inly

they

your

loft,

prihave

pu-

IUS.

1 769.

ning

him

in-

the

fpi-

con

PHILO JUNIUS.

Postscript to a pamphlet intituled, An Answer to the Question Stated.' Supposed to be written by Dr. Blackstone, Solicitor to the Queen, in answer to Junius's Letter.

Since these papers were sent to the press, a writer in the public papers, who subscribes himself Junius, has made a seint of bringing this question to a short issue. Though the foregoing observations contain, in my opinion

at least, a full refutation of all that this writer has offered, I shall, however, bestow a very sew words upon him. It will cost me very little trouble to unravel and expose the sophistry of this argument.

pab

Thi

all:

2 0

W

evic

efta

pru

giv

opp

can

hav blif

fub

tity

mo

all

de

to Se

to

ca

th

to

"

"

11

i

I take the question (fays he) to be strictly this: Whether or no it be the known established law of parliament,

that the expulsion of a member of the House of Commons of itself creates in him such an incapacity to be

re-elected, that, at a subsequent election, any votes gi-

wen to him are null and void; and that any other candidate who, except the person expelled, has the greatest

' number of votes, ought to be the fitting member?'

Waving for the present any objection I may have to this flate of the question, I shall venture to meet our champion upon his own ground, and attempt to support the affirmative of it, in one of the two ways by which he fays it can be alone fairly supported. If there be no statute ' (fays he) in which the specific disability is clearly created, &c. (and we acknowledge there is none), the custom of s parliament must then be referred to, and some case, or cases, strictly in point, must be produced, with the decision of the court upon them. Now I affert, that this has been done. Mr. Walpole's case is strictly in point, to prove that expulsion creates absolute incapacity of being re-elected. This was the clear decision of the House upon it; and was a full declaration, that incapacity was the necessary consequence of expulsion. The law was:as clearly and firmly fixed by this refolution, and is as binding in every fubfequent case of expulsion, as if it had been declared by an express statute, " that a member " expelled by a resolution of the House of Commons shall " be deemed incapable of being re-elected." Whatever doubt then there might have been of the law before Mr. Walpole's case, with respect to the full operation of a vote of expulsion, there can be none now. The decision of the House upon this case is strictly in point to prove, that expulsion creates absolute incapacity in law of being reelected.

But incapacity in law, in this instance, must have the same operation and effect with incapacity in law in every other instance. Now, incapacity of being re-elected implies in its very terms, that any votes given to the inca-

pable person, at a subsequent election, are null and void. This is its necessary operation, or it has no operation at all: It is von et praterea nibil. We can no more be called upon to prove this proposition, than we can to prove that a dead man is not alive, or that twice two are four. When the terms are understood, the proposition is felf-

evident.

d.

It

he

le-

ıt,

m-

be

71-

11-

eR

119

on

2-

it

te

d.

of

e,

ne

át

in

ty

ie

ty

W

18

it

25 11

T

.

e

of

it

e

Lastly, It is, in all cases of election, the known and established law of the land, grounded upon the clearest principles of reason and common sense, that if the votesgiven to one candidate are null and void, they cannot be opposed to the votes given to another candidate. They cannot affect the votes of such candidate at all. As they have on the one hand no politive quality to add or establish, so they have on the other hand no negative one to subtract or destroy. They are, in a word, a mere nonentity. Such was the determination of the House of Commons in the Malden and Bedford elections; cases strictly in point to the present question, as far as they are meant to be in point. And to fay, that they are not in point inall circumstances, in those particularly which are independent of the proposition which they are quoted to prove, is to fay no more than that Malden is not Middlesex, nor berjeant Comyns Mr. Wilkes.

Let us fee then how our proof stands. Expulsion creates incapacity, incapacity, annihilates any votes given to the incapable person; the votes given to the qualified candidate stand upon their own bottom, firm and untouched, and can alone have effect. This, one would think, would be fufficient. But we are stopped short, and told, that none of our precedents come home to the prelent case; and are challenged to produce " a precedent in " all the proceedings of the House of Commons that does " come home to it, viz. where an expelled member has "been returned again, and another candidate, with an

"inferior number of votes, has been declared the fitting

" member."

Initead of a precedent, I will beg leave to put a case; which, I fancy, will be quite as decisive to the present point. Suppose another Sachaverel (and every party mult have its Sachaverel) should at some future election take it into his head to offer himself a candidate for the county of Middlesex. He is opposed by a candidate, whose coat is of a different colour; but, however, of a very good colour. The divine has an indisputable majority; nay, the poor layman is absolutely distanced. The sheriff, after having had his conscience well informed by the reverend cafuift, returns him, as he supposes, duly elected. The whole House is in an uproar, at the apprehension of so strange an appearance amongst them. A motion, however, is at length made, that the person was incapable of being elected, that his election therefore is null and void and that his competitor ought to have been returned. No. fays a great orator; first show me your law for this pro-" Either produce me a statute, in which the ceeding. " specific disability of a clergyman is created; or, produce " me a precedent where a clergyman has been returned, " and another candidate, with an inferior number of votes, " has been declared the fitting member." No fuch flatute, no fuch precedent to be found. What answer then is to be given to this demand? The very fame answer which I will give to that of Junius: That there is no more than one precedent in the proceedings of the House -" where an incapable person has been returned, and another candidate, with an inferior number of votes, has been declared the fitting member; and that this is " the known and established law, in all cases of incapa-" city, from whatever cause it may arise."

I shall now therefore beg leave to make a slight amendment to Junius's state of the question; the affirmative of

which will then stand thus:

"It is the known and established law of parliament, that the expulsion of any member of the House of Commons creates in him an incapacity of being re-elected; that any votes given to him at a subsequent election, are, in consequence of such incapacity, null and void; and that any other candidate, who, except the person rendered incapable, has the greatest number of votes, ought to be the sitting member."

But, our business is not yet quite finished. Mr. Walpole's case must have a re-hearing. "It is not possible" (says this writer) "to conceive a case more exactly in point. "Mr. Walpole was expelled; and, having a majority of votes at the next election, was returned again. The

Coat

CO-

the

after

rend

The

of fo

DOW-

le of

roid,

No.

pro-

the the

duce

ned,

otes

fta-

then

Swer

s. no

ouse

and

otes.

15 15

apa-

end-

e of

ent,

om-

ed:

ion,

oid;

rion

tes,

Valble"

int

y of

The

"friends of Mr. Taylor, a candidate set up by the minif"try, petitioned the House that he might be the sitting
"member. Thus far the circumstances tally exactly,
"except that our House of Commons saved Mr. Luttrell
"the trouble of petitioning. The point of law, however,
"was the same. It came regularly before the House,
and it was their business to determine upon it. They
did determine it; for they declared Mr. Taylor not
duly elected."

Instead of examining the justness of this representation, I shall beg leave to oppose against it my own view of this case, in as plain a manner, and as few words as I am able.

It was the known and established law of parliament, when the charge against Mr. Walpole came before the House of Commons, that they had power to expel, to disable, and to render incapable, for offences. In virtue of this power, they expelled him. Had they, in the very vote of expulsion, adjudged him, in terms, to be incapable of being re-elected, there must have been at once an end with him. But though the right of the House, both to expel, and adjudge incapable, was clear and indubitable, it does not appear to me, that the full operation and effect of a vote of expulsion singly was so. The law in this case There had been no had never been expressly declared. event to call up such a declaration. I trouble not myself with the grammatical meaning of the word expulsion. This was not, as I think, regard only its legal meaning. precifely fixed. The House thought proper to fix it, and explicitly to declare the full confequences of their former vote, before they suffered these consequences to take effect. And in this proceeding they acted upon the most liberal and folid principles of equity, justice, and law. What then did the burgeffes of Lynn collect from the fecond vote? Their subsequent conduct will tell us: it will with certainty, tell us, that they confidered it as decihve against Mr. Walpole; it will also with certainty, tell us, that upon supposition that the law of election stood then as it does now, and that they know it to frand thus, they inferred, "that at a future election, and in case of " a fimilar return, the Houf would receive the fame can-" didate, as duly elected, whom they had before rejected." They could infer nothing but this.

It is needless to repeat the circumstance of dissimilarity in the present case. It will be sufficient to observe, that as the law of parliament, upon which the House of Commons grounded every step of their proceedings, was clear beyond the reach of doubt, so neither could the freeholders of Middlesex be at a sols to foresee what must be the inevitable consequence of their proceedings in opposition to it. For upon every return of Mr. Wilkes, the House made inquiry whether any votes were given to any other candidate.

Si

ca

CO

m

W

ar

DC

pt

bo

ad

an

m

OI

tic

m

he

re

W

fo of

CT

th

H

th

31

B

th

di

But I could venture, for the experiment's fake, even to give this writer the utmost he asks; to allow the most perfect similarity throughout in these two cases: to allow, that the law of expulsion was quite as clear to the burgesses of Lynn as to the freeholders of Middlesex. It will, I am consident, avail his cause but little. It will only prove, that the law of election at that time was different from the present law. It will prove, that, in all cases of an incapable candidate returned, the law then was, that the whole election should be void. But now we know that this is not law. The cases of Malden and Bedford were, as has been seen, determined upon other and more just principles. And these determinations are, I imagine, admitted on all sides to be law.

I would willingly draw a veil over the remaining part of this paper. It is altonishing, it is painful, to see men of parts and ability giving into the most unworthy artifices, and descending so much below their true line of character. But if they are not the dupes of their sophistry (which is hardly to be conceived), let them consider

that they are fomething much worfe.

The dearest interests of this country are its laws and its constitution. Against every attack upon these, there will, I hope, be always found amongst us the firmest spirit of resistance, superior to the united efforts of saction and ambition. For ambition, though it does not always take the lead of saction, will be sure in the end to make the most satal advantage of it, and draw it to its own purpose. But, I trust, our day of trial is yet sar off; and there is a fund of good sense in this country, which cannot long be deceived by the arts either of salse reasoning or salse patriotism.

LETTER XX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER

3IR,

The gentleman who has published an answer to Sir William Meredith's pamphlet, having honoured me with a postfcript of fix quarto pages, which he modestly calls bestowing a very few words upon me, I cannot, in common politeness, refuse him a reply. The form and magnitude of a quarto imposes upon the mind; and men, who are unequal to the labour of discussing an intricate argument, or wish to avoid it, are willing enough to suppole, that much has been proved, because much has been faid. Mine, I confess, are humble labours. I do not prefume to instruct the learned, but simply to inform the body of the people; and I prefer that channel of conveyance which is likely to spread farthest among them. The advocates of the ministry feem to me to write for fame; and to flatter themselves, that the fize of their works will make them immortal. They pile up reluctant quarto upon folid folio, as if their labours, because they are gigan-

tic, could contend with truth and heaven.

1

e

t

,

y

t

f

t

V

d

C.

t

n

• f

I

3

d.

e

t

d

The writer of the volume in question meets me upon my own ground. He acknowledges there is no statute by which the specific disability we speak of is created: but he affirms, that the custom of parliament has been referred to; and that a case strictly in point has been produced, with the decision of the court upon it. - I thank him for coming so fairly to the point. He afferts, that the case of Mr. Walpole is strictly in point to prove that expulsion creates an absolute incapacity of being re-elected; and for this purpose he refers generally to the first vote of the House upon that occasion, without venturing to recite the rote itself. The unfair, difingenuous artifice of adopting that part of a precedent which feems to fuit his purpole, and omitting the remainder, deferve fome pity, but canno excite my resentment. He takes advantages eagerly of the first resolution, by which Mr. Walpale's incapacity is declared; but as to the two following, by which the candidate with the fewest votes was declared " not duly "elected," and the election itself vacated, I dare fay he

be

te

th

in

th

N

to

th n

e

ti

to

fi

W

h

1

i

u

C

V

would be well satisfied if they were for ever blotted out of the journals of the House of Commons. In fair argument, no part of a precedent should be admitted, unless the whole of it be given to us together. The author has divided his precedent; for he knew, that, taken together, it produced a consequence directly the reverse of the which he endeavours to draw from a vote of expulsion. But what will this honest person say, if I take him at his word, and demonstrate to him, that the House of Commons never meant to found Mr. Walpole's incapacity up on his expulsion only? What subterfuge will then remain?

Let it be remembered that we are speaking of the intention of men who lived more than half a century ago, and that fuch intention can only be collected from their words and actions as they are delivered to us upon record. To prove their defigns by a supposition of what they would have done, opposed to what they actually did, is mere trifling and impertinence. The vote, by which Mr. Walpole's incapacity was declared, is thus expressed: "That Robert Walpole, Esq. having been this session of " parliament committed a prisoner to the Tower, and expelled this House for a breach of trust in the execution " of his office, and notorious corruption when Secretary " at War, was and is incapable of being elected a mem-" ber to serve in this present parliament b." Now, Sir, to my understanding, no proposition of this kind can be more evident, than that the House of Commons, by this very vote, themselves understood, and meant to declare, that Mr. Walpole's incapacity arose from the crimes he had committed, not from the punishment the House an-The high breach of trust, the notorious nexed to them. corruption, are stated in the strongest terms. They do not tell us that he was incapable because he was expelled, but because he had been guilty of such offences as justly rendered him unworthy of a feat in parliament. If they had intended to fix the disability upon his expulsion alone, the mention of his crimes in the same vote would have been highly improper. It could only perplex the minds of the electors, who, if they collected any thing from so confufed a declaration of the law of parliament, must have concluded that their representative had been declared incapable, because he was highly guilty, not because he had been punished. But even admitting them to have understood it in the other sense, they must then, from the very terms of the vote, have united the idea of his being sent to the Tower with that of his expulsion, and considered his

incapacity as the joint effect of both c.

tof

gu. lefe

has

er,

hat

on.

his

m-

up.

IC-

m.

go,

len

rehat

lid.

Mr.

d:

ex-

ary

m-

be

his

Te,

he

m-

ous

ot

ut

n-

ad

he

en

he

u-

11-

an

I do not mean to give an opinion upon the justice of the proceedings of the House of Commons with regard to Mr. Walpole; but certainly, if I admitted their censure to be well founded, I could no way avoid agreeing with them in the consequence they drew from it. I could never have a doubt in law or reason, that a man convicted of a high breach of trust, and of a notorious corruption, in the execution of a public office, was and ought to be incapable of sitting in the same parliament. Far from attempting to invalidate that vote, I should have wished that the incapacity declared by it could legally have been continued for ever.

Now, Sir, observe how forcibly the argument returns. The House of Commons, upon the face of their proceedings, had the strongest motives to declare Mr. Walpole incapable of being re-elected. They thought such a man unworthy to sit among them. To that point they proceeded, and no farther; for they respected the rights of the people while they afferted their own. They did not infer, from Mr. Walpole's incapacity, that his opponent was duly elected; on the contrary, they declared Mr. Taylor "not duly elected," and the election itself void.

buch, however, is the precedent which my honest friend assures us is strictly in point to prove, that expulsion of itself creates an incapacity of being elected. If it had been so, the present House of Commons should at least have followed strictly the example before them, and should have stated to us in the same vote the crimes for which they expelled Mr. Wilkes; whereas they resolve simply, that, "having been expelled, he was, and is in-"capable." In this proceeding I am authorised to affirm, they have neither statute, nor custom, nor reason, nor one single precedent to support them. On the other side, there is indeed a precedent so strongly in point, that all the enchanted castles of ministerial magic sall before it. In the year 1698 (a period which the rankest Tory dare

not except against), Mr. Wollaston was expelled, reelected, and admitted to take his seat in the same parliament. The ministry have precluded themselves from all objections drawn from the cause of his expulsion; for they affirm absolutely, that expulsion of itself creates the disability. Now, Sir, let sophistry evade, let salsehood affert, and impudence deny—here stands the precedent, a land-mark to direct us through a troubled sea of con-

troverfy, conspicuous and unremoved.

I have dwelt the longer upon the discussion of this point, because, in my opinion, it comprehends the whole question. The rest is unworthy of notice. We are inquiring whether incapacity be or be not created by expulsion. In the cases of Bedford and Malden, the incapacity of the persons returned was matter of public notoriety, for it was created by act of parliament. But really, Sir, my honest friend's fuppositions are as unfavourable to him as his facts. He well knows that the clergy, belides that they are represented in common with their fellow-subjects, have also a separate parliament of their own:that their incapacity to fit in the House of Commons has been confirmed by repeated decisions of the House; and that the law of parliament declared by those decisions, has been for about two centuries notorious and undisputed. The author is certainly at liberty to fancy cases, and make whatever comparisons he thinks proper; his suppolitions fill continue as diffant from fact, as his wild discourses are from solid argument.

The conclusion of his book is candid to extreme. He offers to grant me all I desire. He thinks he may safely admit that the case of Mr. Walpole makes directly against him, for it seems he has one grand solution in petro for all difficulties: "If," says he, "I were to allow all this, "it will only prove, that the law of election was different in Queen Anne's time from what it is at present."

This indeed is more than I expected. The principle, I know, has been maintained in fact; but I never expected to fee it so formally declared. What can he mean? Does he assume this language to satisfy the doubts of the people? or does he mean to rouse their indignation? Are the ministry during enough to assume that the House of Commons have a right to make and unmake the

law of parliament at their pleasure?—Does the law of parliament, which we are so often told is the law of the land;—does the common right of every subject of the realm, depend upon an arbitrary capricious vote of one branch of the legislature?—The voice of truth and reason must be filent.

The ministry tell us plainly, that this is no longer a question of right, but of power and force alone. What was law yesterday is not law to-day: and now it seems we have no better rule to live by, than the temporary discretion and sluctuating integrity of the House of Com-

mons.

Td-

ar-

om for

he

od

nt,

n-

nt,

ng

In

he

it

19

25

at

b-

25

d

3,

t-

d

36

d

e.

7

•

NO.

e

5

Professions of patriotism are become stale and ridiculous. For my own part, I claim no merit from endeavouring to do a service to my fellow-subjects. I have done it to the best of my understanding; and without looking for the approbation of other men, my conscience is fatisfied. What remains to be done concerns the collective body of the people. They are now to determine for themselves, whether they will firmly and constitutionally affert their rights; or make an humble, flavish furrender of them at the feet of the ministry. To a generous mind, there cannot be a doubt. We owe it to our ancestors to preserve entire these rights which they have delivered to our care: we owe it to our posterity, not to fuffer their dearest inheritance to be destroyed. But if it were possible for us to be insensible of these facred claims, there is yet an obligation binding upon ourselves, from which nothing can acquit us; -- a personal interest, which we cannot furrender. To alienate even our own rights, would be a crime as much more enormous than fuicide, as a life of civil fecurity and freedom is superior to a bare existence; and if life be the bounty of heaven, we scornfully reject the noblest part of the gift, if we consent to furrender that certain rule of living, without which the condition of human nature is not only miserable, but contemptible.

UNIUS.

LETTER XXI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, Aug. 22. 1769

I MUST beg of you to print a few lines, in explanation of fome passages in my last letter, which I see have been misunderstood.

meant to found Mr. Walpole's incapacity on his expufion only, I meant no more than to deny the general proposition, that expulsion alone creates the incapacity. If there be any thing ambiguous in the expression, I beg leave to explain it by saying, that, in my opinion, expusion neither creates, nor in any part contributes to create, the incapacity in question.

2. I carefully avoided entering into the merits of Mr. Walpole's case. I did not inquire, whether the House of Commons acted justly, or whether they truly declared the law of parliament. My remarks went only to their apparent meaning and intention, as it stands declared in

their own resolution.

3. I never meant to affirm, that a commitment to the Tower created a disqualification. On the contrary, I confidered that idea as an absurdity, into which the ministry must inevitably fall, if they reasoned right upon their own

principles.

The case of Mr. Wollaston speaks for itself. The ministry affert that expulsion alone creates an absolute complete incapacity to be re-elected to sit in the same parliament. This proposition they have uniformly maintained, without any condition or modification whatsoever. Mr. Wollaston was expelled, re-elected, and admitted to take his seat in the same parliament.—I leave it to the public to determine, whether this be a plain matter of fact, or mere nonsense or declamation.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XXII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

769

er.

fee

ver

ul

ro. Li

ul-

te,

Ir.

ufe

ed

eir

in

he

n-

ry

N'A

ni-

m-

12-

ed,

Ir.

ke

lic

OT

15.

ARGUMENT against FACT; or, A new system of political Logic, by which the ministry have demonstrated to the satisfaction of their friends, that expulsion alone creates a complete incapacity to be re-elected; alias, that a subject of this realm may be robbed of his common right by a vote of the House of Commons.

FIRST FACT.

Mr. Wollaston, in 1698, was expelled, re-elected, and admitted to take his seat.

ARGUMENT. To the to store !

Amban precess, be es

As this cannot conveniently be reconciled with our general proposition, it may be necessary to shift our ground, and look back to the cause of Mr. Wollaston's expulsion. From thence it will appear clearly, that, " although he " was expelled, he had not rendered himfelf a culprit " too ignominious to lit in parliament; and that having " refigned his employment, he was no longer incapacitated " by law." Vide Serious Considerations, page 23. thus, " The House, somewhat inaccurately, used the " word EXPELLED; they should have called it A MQ-" TION." Vide Mungo's Cafe confidered, page 11. fhort, if these arguments should be thought infusicient, we may fairly deny the fact. For example: " I affirm that " he was not re-elected. 'The fame Mr. Wollafton, who " was expelled, was not again elected. The same indi-" vidual, if you please, walked into the house, and took " his feat there; but the same person in law was not ad-" mitted a member of that parliament, from which he " had been discarded." Vide Letter to Junius, page 12.

SECOND FACT.

Mr. Walpole having been committed to the Tower, and expelled for a high breach of trust, and notorious corruption in a public office, was declared incapable, &c.

ARGUMENT.

bra ple

du

bu

w

to

"

66

D

28

u

46

"

17

-

From the terms of this vote, nothing can be more evident, than that the House of Commons meant to fix the incapacity upon the punishment, and not upon the crime; but lest it should appear in a different light to weak, uninformed persons, it may be advisable to gut the resolution, and give it to the public, with all possible solemnity, in the following terms: viz. "Resolved, that Robert "Walpole, Esq. having been that session of parliament expelled the House, was and is incapable of being elected member to serve in that present parliament." Vide Mungo on the Use of Quotations, page 11.

N. B. The author of the answer to Sir William Meredith seems to have made use of Mungo's quotation; for in page 18, he affures us, "That the declaratory vote of the 17th of February 1769 was indeed a literal copy of the resolution of the House in Mr. Wal-

THIRD FACT.

His opponent, Mr. Taylor, having the smallest number of votes at the next election, was declared NOT DULY ELECTED.

ARGUMENT.

This fact we confider as directly in point to prove that Mr. Luttrell ought to be the fitting member, for the following reasons: " The burgesses of Lynn could draw " no other inference from this refolution but this, that at " a future election, and in case of a similar return, the " House would receive the same candidate as duly elect-" ed, whom they had before rejected." Vide Poffcript. to Junius, page 37. Or thus: "This their resolution " leaves no room to doubt what part they would have " taken, if, upon a subsequent re-election of Mr. Wal-" pole, there had been any other candidate in competi-" tion with him. For, by their vote, they could have " no other intention than to admit fuch other candidate." Vide Mungo's Cafe considered, p. 39. Or, take it in this light :- The burgeffes of Lynn having, in defiance of the House, retorted upon them a person, whom they had

branded with the most ignominious marks of their difpleasure, were thereby so well entitled to favour and indulgence, that the House could do no less than rob Mr. Taylor of a right legally vested in him, in order that the burgeffes might be apprifed of the law of parliament; which law the House took a very direct way of explaining to them, by resolving that the candidate with the fewest votes was not duly elected :- " And was not this much " more equitable, more in the spirit of that equal and " fubstantial justice, which is the end of all law, than " if they had violently adhered to the strict maxims of " law ?" Vide Serious Considerations, p. 33 and 34. " And " if the present House of Commons had chosen to fol-" low the spirit of this resolution, they would have re-" ceived and established the candidate with the fewest " votes." Vide Anfaver to Sir W. M. p. 18.

Permit me now, Sir, to show you, that the worthy Dr. Blackstone sometimes contradicts the ministry as well as himself. The Speech without Doors afferts, p. 9. "That the legal effect of an incapacity, sounded on a "judicial determination of a complete court, is precisely the same as that of an incapacity created by act of parliament." Now for the Doctor.—" The law and the opinion of the judge are not always convertible terms, or one and the same thing; since it sometimes may happen that the judge may mistake the law. Com-

mentaries, Vol. I. p. 71.

e

t-

1-

y,

rt

nt

ig.

e-

4

er.

T

at

1

W

at

e

.

n

e

-

e.

17

13

The Answer to Sir W. M. asserts, p. 23. "That the returning officer is not a judicial, but a purely mini-sterial officer. His return is no judicial act."—At em again, Doctor, "The sheriff, in his judicial capacity, is to hear and determine causes of forty shillings value and under in his county court. He has also a judicial power in divers other civil cases. He is likewise to decide the elections of Knights of the shire (subject to the control of the House of Commons), to judge of the qualifications of voters, and to return such as he shall DETERMINE to be duly elected." Vide Commentaries, Vol. 1. page 332.

What conclusion shall we draw from such facts, and such arguments, such contradictions? I cannot express my opinion of the present ministry more exactly than in

the words of Sir Richard Steele: "That we are governded by a fet of drivellers, whose folly takes away all "dignity from distress, and makes even calamity ridicus" lous."

PHILO JUNIUS

was

and Cor

pee

rest in

par ing

the

and

ref

por

rać

gin

mo

wi

du

CO

fel

iu

th

po

en

pa

fe.

in

qu

be

ar

gı

lo

W

in

O

fe

p

C

LETTER XXIII.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF BEDFORD.

MY LORD,

Sept. 19. 1769,

You are so little accustomed to receive any marks of respect or esteem from the public, that if, in the following lines, a compliment or expression of applause should escape me, I fear you would consider it as a mockery of your established character, and perhaps an insult to your understanding. You have nice feelings, my Lord, if we may judge from your resentments. Cautious, therefore, of giving offence, where you have so little deserved it, I shall leave the illustration of your virtues to other hands. Your friends have a privilege to play upon the easiness of your temper, or possibly they are better acquainted with your good qualities than I am. You have done good by stealth. The rest is upon record. You have still left ample room for speculation, when panegyric is exhausted.

You are indeed a very confiderable man. The highest rank;—a splendid fortune;—and a name, glorious till it was yours,—were sufficient to have supported you with meaner abilities than I think you posses. From the first, you derive a constitutional claim to respect; from the second, a natural extensive authority;—the last created a partial expectation of hereditary virtues. The use you have made of these uncommon advantages, might have been more honourable to yourself, but could not be more instructive to mankind. We may trace it in the veneration of your country, the choice of your friends, and in the accomplishment of every sanguine hope which the public might have conceived from the illustrious name of Russel.

Ruffel.

The eminence of your station gave you a commanding prospect of your duty. The road which led to honour,

was open to your view. You could not lose it by mistake. and you had no temptation to depart from it by defign. Compare the natural dignity and importance of the richest peer of England;—the noble independence which he might have maintained in parliament, and the real interest and respect which he might have acquired, not only in parliament, but through the whole kingdom:-compare these glorious distinctions with the ambition of holding a share in government, the emoluments of a place, the fale of a borough, or the purchase of a corporation; and though you may not regret the virtues which create respect, you may see with anguish how much real importance and authority you have loft. Confider the character of an independent virtuous Duke of Bedford; imagine what he might be in this country, then reflect one moment upon what you are. If it be possible for me to withdraw my attention from the fact, I will tell you in

the theory what fuch a man might be.

n-

all

u.

13.

=

69

ny

10

ile k-

ult ny

utle

to

on

OU

Ou

IC

eft

it

th

ft,

e-

2

ve

re

2-

in

ne

qt

ıg

L

Conscious of his own weight and importance, his conduct in parliament would be directed by nothing but the constitutional duty of a peer. He would consider himfelf as a guardian of the laws. Willing to support the just measures of government, but determined to observe the conduct of the minister with suspicion, he would oppose the violence of faction with as much firmness as the encroachments of prerogative. He would be as little capable of bargaining with the minister for places for himtelf or his dependents, as of descending to mix himself in the intrigues of opposition. Whenever an important question called for his opinion in parliament, he would be heard by the most profligate minister with deference and respect. His authority would either sanctify or disgrace the measures of government.—The people would look up to him as their protector; and a virtuous prince would have one honest man in his dominions, in whose integrity and judgment he might fafely confide. If it should be the will of Providence to afflict him with a domestic misfortuned, he would submit to the stroke with feeling, but not without dignity. He would confider the people as his children, and receive a generous heart-felt consolation in the sympathising tears and blessings of his country.

ab

Tie

th

fir

UI

fc

ho

pu

tit

th

ne

wl

be

lec

fo

ful

yo

pe

of

off

Wa

WU

fil

bu

ow

fou

Go

and

G

we

tig

ma

dud

an

Your Grace may probably discover something more in telligible in the negative part of this illustrious character. The man I have described would never prostitute his dignity in parliament by an indecent violence, either in oppoling or defending a minister. He would not at one moment rancorously perfecute, at another basely cringe to the favourite of his Sovereign. After outraging the royal dignity with peremptory conditions, little thort of menace and hostility, he would never descend to the humility of foliciting an interview with the favourite, and of offering to recover at any price the honour of his friendship. Though deceived perhaps in his youth, he would not, through the course of a long life, have invariably chosen his friends from among the most profligate of mankind. His own honour would have forbidden him from mixing his private pleasures or conversation with jockeys, gamesters, blasphemers, gladiators, or buffoons, He would then have never felt, much less would he have fubmitted to the dishonest necessity of engaging in the interests and intrigues of his dependents; of supplying their vices, or relieving their beggary at the expence of his country. He would not have betrayed fuch ignorance, or fuch contempt of the constitution, as openly to avow, in a court of justice, the purchase and fale of a borough. He would not have thought it confiftent with his rank in the ftate, or even with his personal importance, to be the little tyrant of a little corporation. He would never have been infulted with virtues which he had laboured to extinguish; nor suffered the disgrace of a mortifying defeat, which has made him ridiculous and contemptible, even to the few by whom he was not detelled -I reverence the afflictions of a good man; his forrow are facred. But how can we take part in the diffresses of a man whom we can neither love nor esteem; or feel for a calamity of which he himself is insensible? When was the father's heart, when he could look for, or find an immediate confolation for the loss of an only fon, if confultations and bargains for a place at court, and even in the mifery of balloting at the India House!

honourable principles which ought to have directed your conduct; admitting that you have as little claim to principles.

vate affection as to public efteem; let us fee with what abilities, with what degree of judgment, you have carned your own fystem into execution. A great man, in the fuccess, and even in the magnitude of his crimes. finds a rescue from contempt. Your Grace is every way unfortunate. Yet I will not look back to those ridiculous scenes by which, in your earlier days, you thought it an honour to be distinguished h; -the recorded stripes, the public infamy, your own fufferings, or Mr. Rigby's fortitude. These events undoubtedly left an impression, though not upon your mind. To fuch a mind it may perhaps be a pleasure to reflect that there is hardly a corner of any of his Majesty's kingdoms, except France, in which, at one end or another, your valuable life has not been in danger. Amiable man! we see and acknowledge the protection of Providence, by which you have so often escaped the personal detestation of your fellow subject, and are still reserved for the public justice of

your country.

ŀ

is

11-

ste

cn

ith

08.

EVE

he

ing

of

ce,

W,

bo-

his

100,

uld

12-

not-

on-

ted.

OW

lo a

l for

here

fihd

, 10

ever

your

pre

Your history begins to be important at that auspicious period at which you were deputed to represent the Earl of Bute at the court of Versailles. It was an honourable office, and executed with the same spirit with which it was accepted. Your patrons wanted an ambaffador who would fubmit to make concessions, without daring to infift upon any honourable condition for his fovereign. Their buiness required a man who had as little feeling for his own dignity, as for the welfare of his country; and they found him in the first rank of the nobility. Belleille, Goree, Guadaloupe, St. Lucia, Martinique, the Filhery, and the Havannah, are glorious monuments of your Gaze's talents for negotiation! My Lord, we are too well acquainted with your petuniary character, to think t polible that so many public facrifices should have been nade without fonce private compensations. Your conductionnies with it an internal evidence, beyond all the egal proofs of a court of justice. Even the callous pride Lord Egremont was alarmed it. He faw and felt his wir difference in corresponding with your and there cersisty was to moment at which he meant to have refitted, ad not a farab lethargy prevailed over his faculties, and amed all tente and memory away with it.

wit

feer

for

of y

be l

thre

pro

T

witl

tain

di

the

and

he

of p

fone

ever

fafe

a pr

cont

gott

fure

look

get

holle

L

wor

var

ang

of t

aft :

And

ed of

atio

Con

ner

ofin

our

Y

I will not pretend to specify the secret terms on which you were invited to support an k administration which Lord Bute pretended to leave in full possession of their ministerial authority, and perfectly masters of themselves He was not of a temper to relinquish power, though he retired from employment. Stipulations were certainly made between your Grace and him, and certainly violated. After two years submission, you thought you had collected a strength sufficient to control his influence; and that it was your turn to be a tyrant, because you had been a flave. When you found yourfelf mistaken in your opinion of your gracious Master's firmness, disappointment got the better of all your humble discretion, and carried you to an excess of outrage to his person, as distant from true spirit as from all decency and respect! After robbing him of the rights of a King, you would not permit him to preserve the honour of a gentleman. It was then Lord Weymouth was nominated to Ireland and despatched (we well remember with what indecent hurry) to plunder the treasury of the first fruits of an employment which you well know he was never to exe-

This sudden declaration of war against the favourite, might have given you a momentary merit with the public, if it had either been adopted upon principle, or maintained with resolution. Without looking back to all you former servility, we need only observe your subsequent conduct, to see upon what motives you acted. Apparently united with Mr. Grenville, you waited until Lord Rockingham's feeble administration should dissolve in its own weakness.—The moment their dismission was subsected, the moment you perceived that another system was adopted in the closet, you thought it no disgrace to return to your former dependence, and solicit once more the friendship of Lord Bute. You begged an interview, at which he had spirit enough to treat you with contempt

It would be now of little use to point out, by what a train of weak injudicious measures, it became necessary, or was thought to, to call, you back to a share in the administration. The friends whom you did not in the lift instance desert, were not of a character to add strength or credit to government; and at that time your alliance

with the Duke of Grafton was, I presume, hardly foreseen. We must look for other stipulations, to account for that sudden resolution of the closet, by which three of your dependents o (whose characters, I think, cannot be less respected than they are) were advanced to offices, through which you might again control the minister, and

probably engross the whole direction of affairs.

d

n,

23

1

ld

n.

id,

ent

an

re-

ite

lic;

un-

out

tent

ord pa-

1 its

ful

tem

to

BIOM

iewy

mpt

iat 1

Harva

erad

ngth

IZDCO

The possession of absolute power is now once more within your reach. The measures you have taken to obrain and confirm it, are too gross to escape the eyes of discerning judicious prince. His palace is belieged; the lines of circumvallation are drawing round him; and unless he finds a resource in his own activity, or in he attachment of the real friends of his family, the best of princes must submit-to the confinement of a state-prisoner, until your Grace's death, or some less fortunate event, shall raise the siege. For the present, you may afely resume that style of insult and menace which even private gentleman cannot fubmit to hear without being contemptible. Mr. Mackenzie's history is not yet forgotten; and you may find precedents enough of the mode in which an imperious subject may fignify his pleafure to his fovereign. Where will this gracious monarch ook for affiftance, when the wretched Grafton could forget his obligations to his mafter, and defert him for a pollow alliance with fuch a man as the Duke of Bedford!

Let us consider you then as arrived at the summit of worldly greatness; let us suppose that all your plans of warice and ambition are accomplished, and your most anguine wishes, gratisted in the sear as well as the hatred of the people; can age itself forget that you are in the ast act of life? Can gray hairs make folly venerable? And is there no period to be reserved for meditation and retirement? For shame! my Lord: let it not be recorded of you, that the latest moments of your life were dedicated to the same unworthy pursuits, the same busy agiations, in which your youth and manhood were exhausted. Consider, that although you cannot disgrace your former life, you are violating the character of age, and exposing the impotent imbecility after you have lost the viour of the passions.

Your friends will ask, perhaps, Whither shall this un-

happy old man retire? Can he remain in the metropolis, where his life has been so often threatened, and his palace so often attacked? If he returns to Wooburn, scorn and mockery await him. He must create a solitude round his estate, if he would avoid the face of reproach and derision. At Plymouth, his destruction would be more than probable; at Exeter, inevitable. No honest Englishman will ever forget his attachment, nor any honest Scotchman forgive his treachery, to Lord Bute. At every town he enters, he must change his liveries and name. Whichever way he slies, the hue and cry of the country pursues him.

In another kingdom, indeed, the bleffings of his administration have been more sensibly felt; his virtues better understood; or at worst they will not, for him alone, forget their hospitality.—As well might Verres have to turned to Sicily. You have twice escaped, my Lord; beware of a third experiment. The indignation of a whole people, plundered, insulted, and oppressed as they

have been, will not always be disappointed.

It is in vain, therefore, to shift the scene. You can no more fly from your enemies than from yourself. Perlo cuted abroad, you look into your own heart for confole tion, and find nothing but reproaches and despair. - But my Lord, you may quit the field of bufiness, though no the field of danger; and though you cannot be fafe, you may cease to be ridiculous. I fear you have listened to long to the advice of those pernicious friends, with whole interests you have fordidly united your own, and for whom you have facrificed every thing that ought to be dear to man of honour. They are still base enough to encourage the follies of your age, as they once did the vices of you youth. As little acquainted with the rules of decorum as with the laws of morality, they will not fuffer you profit by experience, nor even to confult the propriety a bad character. Even now they tell you, that life is more than a dramatic scene, in which the hero show preserve his confistency to the last; and that as you live without virtue, you should die without repentance.

Mark the court of the state of the second to be

podlog a Tone

C

k

ir

P

P

y

vi

an

to

ck

W

W

Bu

aci

thi

COL

.

"

"

acu

nar

pre

Ma

fub

COLC

ted

nou

goti

a mo thire are

LETTER XXIV.

TO JUNIUS.

SIR,

1-

d

C-

TC

n-

7

1c.

uy

ni-

ter

ne,

te-

rd;

f 2

hey

1 110

rie

ola

But,

not

you

to

hole

hou

to

irag

you

rum

ou t

ety o

is D

hou

live

Sept 14. 1769.

HAVING accidentally feen a republication of your Letters, wherein you have been pleased to affert that I had fold the companions of my fuccels, I am again obliged to declare the faid affertion to be a most infamous and malicious falsehood; and I again call upon you to stand forth, avow yourself, and prove the charge. If you can make it out to the fatisfaction of any one man in the kingdom, I will be content to be thought the worlt man in it; if you do not, what must the nation think of you? Party has nothing to do in this affair: you have made a perional attack upon my honour, defamed me by a most vile calumny, which might possibly have funk into oblivion, had not fuch uncommon pains been taken to renew and perpetuate this scandal, chiefly because it has been told in good language; for I give you full credit for your elegant diction, well-turned periods, and Attic wit: but wit is oftentimes false, though it may appear brilliant; which is exactly the case of your whole performance. But, Sir, I am obliged, in the most serious manner, to accuse you of being guilty of falsities. You have said the thing that is not. To support your story, you have recourse to the following irresistible argument: "You sold "the companions of your victory, because, when the " 16th regiment was given to you, you was filent. The " conclusion is inevitable." I believe that such deep and acute reasoning could only come from such an extraordinary writer as Junius. But unfortunately for you, the premiles as well as the conclusion are absolutely falle. Many applications have been made to the ministry on the subject of the Manilla ransom since the time of my being colonel of that regiment. As I have for some years quitted London, I was obliged to have recourse to the Honourable Colonel Monfon and Sir Samuel Cornish to negotiate for me; in the last autumn, I personally delivered a memorial to the Earl of Shelburne, at his feat in Wilt-As you have told us of your importance, that you are a person of rank and fortune, and above a common

E 3

bribe, you may in all probability be not unknown to his Lordship, who can satisfy you of the truth of what I say. But I shall now take the liberty, Sir, to seize your bat-tery, and turn it against yourself. If your puerile and tinsel logic could carry the least weight or conviction with it, how must you stand affected by the inevitable conclusion, as you are pleased to term it? According to Junius, filence is guilt. In many of the public papers, you have been called, in the most direct and offensive terms, a liar and a coward. When did you reply to these foul accusations? You have been quite filent, quite chopfallen; therefore, because you was filent, the nation has a right to pronounce you to be both a liar and a coward from your own argument. But, Sir, I will give you fair play; I will afford you an opportunity to wipe off the first appellation, by defiring the proofs of your charge against me. Produce them! To wipe off the last, produce yourself. People'cannot bear any longer your lion's ikin, and the despicable imposture of the old Roman name which you have affected. For the future affume the name of some modern bravo and dark affassin: let your appellation have some affinity to your practice. But if I must perish, Junius, let me perish in the face of day; be for once a generous and open enemy. I allow that Gothic appeals to cold iron are no better proof of a man's honesty and veracity, than hot iron and burning plough shares are of female chastity; but a soldier's honour is as delicate as a woman's; it must not be suspected: you have dared to throw more than a fuspicion upon mine: you cannot but know the consequences, which even the meekness of Christianity would pardon me for, after the injury you have done me.

· 加州分子子公司

WILLIAM DRAPER

LETTER XXV.

Hæret leteri lethalis arundo.

TO SIR WILLIAM DRAPER, K. B.

SIR, Sept. 25. 1769.

AFTER so long an interval, I did not expect to see the debate revived between us. My answer to your last letter shall be short; for I write to you with reluctance, and I hope we shall now conclude our correspond-

ence for ever.

his

ay.

at-

nd

on

ble

to

TS,

ve

ele

op-

nas

ard

air

the

rge

TO-

n's

me

me

el-

uft

for

hie

ho-

gh

28

you

ne:

the

the

ER

Had you been originally, and without provocation, attacked by an anoymous writer, you would have some right to demand his name. But in this cause you are a volunteer. You engaged in it with the unpremeditated gallantry of a foldier. You were content to fet your name in opposition to a man who would probably continue in concealment. You understood the terms upon which we were to correspond, and gave at least a tacit affent to them. After voluntarily attacking me under the character of Junius, what possible right have you to know me under any other? Will you forgive me if I infinuate to you, that you forefaw fome honour in the apparent spirit of coming forward in person, and that you were not quite indifferent to the display of your literary qualifications?

You cannot but know, that the republication of my letters was no more than a catchpenny contrivance of a printer, in which it was impossible I should be concerned, and for which I am no way answerable. At the same time I wish you to understand, that if I do not take the trouble of reprinting these papers, it is not from any fear

of giving offence to Sir William Draper.

Your remarks upon a fignature adopted merely for distinction, are unworthy of notice: but when you tell me I have submitted to be called a liar and a coward, I must ask you in my turn, Whether you think seriously it is any way incumbent upon me to take notice of the silly invectives of every simpleton who writes in a newspaper; and what opinion you would have conceived of my discretion, if I had suffered myself to be the dupe of so shallow an artistice?

Your appeal to the fword, though confiftent enough with your late profession, will neither prove your innocence, nor clear you from suspicion .- Your complaints with regard to the Manilla ransom were for a considerable time a diffress to government. You were appointed (greatly out of your turn) to the command of a regiment, and during that administration we heard no more of Sir William Draper. The facts of which I speak may indeed be variously accounted for, but they are too notorious to be denied: and I think you might have learnt at the university, that a false conclusion is an error in argument, not a breach of veracity. Your folicitations, I doubt not, were renewed under another administration. Admitting the fact, I fear an indifferent person would only infer from it, that experience had made you acquainted with the benefits of complaining. Remember, Sir, that you have yourfelf confessed, that, considering the critical lituation of this country, the ministry are in the right to temporize with Spain.' This confession reduces you to an unfortunate dilemma. By renewing your solicitations, you must either mean to force your country into a war at a most unseasonable juncture; or, having no view or expectation of that kind, that you look for nothing but a private compensation to yourself.

ł

r

P

3

1

C

t

D

٧

2

As to me, it is by no means necessary that I should be exposed to the resentment of the worst and the most powerful men in this country, though I may be indifferent about yours. Though you would fight, there are other

who would affallinate.

But after all, Sir, where is the injury? You affure me that my logic is puerile and tinsel; that it carries not the least weight or conviction, that my premises are falle, and my conclusions absurd. If this be a just description of me, how is it possible for such a writer to disturb your peace of mind, or to injure a character so well established as yours? Take care, Sir William, how you indulge this unruly temper, lest the world should suspect that conscience has some share in your resentments. You have more to fear from the treachery of your own passions, than from any malevolence of mine.

I believe, Sir, you will never know me. A considerable time must certainly elapse before we are personally

or suffer an apprehension that any length of time can restore you to the Christian meekness of your temper, and
disappoint your present indignation. If I understand your
character, there is in your own breast a repository, in
which your resentments may be tasely laid up for suture
occasions, and preserved without the hazard of diminution. The Odia in longum jaciens, que reconderet, auctaque
promeret, I thought had only belonged to the worst character of antiquity. The text is in Tacitus;—you know
best where to look for the commentary.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XXVI.

A WORD AT PARTING TO JUNIUS.

SIR.

0-

its

r-

ed

nt.

Sir

n.

to-

at

u-

abt ad-

ted hat

cal

to

20

ns,

Wal

or

but

be

W-

ent

ers

me

the

lie,

ion

out

hed

this

on-

lave

ons,

ler-

ally

Oct. 7. 1769.

As you have not favoured me with either of the explanations demanded of you, I can have nothing more to fay to you upon my own account. Your mercy to me, or tendernels for yourfelf, has been very great. The public will judge of your motives. If your excess of modelty forbids you to produce either the proofs or yourfelf, I will excuse it. Take courage; I have not the temper of Tiberius, any more than the rank or power. You, indeed, are a tyrant of another fort; and upon your political bed of torture can excruciate any subject from a first minister down to such a grub or butterfly as myfelf; like another detefted tyrant of antiquity, can make: the wretched sufferer fit the bed, if the bed will not fit the fufferer, by disjointing or tearing the trembling limbs until they are stretched to its extremity. But courage, constancy, and patience, under torments, have sometimes caused the most hardened monsters to relent, and forgive the object of their cruelty. You, Sir, are determined to try all that human nature can endure, until the expires; elfe, was it possible that you could be the author of that most inhuman letter to the Duke of Bedford, I have read with aftonishment and horror? Where, Sir, where were the feelings of your own heart, when you could upbraid a most affectionate father with the loss of his only and

E 3

most amiable fon? Read over again those cruel lines of yours, and let them wring your very foul. Cannot political questions be discussed without descending to the most odious personalities? Must you go wantonly out of your way to torment declining age, because the Duke of Bedford may have quarrelled with those whose cause and politics you espouse? For shame! for shame! As you have spoke daggers to him, you may justly dread the use of them against your own breast, did a want of courage. or of noble fentiments, stimulate him to such mean revenge. He is above it; he is brave. Do you fancy that your own base arts have infected our whole island? But your own reflections, your own conscience, must and will, if you have any spark of humanity remaining, give him most ample vengeance. Not all the power of words with which you are fo graced, will ever wash out, or even palliate, this foul blot in your character. I have not time at present to diffect your letter so minutely as I could wish; but I will be bold enough to fay, that it is (as to reason and argument) the most extraordinary piece of slorid impotence that was ever imposed upon the eyes and ears of the too credulous and deluded mob. It accuses the Duke of Bedford of high treason. Upon what fourdation? You tell us, " that the Duke's pecuniary cha-" racter makes it more than probable that he could not " have made fuch facrifices at the peace, without some or private compensations: that his conduct carried with it an interior evidence, beyond all the legal proofs of a

"court of justice."

My academical education, Sir, bids me tell you, that it is necessary to establish the truth of your first proposition, before you presume to draw inferences from it. First prove the avarice, before you make the rash, hasty, and most wicked conclusion. This father, Junius, whom you call avaricious, allowed that son eight thousand pounds a-year. Upon his most unfortunate death, which your usual good nature took care to remind him of, he greatly increased the jointure of the afflicted lady his widow. Is this avarice? Is this doing good by stealth? It is upon record.

If exact order, method, and true economy, as a mafter of a family; if fp.endour and just magnificence, without

of

h-

he

of

of

nd

ou

ge,

re-

nat

But

nd

ive

rds

ren

me

uld

to

Ho-

and

les

un-

ha-

not

me

rith

of a

t it.

on,

irft

and

you

nds

out

atly

Is

pon

fter

out

wild waste and thoughtless extravagance, may constitute the character of an avaricious man, the Duke is guilty. But for a moment let us admit that an ambaffador may love money too much, what proof do you give that he has taken any to betray his country? Is it hearfay, or the evidence of letters, or ocular, or the evidence of those concerned in this black affair? Produce your authorities to the public. It is an impudent kind of forcery to attempt to blind us with the smoke, without convincing us that the fire has existed. You first brand him with a vice that he is free from, to render him odious and suspected. Sufpicion is the foul weapon with which you make all your chief attacks; with that you stab. But shall one of the first subjects of the realm be ruined in his fame? shall even his life be in constant danger, from a charge built upon fuch fandy foundations? Must his house be befieged by lawless ruffians, his journey impeded, and even the afylum of an altar be infecure from affertions fo base and false? Potent as he is, the Duke is amenable to justice; if guilty, punishable. The parliament is the high and folemn tribunal for matters of fuch great moment. To that be they submitted. But I hope also that some notice will be taken of, and some punishment inflicted upon, false accusers; especially upon such, Junius, who are wilfully false. In any truth I will agree even with Junius; will agree with him that it is highly unbecoming the dignity of peers to tamper with boroughs. Ariftocracy is as fatal as democracy. Our constitution admits of neither. It loves a King, Lords, and Commons, really chosen by the unbought suffrages of a free people. But if corruption only shifts hands; if the wealthy commoner gives the bribe, instead of the potent peer, is the state better ferved by this exchange? Is the real emancipation of the borough effected, because new parchment bonds may possibly supersede the old? To fay the truth. wherever fuch practices prevail, they are equally criminal to, and destructive of, our freedom.

The rest of your declamation is scarce worth considering, excepting for the elegance of the language. Like Hamlet in the play, you produce two pictures. You tell us, that one is not like the Duke of Bedford; then

Light frame the stand on 160

you bring a most hideous caricatura, and tell us of the.

resemblance; but multum abludit imago.

All your long tedious accounts of the ministerial quarrels, and the intrigues of the cabinet, are reducible to a few thort lines; and to convince you, Sir, that I do not mean to flatter any minister, either past or present, these are my thoughts: They feem to have acted like lovers, or children; have pouted, quarrelled, cried, kiffed, and been friends again, as the objects of defire, the ministerial rattles, have been put into their hands. But fuch proceedings are very unworthy of the gravity and dignity of a great nation. We do not want men of abilities; but we have wanted steadiness; we want unanimity: your letters, Junius, will not contribute thereto. You may one day expire by a flame of your own kindling. But it is my humble opinion, that lenity and moderation, pardon and oblivion, will disappoint the efforts of all the seditious in the land, and extinguish their wide spreading fires. I have lived with this fentiment; with this I shall die.

WILLIAM DRAPER.

fo

C

as

di

b

pa

th

m

13

ra

th

m

E

n

n

W

Q

h

fe

3

h

f

n

t

1

d

2

h

O

ı

LETTER XXVII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, 0A. 13. 1769

IF Sir William Draper's bed be a bed of torture, he has made it for himself. I shall never interrupt his repose. Having changed the subject, there are parts of his last letter not undeserving of a reply. Leaving his private character and conduct out of the question, I shall consider him merely in the capacity of an author, whose

labours certainly do no discredit to a newspaper.

We say, in common discourse, that a man may be his own enemy; and the frequency of the sac makes the expression intelligible. But that a man should be the bitterest enemy of his friends, implies a contradiction of a peculiar nature. There is something in it which cannot be conceived without a consustion of ideas, nor expressed without a solecism in language. Sir William Draper is still that satal friend Lord Granby sound him. Yet I am

ready to do justice to his generosity; if indeed it be not fomething more than generous, to be the voluntary advocate of men who think themselves injured by his assistance, and to consider nothing in the cause he adopts but the difficulty of desending it. I thought however he had been better read in the history of the human heart than to compare or confound the tortures of the body with those of the mind. He ought to have known, though perhaps it might not be his interest to confess, that no outward tyranny can reach the mind. If conscience plays the tyrant, it would be greatly for the benefit of the world that she were more arbitrary, and far less placable, than some

men find her.

ıt

u

it

2.

ig il

R.

69

110

pt

rts. his

all

ofe

his

ex-

oit-

f a

not

Ted '

11

am.

But it feems I have outraged the feelings of a father's heart.—Am I indeed to injudicious? Does Sir William Draper think I would have hazarded my credit with a generous nation, by fo gross a violation of the laws of humanity? Does he think I am to little acquainted with the first and noblest characteristic of Englishmen? Or how will he reconcile fuch folly with an understanding to full of artifice as mine? Had he been a father, he would have been but little offended with the feverity of the reproach, or his mind would have been filled with the justice of it. He would have feen that I did not infult the feelings of a father, but the father who felt nothing. He would have trusted to the evidence of his own paternal heart; and boldly denied the possibility of the fact, inflead of defending it. Against whom then will his honest indignation be directed, when I affure him, that this whole town beheld the Duke of Bedford's conduct, upon the death of his fou, with horror and attonishment? Sir William Draper does himfelf but little honour in oppofing the general sense of his country. The people are seldom wrong in their opinions,—in their fentiments they are never mistaken. There may be a vanity perhaps in a fingular way of thinking; but when a man professes a want of those feelings which do honour to the multitude. he hazards fomething infinitely more important than the character of his understanding. Matter all, as Sir Wilham may possibly be in earnest in his anxiety for the Duke of Bedford, I should be glad to relieve him from it. He may rest affured this worthy nobleman-laughs, with equal

k

t

0

W

e

W

lo

ai

21

to

le

Ca

m

de

th

to

ar

le

by

gr

in

no

of

of

m

he

en

to

te

is

ar

indifference, at my reproaches, and Sir William's distress about him. But here let it stop. Even the Duke of Bedford, insensible as he is, will consult the tranquillity of his life, in not provoking the moderation of my temper. If, from the profoundest contempt, I should ever rise into anger, he should soon find, that all I have already said of

him was lenity and compassion.

Out of a long catalogue, Sir William Draper has confined himself to the refutation of two charges only. The rest he had not time to discuis; and indeed it would have been a laborious undertaking. To draw up a defence of fuch a feries of enormities, would have required a life at least as long as that which has been uniformly employed in the practice of them. The public opinion of the Duke of Bedford's extreme economy is, it feems, entirely without foundation. Though not very prodigal abroad, in his own family at least he is regular and magnificent. He pays his debt, abhors a beggar, and makes a handsome provision for his fon. His charity has improved upon the proverb, and ended where it began. Admitting the whole force of this fingle instance of his domestic generosity (wonderful indeed, confidering the narrowness of his fortune, and the little merit of his only fon), the public may ftill perhaps be diffatisfied, and demand fome other left equivocal proofs of his munificence. Sir William Draper should have entered boldly into the detail-of indigence relieved—of arts encouraged—of science patronized, men of learning protected, and works of genius rewarded; -in short, had there been a fingle instance, besides Mr. Rigby of blushing merit brought forward by the Duke for the fervice of the public, it should not have been omitted.

I wish it were possible to establish my inference with the same certainty on which I believe the principle is founded. My conclusion, however, was not drawn from the principle alone. I am not so unjust as to reason from one crime to another; though I think, that of all the vices, avarice is most apt to taint and corrupt the heart. I combined the known temper of the man with the extravagant concessions made by the ambassador; and though I doubt not sufficient care was taken to leave no document of any treasonable negotiation, I still maintain that the conduct of this minister carries with it an internal and convincing

evidence against him. Sir William Draper seems not to know the value or force of such a proof. He will not permit us to judge of the motives of men, by the manifest tendency of their actions, nor by the notorious character of their minds. He calls for papers and witnesses, with a triumphant security; as if nothing could be true but what could be proved in a court of justice. Yet a religious man might have remembered, upon what soundation some truths, most interesting to mankind, have been received and established. If it were not for the internal evidence, which the purest of religions carries with it, what would have become of his once well-quoted decalogue, and the meekness of his Christianity?

The generous warmth of his refentment makes him confound the order of events. He forgets that the infults and distresses which the Duke of Bedford has suffered, and which Sir William has lamented with many delicate touches of the true pathetic, were only recorded in my letter to his Grace, not occasioned by it. It was a simple candid narrative of facts; though, for aught I know, it may carry with it something prophetic. His Grace undoubtedly has received several ominous hints; and I think, in certain circumstances, a wise man would do well

to prepare himfelf for the event.

1

of

of

er.

to

of

17-

he

avi

of

at

red

ike

th-

10

He

me

the

ole

fity

or.

nay

leis

per

nce

nen

—in

ys,

the

with

e is

TOM

mor

ces

om-

gant

oubt

any

uai

cing

But I have a charge of a heavier nature against Sir William Draper. He tells us that the Duke of Bedford is amenable to justice; that parliament is a high and solemn tribunal; and that, if guilty, he may be punished by due course of law: and all this he says with as much gravity as if he believed one word of the matter. I hope, indeed, the day of impeachments will arrive, before this nobleman escapes out of life;—but to refer us to that mode of proceeding now, with such a ministry, and such a House of Commons as the present, what is it, but an indecent mockery of the common sense of the nation? I think he might have contented himself with defending the greatest enemy, without insulting the distresses, of his country.

His concluding declaration of his opinion, with respect to the present condition of affairs, is too loose and undetermined to be of any service to the public. How strange is it that this gentleman should dedicate so much time and argument to the desence of worthless or indifferent characteristics.

indifference, at my reproaches, and Sir William's diffrest about him. But here let it stop. Even the Duke of Bedford, insensible as he is, will consult the tranquility of his life, in not provoking the moderation of my temper. If, from the profoundest contempt, I should ever rise into anger, he should soon find, that all I have already said of

him was lenity and compassion.

Out of a long catalogue, Sir William Draper has confined himself to the refutation of two charges only. The rest he had not time to discuss; and indeed it would have been a laborious undertaking. To draw up a defence of fuch a feries of enormities, would have required a life at least as long as that which has been uniformly employed in the practice of them. The public opinion of the Duke of Bedford's extreme economy is, it feems, entirely without foundation. Though not very prodigal abroad, in his own family at least he is regular and magnificent. He pays his debt, abhors a beggar, and makes a handsome provision for his fon. His charity has improved upon the proverb, and ended where it began. Admitting the whole force of this fingle instance of his domestic generosity (wonderful indeed, confidering the narrowness of his fortune, and the little merit of his only fon, the public may still perhaps be diffatisfied, and demand fome other less equivocal proofs of his munificence. Sir William Draper should have entered boldly into the detail-of indigence relieved—of arts encouraged—of science patronized, men of learning protected, and works of genius rewarded; -in short, had there been a fingle instance, besides Mr. Rigby's of blushing merit brought forward by the Duke for the fervice of the public, it should not have been omitted.

I wish it were possible to establish my inference with the same certainty on which I believe the principle is founded. My conclusion, however, was not drawn from the principle alone. I am not so unjust as to reason from one crime to another; though I think, that of all the vices, avarice is most apt to taint and corrupt the heart. I combined the known temper of the man with the extravagant concessions made by the ambassador; and though I doubt not sufficient care was taken to leave no document of any treasonable negotiation, I still maintain that the conduct of this minister carries with it an internal and convincing

evidence against him. Sir William Draper seems not to know the value or force of such a proof. He will not permit us to judge of the motives of men, by the manifest tendency of their actions, nor by the notorious character of their minds. He calls for papers and witnesses, with a triumphant security; as if nothing could be true but what could be proved in a court of justice. Yet a religious man might have remembered, upon what soundation some truths, most interesting to mankind, have been received and established. If it were not for the internal evidence, which the purest of religions carries with it, what would have become of his once well-quoted decalogue, and the meekness of his Christianity?

The generous warmth of his refentment makes him confound the order of events. He forgets that the infults and distresses which the Duke of Bedford has suffered, and which Sir William has lamented with many delicate touches of the true pathetic, were only recorded in my letter to his Grace, not occasioned by it. It was a simple candid narrative of facts; though, for aught I know, it may carry with it something prophetic. His Grace undoubtedly has received several ominous hints; and I think, in certain circumstances, a wise man would do well.

to prepare himfelf for the event.

0

18

at

91

ty

r.

17

et

in

th -

m

m

8,

n-

nt

bt

ny

ag

But I have a charge of a heavier nature against Sir William Draper. He tells us that the Duke of Bedford is amenable to justice; that parliament is a high and solemn tribunal; and that, if guilty, he may be punished by due course of law: and all this he says with as much gravity as if he believed one word of the matter. I hope, indeed, the day of impeachments will arrive, before this nobleman escapes out of life;—but to refer us to that mode of proceeding now, with such a ministry, and such a House of Commons as the present, what is it, but an indecent mockery of the common sense of the nation? I think he might have contented himself with defending the greatest enemy, without insulting the distresses, of his country.

His concluding declaration of his opinion, with respect to the present condition of affairs, is too loose and undetermined to be of any service to the public. How strange is it that this gentleman should dedicate so much time and argument to the desence of worthless or indifferent characteristics.

racters, while he gives but feven solitary lines to the only subject which can deserve his attention, or do credit to his abilities.?

JUNIUS,

LETTER XXVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR,

Oct. 20. 1769

de

W

in

he

ha

W

the

W

fac

car

COL

fee

pre use litt

ide

erif con

taki

wh

but

frie

teni

he

per,

mor

thar

rut

I very fincerely applaud the spirit with which a lady has paid the debt of gratitude to her benefactor. Though I think she has mistaken the point, she shows a virtue which makes her respectable. The question turned upon the personal generosity or avarice of a mag whose private fortune is immense. The proofs of his muniscence must be drawn from the uses to which he has applied that fortune. I was not speaking of a Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, but of a rich English Duke, whose wealth gave him the means of doing as much good in this country, as he derived from his power in another. I am far from wishing to lessen the merit of this single benevolent action;—perhaps it is the more conspicuous from standing alone. All I mean to say is, that it proves nothing in the present argument.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XXLX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR,

Oct. 19. 176)

I AM well affured that Junius will never descend to a dispute with such a writer as Modestus (whose letter appeared in the Gazetteer of Monday), especially as the dispute must be chiefly about words. Notwithstanding the partiality of the public, it does not appear that Junius values himself upon any superior skill in compostion; and I hope his time will always be more usefully employed than in the trisling resinements of verbal crimcism. Modestus, however, shall have no reason to triumph in the silence and moderation of Junius. If he knew a much of the propriety of language, as I believe he does of the facts in question, he would have been as cautious of attacking Junius upon his composition, as he seems to be of entering into the subject of it; yet, after all, the last is the only article of any importance to the public.

I do not wonder at the unremitted rancour with which the Duke of Bedford and his adherents invariably speak of a nation which we well know has been too much injured to be easily forgiven. But why must Junius be an Irishman?—"The absurdity of his writings betrays him."—Waving all consideration of the insult offered by Modestus to the declared judgment of the people (they may well bear this among the rest), let us follow the several instances, and try whether the charge be fairly supported.

First then—The leaving a man to enjoy such repose as he can find upon a bed of torture, is severe indeed; perhaps too much so, when applied to such a trifler as Sir William Draper; but there is nothing absurd either in the idea or expression. Modestus cannot distinguish be-

tween a farcasm and a contradiction.

to

8.

64

ch or-

11-

13,

10.

125

ole

his

200

VO-

om no-

US.

end

tter

the

ling

Ju

poli-

الل

TILL

mpl

F. 24

2. I affirm with Junius, that it is the frequency of the fact, which alone can make us comprehend how a man can be his own enemy. We should never arrive at the complex idea conveyed by those words, if we had only seen one or two instances of a man acting to his own prejudice. Offer the proposition to a child, or a man unused to compound his ideas, and you will soon see how little either of them understand you. It is not a simple idea arising from a single fact; but a very complex idea arising from many facts well observed and accurately

compared.

3. Modestus could not, without great affectation, mistake the meaning of Junius when he speaks of a man who is the bitterest enemy of his friends. He could not but know, that Junius spoke, not of a salse or hollow stiendship, but of a real intention to serve, and that intention producing the worst effects of enmity. Whether the description be strictly applicable to Sir William Drager, is another question. Junius does not say that it is more criminal for a man to be the enemy of his friends than his own, though he might have affirmed it with ruth. In a moral light, a man may certainly take greater

21

W

tr

d

fr

le

le

m

th

q

ly

6

th

D

cl

of ly

CO

TO

ho

ar

th

10

T

re

m

中由

liberties with himself than with another. To facrifice ourselves merely, is a weakness we may indulge in, if we think proper; for we do it at our own hazard and enpence: but, under the pretence of friendship, to sport with the reputation, or facrifice the honour of another. is fomething worfe than weakness; and if, in favour of the foolish intention, we do not call it a crime, we must allow at least that it arises from an overweening, buly, meddling impudence. Junius fays only, and he fays truly, that it is more extraordinary, that it involves a great er contradiction, than the other: and is it not a maxim received in life, that in general we can determine more wifely for others than for ourselves? The reason of it is fo clear in argument, that it hardly wants the confirmation of experience. Sir William Draper, I confess, is an exception to the general rule, though not much to his credit.

4. If this gentleman will go back to his ethicks, he may perhaps discover the truth of what Junius says, that no outward tyranny can reach the mind. The tortum of the body may be introduced by way of ornament or illustration to represent those of the mind, but strictly there is no similitude between them. They are totally different both in their cause and operation. The wretch who suffers upon the rack, is merely passive; but when the mind is tortured, it is not at the command of any outward power. It is the sense of guilt which constitutes the punishment, and creates that torture with which the guilty mind acts upon itself.

5. He misquotes what Junius says of conscience; and makes the sentence ridiculous, by making it his own.

So much for composition. Now for fact.—Junius, it feems, has mistaken the Duke of Bedford. His Grace had all the proper feelings of a father, though he took care to suppress the appearance of them. Yet it was an occasion, one would think, on which he need not have been ashamed of his grief;—on which less fortitude would have done him more honour. I can conceive indeed a benevolent motive for his endeavouring to assume an air of tranquillity in his own family; and I wish I could discover any thing, in the rest of his character, to justify my assigning that motive to his behaviour. But is there

rifice

f we

er.

port

ther,

r of

mult

mly,

tru-

reat.

MIXE

nore

It is

rma-

s an

o his

, he

that

ures

at or

alı

tally

etch

hen

any

utes

the

and

3, It

race

took

8 20

1276

bluc

d 1

21

dif-

tify

zere

no medium? Was it necessary to appear abroad, to ballot at the India-House, and make a public display, though it were only of an apparent insensibility?—I know we are treading on tender ground, and Junius, I am convinced, does not wish to argue this question farther. Let the friends of the Duke of Bedford observe that humble silence which becomes their situation. They should recollect that there are still some facts in store, at which human nature would shudder. I shall be understood by those whom it concerns, when I say that these facts go farther than to the Duke ".

It is not inconfistent to suppose that a man may be quite indifferent about one part of a charge, yet severely stung with another; and though he feels no remorse, that he may wish to be revenged. The charge of insensibility carries a reproach indeed, but no danger with it.—Junius had said, "there are others who would affassinate." Modestus, knowing his man, will not suffer the infinuation to be divided, but sixes it all upon the Duke of Bedford.

Without determining upon what evidence Junius would choose to be condemned, I will venture to maintain, in opposition to Modestus, or to Mr. Rigby (who is certainly not Modestus), or any other of the Bloomsbury gang, that the evidence against the Duke of Bedford is as strong as any presumptive evidence can be. It depends upon a combination of facts and reasoning, which require no confirmation from the anecdote of the Duke of Marlbotough. This anecdote was referred to merely to show how ready a great man may be to receive a great bribe; and if Modestus could read the original, he would see that the expression, only not accepted, was probably the only one in our language that exactly fitted the case: The bribe offered to the Duke of Marlborough was not refused.

I cannot conclude without taking notice of this honest gentleman's learning, and wishing he had given us a little more of it. When he accidentally found himself so near speaking truth, it was rather unfair of him to leave out the non potuisse refelli. As it stands, the pudet hac opprobria may be divided equally between Mr. Rigby and the Duke of Bedford. Mr. Rigby, I take for granted, will

affert his natural right to the modesty of the quotation, and leave all the opprobrium to his Grace.

PHILO JUNIO

f a

or

ot

eisi

on vit

nig

iF

0 0

er

ett

ot

an

uß

ice

ffa

ar

ev

n p

ut

ua

her

he

rf

fce

n a

Ipo

io

Hier

on

he

ru

H

LETTER XXX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, O&. 17, 176

IT is not wonderful that the great cause in which this country is engaged should have roused and engross the whole attention of the people. I rather admire the generous spirit with which they feel and affert their intent in this important question, than blame them for their is difference about any other. When the constitution openly invaded, when the first original right of the people from which all laws derive their authority, is direct attacked, inferior grievances naturally lose their for and are suffered to pass by without punishment or oble The present ministry are as fingularly marks by their fortune as by their crimes. Instead of atom for their former conduct by any wife or popular measur they have found, in the enormity of one fact, a con and defence for a feries of measures, which must ha been fatal to any other administration. I fear we are to remis in observing the whole of their proceedings. Struc with the principal figure, we do not fufficiently mark what manner the canvals is filled up. Yet furely it not a less crime, nor less fatal in its consequences, to a courage a flagrant breach of the law by a military force than to make use of the forms of parliament to della the constitution. The ministry feem determined to go us a choice of difficulties, and, if possible, to perplex with the multitude of their offences. The expedient worthy of the Duke of Grafton. But though he he preserved a gradation and variety in his measures, should remember that the principle is uniform. Dictate by the same spirit, they deserve the same attention. I following fact, though of the most alarming nature, not yet been clearly stated to the public; nor have " confequences of it been sufficiently understood. Had taken it up at an earlier period, I should have been accule

of an uncandid, malignant precipitation, as if I watched or an unfair advantage against the ministry, and would not allow them a reasonable time to do their duty. They now stand without excuse. Instead of employing the eisure they have had in a strict examination of the ofence, and punishing the offenders, they seem to have onsidered that indulgence as a security to them; that, with a little time and management, the whole affair

night be buried in filence, and utterly forgotten.

hid field about

ris on a sople order ord

e to

truc

rki

it

o en

orce

ftro

gi

ex I

nt

e h

5, 15

Pate

T

e th

cul

A major-general v of the army is arrested by the sheiff's officers for a confiderable debt. He persuades them conduct him to the Tilt-yard in St. James's Park, uner some pretence of business, which it imported him toettle before he was confined. He applies to a serieant, ot immediately on duty, to affift with some of his comanions in favouring his escape. He attempts it. A uftle enfues. The bailiffs claim their prisoner. An ofcer of the guards w, not then on duty, takes part in the fair, applies to the lieutenant x commanding the Tiltard guard, and urges him to turn out his guard to reeve a general officer. The lieutenant declines interfering person; but stands at a distance, and suffers the busiels to be done. The officer takes upon himself to order ut the guard. In a moment they are in arms, quit their uard, march, rescue the general, and drive away the heriff's officers; who in vain represent their right to he prisoner, and the nature of the arrest. The soldiers th conduct the general into the guard-room; then fcort him to a place of fafety, with bayonets fixed, and all the forms of military triumph. I will not enlarge pon the various circumstances which attended this attoious proceeding. The personal injury received by the ficers of the law in the execution of their duty, may erhaps be atoned for by some private compensation. I onlider nothing but the wound which has been given to he law itself, to which no remedy has been applied, no stisfaction made. Neither is it my design to dwell upon be misconduct of the parties concerned, any farther than necessary to show the behaviour of the ministry in its rue light. I would make every compassionate allowance or the infatuation of the prisoner, the false and criminal iscretion of one officer, and the madness of another. I

20

e, or io

of

ho

er

bjet

it

ei

ad

m

orl

and

t

ave

tis

cal

ve

pli

10

a

ing

t

er

ilt

would leave the ignorant foldiers entirely out of the que ftion. They are certainly the least guilty, though the are the only persons who have yet suffered, even in the appearance of punishment. The fact itself, however atrocious, is not the principal point to be considered. It might have happened under a more regular government and with guards better disciplined than ours. The main question is. In what manner have the ministry acted on this extraordinary occasion? A general officer calls upon the King's own guard, then actually on duty, to refer him from the laws of his country; yet at this momen he is in a fituation no worfe, than if he had not commit ted an offence, equally enormous in a civil and militar view .- A lieutenant upon duty designedly quits his guard and fuffers it to be drawn out by another officer, for purpose which he well knew (as we may collect from a appearance of caution, which only makes his behaviour the more criminal) to be in the highest degree illegal Has this gentleman been called to a court-martial to a fwer for his conduct? No. Has it been cenfured? No. Has it been in any shape inquired into? No.-Another lieutenant, not upon duty, nor even in his regimentals is daring enough to order out the King's guard, over which he had properly no command, and engages the in a violation of the laws of his country, perhaps the most fingular and extravagant that ever was attempted. What punishment has he suffered? Literally none. Sup poling he should be profecuted at common law for the rescue, will that circumstance, from which the ministr can derive no merit, excuse or justify their fuffering flagrant a breach of military discipline to pass by unpu nished and unnoticed? Are they aware of the outrig offered to their Sovereign, when his own proper guard ordered out to stop by main force the execution of h laws? What are we to conclude from fo scandalous neglect of their duty, but that they have other view which can only be answered by securing the attachmen of the guards? The minister would hardly be so caution of offending them, if he did not mean, in due time, call for their affiftance. YIV - Lim Dido

With respect to the parties themselves, let it be of served that these gentlemen are neither young officer

10.

the

YC

nt

ain

on pon

ent

mit

tary

ard

OT 1

1 2

riou

egal

an No.

othe

ntals

OVE

the

s th

d.

Sup

r th

nifty

ng l

npu

trag

ard i

f hi

ous

view

mei

tion

ne,

facen

or very young men. Had they belonged to the unedged race of enfigns, who infest our streets, and difonour our public places, it might perhaps be fufficient fend them back to that discipline from which their paents, judging lightly, from the maturity of their vices. ad removed them too foon. In this case, I am forry to e, not fo much the folly of youth, as the spirit of the orps, and the connivance of government. I do not queion that there are many brave and worthy officers in the giments of guards. But confidering them as a corps, I ar it will be found that they are neither good foldiers or good subjects. Far be it from me to infinuate the oft distant reflection upon the army. On the contrary, honour and esteem the profession; and if these gentleen were better foldiers, I am fure they would be better bjects. It is not that there is any internal vice or dect in the profession itself, as regulated in this country, t that it is the spirit of this particular corps to despite eir profession; and that, while they vainly assume the ad of the army, they make it matter of impertment mparison, and triumph over the bravest troops in the orld (I mean our marching regiments), that they indeed and upon higher ground, and are privileged to neglect e laborious forms of military discipline and duty. Witht dwelling longer upon a most invidious subject, I shall eve it to military men, who have feen a fervice more live than the parade, to determine whether or no I eak truth.

How far this dangerous spirit has been encouraged by vernment, and to what pernicious purposes it may be plied hereafter, well deserves our most serious considerion. I know, indeed, that when this affair happened, affectation of alarm ran through the ministry. Someting must be done to save appearances. The case was a flagrant to be passed by absolutely without notices the how have they acted? Instead of ordering the offers concerned (and who, strictly speaking, are alone sity) to be pur under arrest, and brought to trial, they mild have it understood that they did their duty comtely, in considing a serjeant and sour private soldiers, in they should be demanded by the civil power; so it while the officers who ordered or permitted the poor

men who obeyed those orders, who, in a military view, are no way responsible for what they did, and who for that reason have been discharged by the civil magistrates, are the only objects whom the ministry have thought proper to expose to punishment. They did not venture to bring even these men to a court-martial, because they knew their evidence would be fatal to some persons whom they were determined to protect. Otherwise, I doubt not, the lives of these unhappy friendless soldiers would long since have been sacrificed without scruple to the security of their guilty officers.

I have been accused of endeavouring to instant the passions of the people.—Let me now appeal to their understanding. If there be any tool of administration during enough to deny these facts, or shameless enough to defend the conduct of the ministry, let him come soward. I care not under what title he appears. He shall find me ready to maintain the truth of my narrative, and the justice of my observations upon it, at the hazard of

h

h

6

T

n

k

re

my utmost credit with the public.

Under the most arbitrary governments, the common administration of justice is suffered to take its course. The subject, though robbed of his share in the legislature, it flill protected by the laws. The political freedom of the English constitution was once the pride and honour of w Englishman. The civil equality of the laws preferred the property, and defended the fafety of the fubject. An these glorious privileges the birthright of the people; are we only tenants at the will of the ministry !- But that I know there is a spirit of resistance in the hearts of my countrymen; that they value life, not by its come encies, but by the independence and dignity of the condition; I should, at this moment, appeal only to their diferction. I should perfuade them to banish from their minds all memory of what we were; I should rell them this is not a time to remember that we were Englishmen and give it as my last article, to make some early agree ment with the minister, that, fince in has pleased him rob us of those political rights which once dishing with he would leave us at least the lumble obedient fecility at with the officers wb ordered or permit iew.

for

ater

prore to ther

hom

louht

te fe-

e the

r un-

1 d2-

gh to

for-

Thall

and

amon

The

re, 15

f the

of m

d the

Are

2 ; ot

_But

rts of

onve their

their

their

them

men

grees

CHILD THE CHILD of citizens, and graciously condescend to protect us in our submission.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XXXI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR. Nov. 14. 176c.

THE variety of remarks which have been made upon the last letter of Junius, and my own opinion of the writer, who, whatever may be his faults, is certainly not a weak man, have induced me to examine, with some attention, the subject of that letter. I could not persuade myself, that, while he had plenty of important materials, he would have taken up a light or trifling occasion to atack the ministry; much less could I conceive that it was his intention to ruin the officers concerned in the rescue of General Gansel, or to injure the General himself. These are little objects, and can no way contribute to the great purposes he seems to have in view, by addressing himself to the public.—Without considering the ornamented style he has adopted, I determined to look farther into the matter, before I decided upon the merits of his letter. The first step I took, was to inquire into the truth of the facts; for if these were either false or misrepresented, the most artful exertion of his understanding, in reasoning upon them, would only be a difgrace to him.-Now, Sir, I have found every circumstance stated by Junius to be literally true. General Ganfel persuaded the bailiffs to conduct him to the parade, and certainly folicited a corporal and other foldiers to affift him in making his escape. Captain Dodd did certainly apply to Captain Garth for the affistance of his guard. Captain Garth declined appearing himfelf; but stood aloof, while the other took upon him to order out the King's Guard, and by main force rescued the General. It is also strictly me, that the General was escorted by a file of musleteers to a place of security.—These are facts, Mr. Woodfall, which I promise you no gentleman in the guards will deny. If all or any of them are falle, why are they not contradicted by the parties themselves? However secure against military censure, they have yet a character to lose; and surely, if they are innocent, it is not beneath them to pay some attention to the opinion of the

OI ha

cc pi

fr

. 2

of

the

otl

an

hi

Th

rae

public.

The force of Junius's observations upon these facts. cannot be better marked, than by flating and refuting the objections which have been made to them. One writer fays, " Admitting the officers have offended, they " are punishable at common law; and will you have a "British subject punished twice for the same offence?" -I answer, that they have committed two offences, both very enormous, and violated two laws. The refcue is one offence, the flagrant breach of discipline another; and hitherto it does not appear that they have been punished, or even cenfured for either. Another gentleman lays much stress upon the calamity of the case; and instead of disproving facts, appeals at once to the compassion of the public. This idea, as well as the infinuation that " depriving the parties of their commissions would be " an injury to their creditors," can only refer to General Gansel. The other officers are in no diffres, therefore have no claim to compassion; nor does it appear that their creditors, if they have any, are more likely to be fatisfied by their continuing in the guards. But this fort of plea will not hold in any shape. Compassion to an offender, who has grossly violated the laws, is in effect: cruelty to the peaceable subject who has observed them; and, even admitting the force of any alleviating circumstance, it is nevertheless true, that, in this instance, the royal compassion has interposed too soon. The legal and proper mercy of a King of England may remit the punishment, but ought not to stop the trial.

Besides these particular objections, there has been cry raised against Junius for his malice and injustice in attacking the ministry upon an event which they could neither hinder nor foresee. This, I must affirm, is a falle representation of his argument. He lays no stress upon the event itself, as a ground of accusation against the ministry, but dwells entirely upon their subsequent conduct. He does not say that they are answerable for the offence; but for the scandalous neglect of their duty in suffering an offence so flagrant to pass by without no

ha-

not

the

as,

ing

One

hey

ve a

e?

ooth

one

and

hed,

lays

tead

n of

that

d be

ene-

nere-

that

to be

fort

n of-

ed :

nem;

cum-

, the

l and

e pu-

een a

ice in

could

falle

upo

A the

t con

or the

duty

ut no

tice or inquiry. Supposing them ever so regardless of what they owe to the public, and as indifferent about the opinion as they are about the interests of their country. what answer, as officers of the crown, will they give to lunius, when he alks them, " Are they aware of the "outrage offered to their fovereign, when his own pro-" per guard is ordered out to Rop by main force the exe-"cution of his laws?"—And when we see, a ministry giving fuch a strange unaccountable protection to the officers of the guards, is it unfair to suspect that they have some secret and unwarrantable motives for their conduct? If they feel themselves injured by such a sufpicion, why do they not immediately clear themselves from it, by doing their duty? For the honour of the guards, I cannot help expressing another suspicion, that, if the commanding officer had not received a fecret injunction to the contra. he would, in the ordinary course of his buliness, have applied for a court-martial to try the two fubalterns; the one for quitting his guard; the other for taking upon him the command of the guard. and employing it in the manner he did. I do not meant menter into, or defend the severity with which Junius treats the guards. On the contrary, I will suppose, for moment, that they deserve a very different character. If this be true, in what light will they consider the conduct of two fubalterns, but as a general reproach and digrace to the whole corps? And will they not wish to the them censured in a military way, if it were only for the credit and discipline of the regiment?

Upon the whole, Sir, the ministry seem to me to have aken a very improper advantage of the good nature of the public, whose humanity, they found, considered nothing in this affair but the distress of General Gansel. They would persuade us that it was only a common restate by a sew disorderly soldiers, and not the formal desirate act of the King's guard, headed by an officer; and the public has fallen into the deception. I think, herefore, we are obliged to Junius for the care he has aken to inquire into the facts, and for the just commentry with which he has given them to the world.—For my own part, I am as unwilling as any man to load the infertunate; but really, Sir, the precedent with respect

to the guards, is of a most important nature, and alarming enough (considering the consequences with which is may be attended) to deserve a parliamentary inquiry; when the guards are daring enough, not only to violate their own discipline, but publicly, and with the most attrocious violence, to stop the execution of the laws, and when such extraordinary offences pass with impunity, believe me, Sir, the precedent strikes deep.

PHILO JUNIUS,

the

in

lea

per

by

ani

fati

to

MY

was

cre

difp

lufp

By

lou

ou

reco

fa

OVE

left

ity

ude

Y

ou,

ifte

een

esty

OUS

non

pag

00-

hil

uct

LETTER XXXII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR.

Nov. 15. 1769

I ADMIT the claim of a gentleman who publishes in the Gazetteer under the a me of Modestus. He has some right to expect an answer from me; though, I think, not so much from the merit or importance of his objections, as from my own voluntary engagement. I had a reason for not taking notice of him sooner, which, as he is a candid person, I believe he will think sufficient. In my first letter, I took for granted, from the time which had elapsed, that there was no intention to censure, not even to try, the persons concerned in the rescue of General Gansel; but Modestus having since either affirmed, or strongly infinuated, that the offenders might still be brought to a legal trial, any attempt to prejudge the cause, or to prejudice the minds of a jury or a court-martial, would be highly improper.

A man, more hostile to the ministry than I am, would not so often remind them of their duty. If the Duke of Grafton will not perform the duty of his station, why he minister?—I will not descend to a scurrilous altercation with any man; but this is a subject too important to be passed over with silent indifference. If the gentlement whose conduct is in question, are not brought to a trial

the Duke of Grafton shall hear from me again.

The motives on which I am supposed to have taken up this cause, are of little importance, compared with the facts themselves, and the observations I have made upon them. Without a vain profession of integrity, which is these times might justly be suspected, I shall show myself in effect a friend to the interests of my countrymen, and leave it to them to determine, whether I am moved by a personal malevolence to three private gentlemen, or merely by a hope of perplexing the ministry; or whether I am animated by a just and honourable purpose of obtaining a satisfaction to the laws of this country, equal, if possible, to the violation they have suffered.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XXXIII.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD,

S.

69.

ab.

He

, I

had

he

In

not

eno

i, a

ugh

T I

ld be

rould

ke a

hy

atio

to b

men

trial

en u

h th

upot

ich i

Nov. 29. 1761

THOUGH my opinion of your Grace's integrity was but little affected by the coynels with which you received Mr. Vaughan's propofals, I confess I give you some credit for your discretion. You had a fair opportunity of fifplaying a certain delicacy, of which you had not been suspected; and you were in the right to make use of it. By laying in a moderate stock of reputation, you unloubtedly meant to provide for the future necessities of our character, that, with an honourable relistance upon ecord, you may fafely indulge your genius, and yield to favourite inclination with security. But you have difovered your purpoles too foon; and, instead of the moleft referve of virtue, have shown us the termagant chafty of a prude, who gratifies her passions with distinction, nd profecutes one lover for a rape, while the folicits the ude embraces of another.

Your cheek turns pale; for a guilty conscience tells ou, you are undone.—Come forward, thou virtuous minister, and tell the world by what interest Mr. Hine has been recommended to so extraordinary a mark of his Macsty's favour; what was the price of the patent he has bought, and to what honourable purpose the purchase-noney has been applied. Nothing less than many thousands could pay Colonel Burgoyne's expences at Preston. Do you dare to prosecute such a creature as Vaughan, while you are basely setting up the Royal Patronage to uction? Do you dare to complain of an attack upon your

own honour, while you are selling the favours of the Grown, to raise a fund for corrupting the morals of the people? And, do you think it is possible such enormites should escape without impeachment? It is indeed highly your interest to maintain the present House of Commons Having sold the nation to you in gross, they will undoubtedly protect you in the detail; for while they patronise your crimes, they feel for their own.

UNIUS.

LETTER XXXIV 2.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD,

Dec. 12. 1769

te

n

ti

n

an lil

in

PI

Ye

ed

re

lea Be

me (pi

en wh

mu

y

o i

len

ore

ou

I FIND with some surprise, that you are not supported as you deserve. Your most determined advocate have feruples about them, which you are unacquainted with; and though there be nothing too hazardous for you Grace to engage in, there are fome things too infamous la the vilest prostitute of a newspaper to defend . In what other manner shall we account for the profound, submission filence, which you and your friends have observed upon charge, which called immediately for the clearest result tion, and would have justified the severest measures of a fentment? I did not attempt to blaft your character by indirect, ambiguous infinuation; but candidly stated you a plain fact, which struck directly at the integrity a privy counfellor, of a first commissioner of the treatm and of a leading minister, who is supposed to enjoy first share in his Majesty's confidence . In every one shele espacities, I employed the most moderate terms charge you with treachery to your fovereign; and breach trust in your office. I accused you of having fold a pate place in the collection of the customs at Exeter, to a Mr. Hine; who, unable or unwilling to deposite the who purchase money kimfelf, raised part of it by contribution and has now a certain Doctor Brooke quartered upon the falary for one hundred pounds a-year. - No fale by candle was ever conducted with greater formality.firm, that the price at which the place was knocked dow (and which, I have good reason to think, was not less the

three thousand five hundred pounds), was, with your connivance and consent, paid to Colonel Burgoyne, to reward him, I presume, for the decency of his deportment at Preston; or to reimburse him, perhaps, for the fine of one thousand pounds, which, for that very deportment, the court of King's Bench thought proper to set upon him.— It is not often that the chief justice and the prime minister are so strangely at variance in their opinions of men and

things.

the

the

ties

M

ons.

abt-

nife

III9

1769

fup-

cates

inted

your la

whi

illin pon

futi

of so by 41 ed 1

ity 0

Mur

one i

ach

pater to or

utio

on th

by th

do

s thi

I thank God, there is not in human nature a degree of impudence daring enough to deny the charge I have fixed upon you. Your courteous fecretary c, your confidential. architect, are filent as the grave. Even Mr. Rigby's. countenance fails him. He violates his fecond nature, and blushes whenever he speaks of you.-Perhaps the noble Colonel himself will relieve you. No man is more tender of his reputation. He is not only nice, but perfeelly fore in every thing that touches his honour. If any man, for example, were to accuse him of taking his stand at a gaming-table, and watching, with the foberest attention, for a fair opportunity of engaging a drunken young nobleman at piquet, he would undoubtedly confider it as an infamous aspersion upon his character, and resent it like a man of honour. Acquitting him, therefore, of drawing a regular and splendid subsistence from any unworthy practices either in his own house or elsewhere, let me alk your Grace, for what military merits you have been pleafed to reward him with military government? He had a regiment of dragoons, which one would imagine was at least an equivalent for any services he ever performed. Befides, he is but a young officer, confidering his preferment, and, except in his activity at Preston, not very conspicuous in his profession. But it seems the sale of a civil employment was not fufficient; and military governments, which were intended for the support of worn-out veterans, must be thrown into the scale, to defray the extensive bribey of a contested election. Are these the steps you take ofecure to your fovereign the attachment of his army? With what countenance dare you appear in the royal preence, branded as you are with the infamy of a notorious reach of trust? With what countenance can you take four feat at the treasury-board or in council, when you.

F 4

feel that every circulating whisper is at your expence alone, and stabs you to the heart? Have you a single friend in parliament so shameless, so thoroughly abandoned, as to undertake your defence? You know, my Lord, that there is not a man in either house, whose character, however flagitious, would not be ruined by mixing his reputation with yours; and does not your heart inform you, that you are degraded below the condition of a man, when you are obliged to hear these insults with submission, and

even to thank me for my moderation?

We are told, by the highest judicial authority, that Mr. Vaughan's offer to purchase the reversion of a patent place in Jamaica (which he was otherwise sufficiently entitled to) amounted to a high misdemeanor. Be it so; and if he deserves it, let him be punished. But the learned judge might have had a fairer opportunity of displaying the powers of his eloquence. Having delivered himself with to much energy upon the criminal nature and dangerou consequences of any attempt to corrupt a man in your Grace's station, what would he have said to the minister himself, to that very privy counsellor, to that first commissioner of the treasury, who does not wait for, but impatiently folicits, the touch of corruption; who employs the meanest of his creatures in these honourable services, and, forgetting the genius and fidelity of his fecretary, descends to apply to his house-builder for assistance?

This affair, my Lord, will do infinite credit to government, if, to clear your character, you should think proper to bring it into the House of Lords, or into the Court of King's Bench.—But, my Lord, you dare not do either.

TUNIUS

fa

yo

fu

R

LETTER XXXV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

Dec. 19. 1769

When the complaints of a brave and powerful people at observed to increase in proportion to the wrongs the have suffered; when, instead of sinking into submission, they are roused to resistance; the time will soon arrive at which every inferior consideration must yield to the

fecurity of the fovereign, and to the general fafety of the state. There is a moment of difficulty and danger, at which flattery and falsehood can no longer deceive, and fimplicity itself can no longer be misled. Let us suppose it arrived. Let us suppose a gracious, wellintentioned prince, made fensible at last of the great duty he owes to his people, and of his own difgraceful fituation; that he looks round him for affiftance, and asks for no advice, but how to gratify the wishes and fecure the happiness of his subjects. In these circumstances, it may be matter of curious speculation to consider, if an honest man were permitted to approach a king, in what terms he would address himself to his forereign. Let it be imagined, no matter how improbable, that the first prejudice against his character is removed; that the ceremonious difficulties of an audience are surmounted; that he feels himself animated by the pureft and most honourable affection to his king and country, and that the great person whom he addreffes has fpirit enough to bid him speak freely, and understanding enough to listen to him with attention. Unacquainted with the vain impertinence of forms, he would deliver his fentiments with dignity and firmnels, but not without respect.

SIR.

ıd

re

W-

11.

u,

en

nd

Ar.

ace

led d if

ned

the

ous

ow

om-

imlovs

ces

de-

etn-

oper

rt ot

ther.

NIUS

1769

e are

the

(fion

arriv

o the

IT is the misfortune of your life, and originally the cause of every reproach and diffress which has attended your government, that you should never have been acquainted with the language of truth, until you heard it in the complaints of your people. It is not, however, too late to correct the error of your education. We are still inclined to make an indulgent allowance for the pernicious lessons you received in your youth, and to form the most fanguine hopes from the natural benevolence of your difpolition . We are far from thinking you capable of a direct, deliberate purpose to invade those original rights of your subjects, on which all their civil and political liberties depend. Had it been possible for us to entertain a suspicion so dishonourable to your character, we should long fince have adopted a ftyle of remonstrance very dikant from the humility of complaint. The doctrine incul-

ename and the new man

cated by our laws, "That the King can do no wrong," is admitted without reluctance. We separate the amiable. good-natured prince from the folly and treachery of his fervants, and the private virtues of the man from the vices of his government. Were it not for this just distinction. I know not whether your Majesty's condition, or that of the English nation, would deserve most to be lamented. I would prepare your mind for a favourable reception of truth, by removing every painful, offensive idea of personal reproach. Your subjects, Sir, wish for nothing but that, as they are reasonable and affectionate enough to separate your person from your government, so you, in your turn, should distinguish between the conduct which becomes the permanent dignity of a King, and that which serves only to promote the temporary interest and miserable ambition of a minister.

f

n

I

Y

ta

fr

20

Pife

th

K

of

W

in ty

fic

ra

fie

E

ie

W

no

an

You ascended the throne with a declared, and, I doubt not, a fincere resolution of giving universal satisfaction to your subjects. You found them pleased with the novelty of a young prince, whole countenance promifed even more than his words; and loyal to you, not only from principle, but passion. It was not a cold profession of allegiance to the first magistrate; but a partial, animated attachment to a favourite prince, the native of their country. They did not wait to examine your conduct, nor to be determined by experience; but gave you a generow credit for the future bleffings of your reign, and paid you in advance the dearest tribute of their affections. - Such, Sir, was once the disposition of a people, who now furround your throne with reproaches and complaints. Do justice to yourfelf. Banish from your mind those unworthy opinions, with which some interested persons have laboured to possess you. Distrust the men who tell you that the English are naturally light and inconstant—that they complain without a cause. Withdraw your confidence equally from all parties; from ministers, favourites, and relations; and let there be one moment in your life, in which you have confulted your own understanding.

When you affectedly renounced the name of Englishman, believe me, Sir, you were perfuaded to pay a very ill-judged compliment to one part of your subjects at the expence of another. While the natives of Scotland are

not in actual rebellion, they are undoubtedly entitled to protection; nor do I mean to condemn the policy of giving some encouragement to the novelty of their affections for the House of Hanover. I am ready to hope for every thing from their new born zeal, and from the future steadiness of their allegiance. But hitherto they have no claim to your favour. To honour them with a determined predilection and confidence, in exclusion of your English subjects, who placed your family, and in spite of treachery and rebellion have supported it upon the throne, is a mistake too gross even for the unsuspecting generosity of youth. In this error we see a capital violation of the most obvious rules of policy and prudence. We trace it, however, to an original bias in your education, and are

ready to allow for your inexperience.

f

9

1,

S

29

bŧ

to

ty

ans

m

uled

n-

to

u

ou.

h,

Do

or-

12-

ou

ifi-

es

fe,

h-

ery

are

To the same early influence we attribute it, that you have descended to take a share not only in the narrow views and interests of particular persons, but in the fatal malignity of their passions. At your accession to the throne, the whole system of government was altered, not from wisdom or deliberation, but because it had been adopted by your predecessor. A little personal motive of pique and refentment was fufficient to remove the ablest fervants of the crown f; but it is not in this country, Sir, that such men can be dishonoured by the frowns of a King. They were dismissed, but could not be disgraced, Without entering into a minuter discussion of the merits of the peace, we may observe, in the imprudent hurry with which the first overtures from France were accepted, m the conduct of the negotiation and terms of the treaty, the strongest marks of that precipitate spirit of concestion with which a certain party of your subjects have been at all times ready to purchase a peace with the natural enemies of this country. On your part we are latished that every thing was honourable and fincere; and if England was fold to France, we doubt not that your Majefty was equally betrayed. The conditions of the peace were matter of grief and furprise to your subjects, but not the immediate cause of their present discontent.

Hitherto, Sir, you had been facrificed to the prejudices and passions of others. With what firmuess will you bear

the mention of your own?

cu

ou

be

lav

fte

alo

op

fro

cet

un

att

WO

eve

the

fen

int

you

exe

ty i

of (

fo 1

nor

unc

litie

imp

por

you

cee

dou

adn

cifir

ftill

peo

tion

forn

bas

A man, not very honourably diftinguished in the world. commences a formal attack upon your favourite, confidering nothing but how he might best expose his person and principles to detestation, and the national character of his countrymen to contempt. The natives of that country, Sir, are as much diffinguished by a peculiar character, as by your Majesty's favour. Like another chosen people, they have been conducted into the land of plenty, where they find themselves effectually marked, and divided from mankind. There is hardly a period at which the most irregular character may not be redeemed. The mistakes of one fex find a retreat in patriotism, those of the other in devotion. Mr. Wilkes brought with him into politics the fame liberal fentiments by which his private conduct had been directed; and feemed to think that, as there are few excesses in which an English gentleman may not be permitted to indulge, the same latitude was allowed him in the choice of his political principles, and in the spirit of maintaining them .- I mean to state, not entirely to defend his conduct. In the earnestness of his zeal, he fuffered some unwarrantable infinuations to escape him. He said more than moderate men would justify; but not enough to entitle him to the honour of your Majesty's personal resentment. The rays of Royal indignation, collected upon him, ferved only to illuminate, and could not confume. Animated by the favour of the people on the one fide, and heated by perfecution on the other, his views and fentiments changed with his fituation. Hardly serious at first, he is now an enthusiast. The coldest bodies warm with opposition, the hardest sparkle in collision. There is a holy mistaken zeal in politics as well as religion. By perfuading others, we convince ourselves. The passions are engaged, and create a maternal affection in the mind, which forces us to love the cause for which we suffer. Is this a contention worthy of a king? Are you not sensible how much the meanness of the cause gives an air of ridicule to the ferious difficulties into which you have been betrayed? The destruction of one man has been now for many years the fole object of your government; and if there can be any thing still more difgraceful, we have feen, for fuch an object, the utmost influence of the exe

cutive power, and every ministerial artifice, exerted without success. Nor can you ever succeed, unless he should be imprudent enough to forseit the protection of those laws to which you owe your crown, or unless your ministers should persuade you to make it a question of sorce alone, and try the whole strength of government in opposition to the people. The lessons he has received from experience, will probably guard him from such excess of folly; and in your Majesty's virtues we find an unquestionable assurance that no illegal violence will be

P. F. M. 17.2-15

attempted.

f

n

k

e

S,

e,

of

to

11

c,

20

ne

2-

ft.

0-

n-

to

OO

ch

to

ic-

W

nd

100

Far from fulpecting you of fo horrible a delign, we would attribute the continual violation of the laws, and even this last enormous attack upon the vital principles of the constitution, to an ill-advised, unworthy, personal refentment. From one falle step you have been betrayed into another; and as the cause was unworthy of you, your ministers were determined that the prudence of the execution should correspond with the wisdom and dignity of the defign. They have reduced you to the necessity of choosing out of a variety of difficulties;—to a situation lo unhappy, that you can neither do wrong without ruin nor right without affliction. These worthy servants have undoubtedly given you many fingular proofs of their abilities. Not contented with making Mr. Wilkes a man of importance, they have judiciously transferred the question from the rights and interests of one man to the most important rights and interests of the people; and forced your subjects, from wishing well to the cause of an individual, to unite with him in their own. Let them proceed as they have begun, and your Majesty need not doubt that the catastrophe will do no dishonour to the conduct of the piece.

The circumstances to which you are reduced, will not admit of a compromise with the English nation. Under the qualifying measures will disgrace your government still more than open violence; and without satisfying the people, will excite their contempt. They have too much understanding and spirit to accept of an indirect satisfaction for a direct injury. Nothing less than a repeal, as formal as the resolution itself, can heal the wound which has been given to the constitution, nor will any thing less

Ar

ha

in

(pi

po

ex

co

th

an

th

th

fu

fu

212

m

go of

th

of

for

W

pr

to

co

T

rei

pa

the

fer

the

Lo

to.

an

be accepted. I can readily believe that there is an influence sufficient to recal that permicious vote. The House of Commons undoubtedly consider their duty to the crown as paramount to all other obligations. To us they are only indebted for an accidental existence, and have justly transferred their graticude from their parents to their be nefactors; -- from those who gave them birth; to the mi nifter from whose benevolence they derive the comform and pleasures of their political life-who has taken the tendereft care of their infancy, and relieves their nexellities without offending their delicacy. But if it were possible for their integrity to be degraded to a condition fo vile and abject, that, compared with it, the present estimation they stand in is a state of honour and respect, confider, Sir, in what manner you will afterwards proceed. Can you conceive that the people of this couptry will long fubmit to be governed by so flexible a House of Commons? It is not in the nature of human fociety, that any form of government, in such circumstances, can long be preferred. In ours, the general contempt of the people is as fatal as their deteftation. Such, I am perfuaded, would be the necessary effect of any base concelfon made by the present House of Commons, and, as a qualifying measure would not be accepted, it remains for you to decide whether you will, at any ha and, supports fet of men who have reduced you to this unhappy dilemma, or whether you will gratify the united wishes of the whole people of England by diffolying the parliament.

Taking it for granted, as I do very fincerely, that you have personally no design against the constitution, or any view inconsistent with the good of your subjects, I think you cannot helitate long upon the choice which it equally concerns your interest and your honour to adopt. On one side, you hazard the affections of all your English subjects, you relinquish every hope of repose to yourself, and you endanger the establishment of your family for ever. All this you venture for no object whatsoever, or for such as object as it would be an affront to you to name. Men of sense will examine your conduct with suspicion; while those who are incapable of comprehending to what degree they are injured, afflict you with clamours equally infolious and unmeaning. Supposing it possible that no fath

h

uíc

Wd

210

fly be-

mi-

orts the

E.

rere

fent

ect.

PTO+

otry

e of

ety,

can

per-

as a

ort 1

lem-

she

333

you

201

hin

ualit

ects,

All

h at

while

gree

fate

fruggle should ensue, you determine at once to be unhappy, without the hope of a compensation either from interest or ambition. If an English king be hated or despised, he must be unhappy; and this perhaps is the only political truth which he ought to be convinced of without experiment. But if the English people should no longer confine their resentment to a submissive representation of their wrongs; if, following the glorious example of their ancestors, they should no longer appeal to the creature of the constitution, but to that high Being who gave them the rights of humanity, whose gifts it were facrilege to surrender; let me ask you, Sir, upon what part of your subjects would you rely for assistance?

The people of Ireland have been uniformly plundered and oppressed. In return they give you every day fresh marks of their resentment. They despise the miserable governor you have sent them s, because he is the creature of Lord Bute; nor is it from any natural confusion in their ideas that they are so ready to consound the original of a king, with the disgraceful representation of him.

The distance of the colonies would make it impossible for them to take an active concern in your affairs, if they were as well affected to your government as they once pretended to be to your person. They were ready enough to distinguish between you and your ministers. They complained of an act of the legislature, but traced the origin of it no higher than to the servants of the crown: They pleased themselves with the hope that their sovereign, if not favourable to their cause, at least was impartial. The decifive personal part you took against them, has effectually banished that first distinction from their minds h. They confider you as united with your ervants against America; and know how to distinguish the lovereign and a venal parliament on one fide, from the real fentiments of the English people on the other. looking forward to independence, they might possibly receive you for their king; but, if ever you retire to America, be affured they will give you such a covenant lo digest, as the Presbytery of Scotland would have been chamed to offer to Charles II. They left their native and in search of freedom, and found it in a desert. Disided as they are into a thousand forms of policy and religion, there is one point in which they all agree :- they equally deteft the pageantry of a king, and the superco

try

the

ha

hif

vat

his

to

on

rec

and

fet

bal

mi

fen

tw

mi

ner wh

the

app

tre

rag

WO

too

tha

we

the

Wit

the

ma

exa

sen

ing

tho

bor

abr

got

the

like

lious hypocrify of a bishop.

It is not then from the alienated affections of Ireland or America that you can reasonably look for assistance: still less from the people of England, who are actually contending for their rights, and in this great question are parties against you. You are not, however, destitute of every appearance of support : You have all the Jacobites Nonjurors, Roman Catholics, and Tories of this country and all Scotland without exception. Confidering from what family you are descended, the choice of your friend has been fingularly directed; and truly Sir, if you had not loft the Whig interest of England, I should admir your dexterity in turning the hearts of your enemies. I it possible for you to place any confidence in men, who before they are faithful to you, must renounce every opi nion, and betray every principle, both in church and state which they inherit from their ancestors, and are confirm ed in by their education? whose numbers are so income derable, that they have long fince been obliged to give up the principles and language which diftinguish them as party, and to fight under the banners of their enemies! Their zeal begins with hypocrify, and must conclude in treachery. At first they deceive, at last they betray.

As to the Scotch, I must suppose your heart and un derstanding so biassed, from your earliest infancy, in the favour, that nothing less than your own misfortunes can undeceive you. You will not accept of the uniform en perience of your ancestors; and, when once a man is do termined to believe, the very absurdity of the doctrine confirms him in his faith. 'A biggotted understanding ca draw a proof of attachment to the House of Hanove from a notorious zeal for the House of Stuart, and fin an earnest of future loyalty in former rebellions. Appear ances are, however, in their favour; so strongly indeed that one-would think they had forgotten that you are their lawful King, and had mistaken you for a pretended to the crown. Let it be admitted, then, that the Scott are as fincere in their prefent professions as if you were in reality not an Englishman, but a Briton of the North You would not be the first prince; of their native comhey

TCI

and

ce;

ally

are

e of

tes

try

end

had

nire li

vho.

opi-

rm-

e up

25 1

ies !

e in

un-

heir

er de

rine

Can

over find

ear.

eed

art

nder

otch

rth.

יונוו

try, against whom they have rebelled, nor the first whom they have basely betrayed. Have you forgotten, Sir, or has your favourite concealed from you that part of our history, when the unhappy Charles (and he too had private virtues) fled from the open, avowed indignation of his English subjects, and surrendered himself at discretion to the good faith of his own countrymen. Without looking for support in their affections as subjects, he applied only to their honour as gentlemen for protection. They received him as they would your Majesty, with bows, and fmiles, and falfehood, and kept him until they had settled their bargain with the English parliament; then basely sold their native king to the vengeance of his enemies. This, Sir, was not the act of a few traitors, but the deliberate treachery of a Scotch parliament, reprefenting the nation. A wife prince might draw from it two lessons of equal utility to himself. On one side he might learn to dread the undifguised resentment of a generous people, who dare openly affert their rights, and who, in a just cause, are ready to meet their sovereign in the field. On the other fide, he would be taught to apprehend fomething far more formidable;—a fawning treachery, against which no prudence can guard, no courage can defend. The infidious smile upon the cheek would warn him of the canker in the heart.

From the uses to which one part of the army had been too frequently applied, you have fome reason to expect that there are no services they would refuse. Here too we trace the partiality of your understanding. You take the fense of the army from the conduct of the guards, with the same justice with which you collect the sense of the people from the representations of the ministry. Your marching regiments, Sir, will not make the guards their example either as foldiers or subjects. They feel and relent, as they ought to do, that invariable, undistinguishing favour, with which the guards are treated; while those gallant troops, by whom every hazardous, every laborious service is performed, are left to perish in garrisons abroad, or pine in quarters at home, neglected and forgotten. If they had no fense of the great original duty they owe their country, their resentment would operate like patriotism, and leave your cause to be defended by

Ma

Co

the

tò

no

am

gal

dif

wl

an

fol

da

pe

Tig

the

da

ap

cla

to

ed

CTI

pe

th

aĉ

alt

fee

to

te

eff

tw

th

W

an

W

th

je

ar

m

I

W

those to whom you have lavished the rewards and honours of their profession. The Prætorian bands, enervated and debauched as they were, had still strength enough to awe the Roman populace; but when the distant legions took the alarm, they marched to Rome, and

gave away the empire.

On this fide, then, whichever way you turn your eye, you fee nothing but perplexity and diffress. You may determine to support the very ministry who have reduced your affairs to this deplorable situation; you may shelter yourfelf under the forms of a parliament, and set your people at defiance; but be affured, Sir, that such a resolution would be as imprudent as it would be odious. If it did not immediately shake your establishment, it would

rob you of your peace of mind for ever.

On the other, how different is the prospect! How ear, how fafe and honourable, is the path before you! The English nation declare they are grossly injured by the representatives, and folicit your Majesty to exert your lawful prerogative, and give them an opportunity of recalling a trust which they find has been scandalously abofed. You are not to be told that the power of the House of Commons is not original, but delegated to them for the welfare of the people, from whom they received it A question of right arises between the constituent and the representative body. By what authority shall it be decided? Will your Majesty interfere in a question in which you have properly no immediate concern?-! would be a step equally odious and unnecessary. Shall the Lords be called upon to determine the rights and privileges of the Commons?-They cannot do it without? flagrant breach of the constitution. Or, will you refer it to the judges? - They have often told your ancestors that the law of parliament is above them. What part then remains, but to leave it to the people to determine for themselves? They alone are injured; and since there is no superior power to which the cause can be referred, they alone ought to determine?

I do not mean to perplex you with a tedious argument upon a subject already so discussed, that inspiration could hardly throw a new light upon it. There are, however, two points of view in which it particularly imports you ho.

ner.

di di

and

res,

nay

ced lter

our elo-

I

uld

afv.

The

heir

OU

TC-

bu-

vulc

for

lit

and

be

in —lt

hall

priit 2

rit

hat

hen

for

e is

ed,

ent

uld

out

Majesty to consider the late proceedings of the House of By depriving a fubject of his birthright, they have attributed to their own vote an authority equal to an act of the whole legislature; and, though perhaps not with the fame motives, have strictly followed the example of the long parliament, which first declared the regal office useless, and soon after, with as little ceremony, diffolved the House of Lords. The same pretended power which robs an English subject of his birthright, may rob an English king of his crown. In another view, the refolution of the House of Commons, apparently not so dangerous to your Majesty, is still more alarming to your people. Not contented with divesting one man of his right, they have arbitrarily conveyed that right to another. They have fet aside a return as illegal, without daring to censure those officers, who were particularly appriled of Mr. Wilkes's incapacity, not only by the declaration of the House, but expressly by the writ directed to them, and who nevertheless returned him as duly elected. They have rejected the majority of votes, the only criterion by which our laws judge of the fense of the people; they have transferred the right of election from the collective to the representative body; and by these acts, taken leparately or together, they have effentially altered the constitution of the House of Commons. Verled, as your Majesty undoubtedly is, in the English history, it cannot easily escape you, how much it is your intereit, as well as your duty, to prevent one of the three estates from encroaching upon the province of the other two, or affuming the authority of them all. When once they have departed from the great conflitutional line by which all their proceedings should be directed, who will answer for their future moderation? Or, what affurance will they give you, that, when they have trampled upon their equals, they will submit to a superior? Your Majesty may learn hereafter how nearly the slave and tyrant are allied.

Some of your council, more candid than the rest, admit the abandoned prossigacy of the present House of Commons, but oppose their dissolution, upon an opinion, I confess, not very unwarrantable, that their successors would be equally at the disposal of the Treasury. I can-

mire

your

vou'

a pr

of f

but

be r

bade

cani

who

favo

T

BOV

her

fam

ligi

equ

and

can

nan

wit

ble

be s

elf

rem

ma

no

ha

re

en

pi

he

or

n

not persuade myself that the nation will have profited so little by experience. But if that opinion were well founded, you might then gratify our wishes at an easy rate, and appeale the present clamour against your government, without offering any material injury to the favourite cause

of corruption.

You have still an honourable part to act. The affections of your subjects may still be recovered. But before you subdue their hearts, you must gain a noble victory over your own. Discard those little personal resentments which have too long directed your public conduct. Pardon this man the remainder of his punishment; and is resentment still prevails, make it, what it should have been long since, an act, not of mercy, but of contempt. He will soon fall back into his natural station,—a silent senator, and hardly supporting the weekly eloquence of a newspaper. The gentle breath of peace would leave him on the surface, neglected and unremoved. It is only the

tempest that lifts him from his place.

Without confulting your minister, call together your whole council. Let it appear to the public, that you can determine and act for yourself. Come forward to your people. Lay aside the wretched formalities of a king and speak to your subjects with the spirit of a man, and in the language of a gentleman. Tell them you have been fatally deceived. The acknowledgment will be no diffrace, but rather an honour to jur understanding Tell them you are determined to remove every cause of complaint against your government; that you will give your confidence to no man who does not possess the confidence of your subjects; and leave it to themselves to determine, by their conduct at a future election, whether or no it be in reality the general sense of the nation, that their rights have been arbitrarily invaded by the present House of Commons, and the constitution betrayed. They will then do justice to their representatives, and to themfelves.

These sentiments, Sir, and the style they are conveyed in, may be offensive perhaps, because they are new to you. Accustomed to the language of courtiers, you measure their affections by the vehemence of their expressions; and when they only praise you indirectly, you are

mire their fincerity. But this is not a time to trifle with your fortune. They deceive you, Sir, who tell you that you have many friends whose affections are founded upon a principle of personal attachment. The first foundation of friendship, is not the power of conferring benefits, but the equality with which they are received, and may be returned. The fortune which made you a king, forbade you to have a friend. It is a law of nature which cannot be violated with impunity. The mistaken prince, who looks for friendship, will find a favourite, and in that savourite the ruin of his affairs.

re

ſγ

Ite

1.

if

ve

pt.

ent

im

he

ur

20

our

gi

ind

276

110

ng.

of ive

deher

that

fent

hey

em-

yed

7 t0

nea

ref

ad.

The people of England are loyal to the House of Hamover, not from a vain preference of one family to another, but from a conviction that the establishment of that samily was necessary to the support of their civil and religious liberties. This, Sir, is a principle of allegiance equally solid and rational;—fit for Englishment to adopt, and well worthy of your Majesty's encouragement. We cannot be long deluded by nominal distinctions. The name of Stuart, of itself, is only contemptible;—armed with the sovereign authority, their principles are formidable. The prince who imitates their conduct, should be warned by their example; and, while he plumes himself upon the security of his title to the crown, should remember, that, as it was acquired by one revolution, it may be lost by another.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XXXVI.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD, Feb 14. 1770.

If I were personally your enemy, I might pity and forgive you. You have every claim to compassion, hat can arise from misery and distress. The condition you are reduced to, would disarm a private enemy of his reentment, and leave no consolation to the most vindictive pirit, but that such an object as you are, would disgrace he dignity of revenge. But in the relation you have borne to this country, you have no title to indulgence; and if I had followed the dictates of my own opinion, I

WO

by

ifi

cal

he

00

par

ben

uit

ind

ate

mea

brn

mif

Me

hav

ceiv

can

ng ish

mer

fn

he

hou

his

not

lord ator

pen

and of th

of g

duce

mid

had migl

diffic

ever

befo

was

or,

should never have allowed you the respite of a moment In your public character, you have injured every subject of the empire; and though an individual is not author rifed to forgive the injuries done to fociety, he is called upon to affert his separate share in the public resentment. I fubmitted, however, to the judgment of men more moderate, perhaps more candid than myself. For my own part I do not pretend to understand those prudent form of decorum, those gentle rules of discretion which some men endeavour to unite with the conduct of the greated and most hazardous affairs. Engaged in the defence of an honourable cause, I would take a decisive part.should scorn to provide for a future retreat, or to keep terms with a man who preferves no measures with the public. Neither the abject submission of deserting his post in the hour of danger, nor even the k facred shield of cowardice, should protect him, I would pursue him through life, and try the last exertion of my abilities to preserve the perishable infamy of his name, and make it immortal.

What, then, my Lord, is this the event of all the is crifices you have made to Lord Bute's patronage, and to your own unfortunate ambition? Was it for this you abandoned your earliest friendships, the warmest conpections of your youth, and all those honourable engage ments by which you once folicited, and might have acquired the esteem of your country? Have you secured no recompense for such a waste of honour?-Unhappy man! what party will receive the common deferter of all parties? Without a client to flatter, without a friend to confole you, and with only one companion from the honest house of Bloomsbury, you must now retire into dreadful folitude. At the most active period of life, you must quit the buly scene, and conceal yourself from the world, if you would hope to fave the wretched remains of a ruined reputation. The vices operate like age bring on difease before its time, and in the prime of youth leave the character broken and exhausted.

Yet your conduct has been mysterious, as well as contemptible. Where is now that sirmness or obstinacy to long boasted of by your friends, and acknowledged by your enemies? We were taught to expect that you

o ed

eft of

-1

ep the

his

eld

to

i to

on-

ac-

red

PPY

d to

ho-

to a

you the

aim

1-

outh

con

cy fo

would not leave the ruin of this country to be completed other hands, but were determined either to gain a derifive victory over the constitution, or to perish bravely at east behind the last dike of the prerogative. You knew he danger, and might have been provided for it. ook sufficient time to prepare for a meeting with your parliament, to confirm the mercenary fidelity of your deendants, and to suggest to your Sovereign a language uited to his dignity at least, if not to his benevolence and wisdom. Yet, while the whole kingdom was agiated with anxious expectation upon one great point, you meanly evaded the question, and, instead of the explicit irmness and decision of a king, gave us nothing but the milery of a ruined 1 grazier, and the whining piety of a Methodist. We had reason to expect that notice would have been taken of the petitions which the King had reteived from the English nation; and although I can conteive some personal motives for not yielding to them, I can find none, in common prudence or decency, for treating them with contempt. Be affured, my Lord, the Engish people will not tamely submit to this unworthy treatment:-they had a right to be heard; and their petitions. f not granted, deserved to be considered. Whatever be he real views and doctrine of a court, the Sovereign hould be taught to preferve some forms of attention to his subjects; and, if he will not redress their grievances, not to make them a topic of jest and mockery among ords and ladies of the bedchamber. Injuries may be stoned for, and forgiven; but infults admit of no compensation. They degrade the mind in its own esteem, and force it to recover its level by revenge. This neglect of the petitions was, however, a part of your original plan of government; nor will any consequences it has produced, account for your deserting your Sovereign, in the midst of that distress in which you and your m new friends ad involved him. One would think, my Lord, you night have taken this spirited resolution before you had liffolved the last of those early connections which once, ven in your own opinion, did konour to your youth; before you had obliged Lord Granby to quit a service he was attached to ;—before you had discarded one chancelor, and killed another. To what an abject condition

hav

eve

rift

mif

t C

erv

etr

hav

rou

Hue

p

hin

tom

avid

icly

priv

or l

all

oev

ufti

men

uef

igh

onc

vho

hou

enal

rite

vhic

hara

wn

Tou

rith

In

erve

haw

elf.

e w

effor

hich

ma

have you laboured to reduce the best of princes, who the unhappy man, who yields at last to such personal instance and solicitation as never can be fairly employed against a subject, seels himself degraded by his compliance, and is unable to survive the disgraceful honour which his gracious Sovereign had compelled him to accept. He was a man of spirit, for he had a quick sent of shame, and death has redeemed his character. I know your Grace too well to appeal to your feelings upon the event; but there is another heart not yet, I hope, quit callous to the touch of humanity, to which it ought to be a dreadful lesson for ever a.

Now, my Lord, let us consider the situation to which you have conducted, and in which you have thought advisable to abandon, your royal master. Whenever the people have complained, and nothing better could be fail in defence of the measures of government, it has been the fashion to answer thus, though not very fairly, with an appeal to the private virtues of your Sovereign: "Ha " he not, to relieve the people, furrendered a confider " able part of his revenue?—Has he not made the judge independent, by fixing them in their places for life? -My Lord, we acknowledge the gracious principle which gave birth to these concessions, and have nothing to regret but that it has never been adhered to. At the end of seven years, we are loaded with a debt of above five hundred thousand pounds upon the civil list; and we now fee the chancellor of Great Britain tyranically forced out of his office, not for want of abilities, not for want of integrity, or of attention to his duty, but for delivering his honest opinion in parliament, upon the great est constitutional question that has arisen since the Revo lution.-We care not to whose private virtues you ap peal: The theory of fuch a government is falsehood and mockery; the practice is oppression. You have laboure then (though I confess to no purpose) to rob your master of the only plaulible answer that ever was given in de fence of his government, of the opinion which the people had conceived of his personal honour and integrity -The Duke of Bedford was more moderate than you Grace. He only forced his mafter to violate a folem promise made to an individual o. But you, my Lord

have fuccessfully extended your advice to every political, very moral engagement, that could bind either the mariftrate or the man. The condition of a king is often miserable, but it required your Grace's abilities to make contemptible.—You will fay, perhaps, that the faithful ervants, in whose hands you have left him, are able to etrieve his honour, and to support his government. You have publicly declared, even fince your reformation, that ou approved of their measures, and admired their conluct, particularly that of the Earl of Sandwich. What pity it is, that, with all this appearance, you should hink it necessary to separate yourself from such amiable ompanions! You forget, my Lord, that while you are with in the praise of men whom you desert, you are pubicly opposing your conduct to your opinions, and depriving yourfelf of the only plaulible pretence you had or leaving your Sovereign overwhelmed with diffres: I all it plaufible; for, in truth, there is no reason whatoever, less than the frowns of your master, that could uffify a man of spirit for abandoning his post at a moment fo critical and important. It is in vain to evade the uestion. If you will not speak out, the public have a ight to judge from appearances. We are authorised to onclude, that you either differed from your colleagues, whose measures you still affect to defend, or that you hought the administration of the King's affairs no longer enable. You are at liberty to choose between the hyporite and the coward. Your best friends are in doubt which way they shall incling. Your country unites the haracters, and gives you credit for them both. For my wn part, I fee nothing inconsistent in your conduct. You began with betraying the people, you conclude vith betraying the King.

4

声 8 号 定

121

CI-

ge iple

OVE

and

ally

for

de-

eat-

evo

ap

and

ured

after

de

the

ritt

you

jord,

In your treatment of particular persons, you have preerved the uniformity of your character. Even Mr. Bradhaw declares, that no man was ever so ill used as himelf. As to the provision p you have made for his family,
e was entitled to it by the house he lives in. The sucessor of one chancellor might well pretend to be the rial of another. It is the breach of private friendship
which touches Mr. Bradshaw; and, to say the truth, when
man of his rank and abilities had taken so active a part

in your affairs, he ought not to have been let down at last with a milerable pension of fifteen hundred pounds a-year. Colonel Luttrell, Mr. Onflow, and Governor Burgoyne, were equally engaged with you, and have rather more reason to complain than Mr. Bradshaw. These are men, my Lord, whose friendship you ought to have adhered to on the same principle on which you deserted Lord Rock. ingham, Lord Chatham, Lord Camden, and the Duke of Portland. We can eafily account for your violating your engagements with men of honour, but why should you betray your natural connections? Why separate yourself from Lord Sandwich, Lord Gower, and Mr. Rigby, or leave the three worthy gentlemen above mentioned to thift for themselves? With all the fashionable indulgence of the times, this country does not abound in characters like theirs; and you may find it a very difficult matter to

recruit the black catalogue of your friends.

The recollection of the royal patent you fold to Mr. Hine, obliges me to fay a word in defence of a man whom you have taken the most dishonourable means to injure. I do not refer to the sham prosecution which you affected to carry on against him. On that ground, I doubt not, he is prepared to meet you with tenfold recrimination, and fet you at defiance. The injury you had done him affects his moral character. You knew that the offer to purchase the reversion of a place, which has heretofore been fold under, a decree of the Court of Chancery, however imprudent in his fituation, would no way tend to cover him with that fort of guilt which you wished to fix upon him in the eyes of the world. You laboured then, by every species of false suggestion, and even by publishing counterfeit letters, to have it understood that he had proposed terms of accommodation to you, and had offered to abandon his principles, his party, and his friends. You consulted your own breast for a character of confummate treachery, and gave it to the public for that of Mr. Vaughan. I think myfelf obliged to do this justice to an injured man, because I was deceived by the appearances thrown out by your Grace, and have frequently spoken of his conduct with indignation. If he really be, what I think him, honest, though mistaken, he will be happy in recovering his reputation, though a he expence of his understanding. Here, I see, the mater is likely to rest. Your Grace is afraid to carry on the prosecution. Mr. Hine keeps quiet possession of his purchase; and Governor Burgoyne, relieved from the apprehension of refunding the money, sits down, for the re-

mainder of his life, INFAMOUS AND CONTENTED.

aft

ar.

ne,

ore en,

to

ck-

e of

our

YOU

felf

OF

d to

ence

ters

OU T

Mr.

man

ns to

hich

und,

d re-

you

cnew

hich

irt at

ld no

nov c

You

and

nder-

on to

party,

for a

o the

bliged

as de-

e, and

ation.

aken,

igh 2

I believe, my Lord, I may now take my leave of you for ever. You are no longer that resolute minister, who ad spirit to support the most violent measures; who compensated for the want of good and great qualities, by brave determination (which some people admired and elied on) to maintain himself without them. The repuation of obstinacy and perseverance might have supplied he place of all the absent virtues. You have now added he last negative to your character, and meanly confessed hat you are destitute of the common spirit of a man. Retire, then, my Lord, and hide your blushes from the rorld; for, with fuch a load of shame, even BLACK may hange its colour. A mind fuch as yours, in the folitary hours of domestic enjoyment, may still find topics of onsolation. You may find it in the memory of violated fiendship; in the afflictions of an accomplished prince, whom you have difgraced and deferted; and in the agiations of a great country, driven, by your counsels, to he brink of destruction.

The palm of ministerial firmness is now transferred to lord North. He tells us so himself, with the plenitude of the ore rotundo 4; and I am ready enough to believe, hat, while he can keep his place, he will not eafily be persuaded to relign it. Your Grace was the firm miniter of yesterday: Lord North is the firm minister of toay. To-morrow, perhaps, his Majesty, in his wisdom, may give us a rival for you both. You are too well acpainted with the temper of your late allies, to think it ollible that Lord North should be permitted to govern his country. If we may believe common fame, theyare shown him their superiority already. His Majesty is ndeed too gracious to infult his subjects, by choosing his in minister from among the domesties of the Duke of edford. That would have been too gross an outrage to he three kingdoms. Their purpose, however, is equally ofwered by pushing forward this unhappy figure, and

forcing it to bear the odium of measures which they is reality direct. Without immediately appearing to govern they possess the power and distribute the emoluments of government as they think proper. They still adhere to the spirit of that calculation, which made Mr. Luttrell representative of Middlesex. Far from regretting your retreat, they affure us very gravely, that it increases the real strength of the ministry. According to this way of reasoning, they will probably grow stronger, and more flourishing, every hour they exist; for I think there is hardly a day passes in which some one or other of his Majesty's servants does not leave them to improve by the loss of his affistance. But, alas! their countenances speak a different language. When the members drop off, the main body cannot be infensible of its approaching disfolution. Even the violence of their proceedings is a figul of despair. Like broken tenants, who have had waning to quit the premises, they curse their landlord, de ftroy the fixtures, throw every thing into confusion, and care not what mischief they do to the estate.

JUNIUL

ec

ri

A

in

th

H

te

CO

m

th

re

wi ga is lei

80.23 E

ed

bir

Wi

thi

har

are

the

the

par

R

alo

ST

LETTER XXXVII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, March 19. 1770

I BELIEVE there is no man, however indifferent about the interests of this country, who will not readily confess that the situation to which we are now reduced, whether it has arisen from the violence of faction, or from an arbitrary system of government, justifies the most me lancholy apprehensions, and calls for the exertion of whatever wisdom or vigour is left among us. The Kings answer to the remonstrance of the city of London, and the measures since adopted by the ministry, amount to plain declaration, that the principle, on which Mr. Luttrell was seated in the House of Commons, is, to be supported in all its consequences, and carried to its utmosextent. The same spirit, which violated the freedom relection, now invades the declaration and bill of right and threatens to punish the subject for exercising a principal.

7 18

ern,

s of

e to

trell

your

the

y of

nore

re is

his

y the

peak

the

Tolu-

igual

varn-

, de

and

NIUL

. 1770

Feren

eadily

uced,

from

t me-

on o

King

, 20

t to

Lut

e iup

1tm0

om o

right

prin

lege, hitherto undisputed, of petitioning the crown. The grievances of the people are aggravated by infults; their complaints not merely difregarded, but checked by authonty; and every one of those acts, against which they remonstrated, confirmed by the King's decisive approbation. At fuch a moment, no honest man will remain filent or mactive. However diftinguished by rank or property, in the rights of freedom we are all equal. As we are Eng-Whmen, the least confiderable man among us has an interest equal to the proudest nobleman, in the laws and constitution of his country, and is equally called upon to make a generous contribution in support of them; -whether it be the heart to conceive, the understanding to direct, or the hand to execute. It is a common cause, in which we are all interested, in which we should all be engaged. The man who deferts it at this alarming crifis, is an enemy to his country, and, what I think of infinitely less importance, a traitor to his fovereign. The subject who is truly loyal to the chief magistrate, will neither advile nor fubmit to arbitrary measures. The city of London have given an example, which, I doubt not, will be followed by the whole kingdom. The noble spirit of the metropolis is the life-blood of the state, collected at the heart: from that point it circulates, with health and vigour, through every artery of the constitution. The time is come, when the body of the English people must affert their own cause: conscious of their strength, and animated by a fense of their duty, they will not surrender their birthrights to ministers, parliaments, or kings.

The city of London have expressed their sentiments with freedom and firmness; they have spoken truth boldly; and, in whatever light their remonstrance may be represented by courtiers, I defy the most subtle lawyer in this country to point out a single instance in which they have exceeded the truth. Even that affertion, which we are told is most offensive to parliament, in the theory of the English constitution, is strictly true. If any part of the representative body be not chosen by the people, that part vitiates and corrupts the whole. If there be a defect in the representation of the people, that power, which alone is equal to the making of the laws in this country, is not complete, and the acts of parliament under that

G 3

eircumstance are not the acts of a pure and entire legillature. I speak of the theory of our constitution; and whatever difficulties or inconveniences may attend the practice, I am ready to maintain, that as far as the fact deviates from the principle, To far the practice is vicious and corrupt. I have not heard a question raised upon any other part of the remonstrance. That the principle on which the Middlesex election was determined, is more pernicious in its effects than either the levying of ship-money by Charles the First, or the fuspending power assumed by his fon, will hardly be disputed by any man who understands or wishes well to the English constitution. Itisnot an act of open violence done by the King, or any direct or palpable breach of the laws attempted by his minister, that can ever endanger the liberties of this country. Against fuch a King or minister, the people would immediately take the alarm, and all the parties unite to oppose him. The law may be grofsly violated in particular inftances, without any direct attack upon the whole fystem. Facts of that kind fland alone; they are attributed to necessity, not defended by principle. We can never be really in danger, until the forms of parliament are made use of to destroy the substance of our civil and political liberties; until parliament itell betrays its trust, by contributing to establish new principles of government, and employing the very weapons committed to it by the collective body, to flab the constitution.

1

t

As for the terms of the remonstrance, I presume it will not be affirmed, by any person less polished than a gentleman-usher, that this is a season for compliments. Our gracious King, indeed, is abundantly civil to himself. Inflead of an answer to a petition, his Majesty very graciously pronounces his own panegyric; and I confels, that, as far as his personal behaviour, or the royal punity of his intentions, is concerned, the truth of those declarations, which the minister has drawn up for his master, cannot decently be disputed. In every other respect, affirm, that they are absolutely unsupported either in argument or fact. I must add too, that supposing the speech were otherwise unexceptionable, it is not a direct answer to the petition of the city. His Majesty is pleased to fay, that he is always ready to receive the requests of his subjects: yet the sheriffs were twice sent back with an illa.

and the

de-

and

any

00

nore

mo-

med

un-

snot A or

that

ainft

take laws

any

kind

nded I the

ance

iples

mit-

m. wil

ntle-

Our

In-

gra-

fels,

unity

lara-

fter,

the

irect

ealed

ts of

h an

excuse, and it was certainly debated in council whether or no the magistrates of the city of London should be admitted to an audience. Whether the remonstrance be or be not injurious to parliament, is the very question between the parliament and the people; and fuch a question as cannot be decided by the affertion of a third party, however respectable. That the petitioning for a dissolution of parliament is irreconcilable with the principles of the constitution, is a new doctrine. His Majesty perhaps has not been informed, that the House of Commons themselves have, by a formal resolution, admitted it to be the right of the subject. His Majesty proceeds to assure us, that he has made the laws the rule of his conduct. -- Was it in ordering or permitting his ministers to apprehend Mr. Wilkes by a general warrant?—Was it in fuffering his ministers to revive the obsolete maxim of nullum tembut to rob the Duke of Portland of his property, and thereby give a decisive turn to a county election?—Was it in erecting a chamber confultation of furgeons, with authority to examine into, and supersede the legal verdict of a jury? Or did his Majesty consult the laws of this country, when he permitted his fecretary of state to declare, that, whenever the civil magistrate is trifled with, a military force must be sent for, without the delay of a moment, and effectually employed? Or was it in the barbarous exactness with which this illegal, inhuman, doctrine was carried into execution?—If his Majesty had recollected these facts, I think he would never have said, at least with any reference to the measures of his government, that he had made the laws the rule of his conduct. To talk of preferving the affections, or relying on the support of his subjects, while he continues to act upon these principles, is indeed paying a compliment to their loyalty. which I hope they have too much spirit and understandmg to deferve.

His Majesty, we are told, is not only punctual in the performance of his own duty, but careful not to assume any of those powers which the constitution has placed in other hands. Admitting this last affertion to be strictly true, it is no way to the purpose. The city of London have not desired the King to assume a power placed in other hands. If they had, I should hope to see the per-

G:4

fon, who dared to present such a petition, immediately impeached. They folicit their fovereign to exert that constitutional authority, which the laws have vested in him. for the benefit of his fubjects. They call upon him to make use of his lawful prerogative, in a case which our laws evidently supposed might happen, since they have provided for it by trufting the fovereign with a difcre tionary power to diffolve the parliament. This request will, I am confident, be supported by remonstrances from all parts of the kingdom. His Majesty will find at last. that this is the fense of his people; and that it is not his interest to support either ministry or parliament, at the hazard of a breach with the collective body of his fubjects -That he is king of a free people, is indeed his greated glory. That he may long continue the king of a free people, is the second wish that animates my heart. The first is, THAT THE PEOPLE MAY BE FREE'. the Union of the County of the County of the

TUNIUL

th

In

2 1

of

M

cip

the

ha

lac

un

ing

has

wh

of l

pol

Eng

day at v

ject to l

He ject

mor

that

The

thei

ture

fwer

fent

ed;

the

rian

Mea

flanc

take

felf.

foiri

chie

Subje

We

LETTER XXXVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

In my last letter, I offered you my opinion of the truth and propriety of his Majesty's answer to the city of London, confidering it merely as the speech of a mi nifter, drawn up in his own defences and delivered, a usual, by the chief magistrate. I would separate, as much as possible, the King's personal character and behaviour from the acts of the present government. I wish it too understood that his Majesty had in effect no more concern in the substance of what he said, than Sir James Hodge had in the remonstrance; and that as Sir James, in virtue of his office, was obliged to speak the sentiments of the people, his Majesty might think himself bound, by the fame official obligation, to give a graceful utterance to the fentiments of his minister. The cold formality of a well repeated lesson is widely distant from the animated expression of the heart.

This distinction, however, is only true with respect to the measure itself. The consequences of it reach beyond the minister, and materially affect his Majesty's honour. In their own nature they are formidable enough to alarm a man of prudence, and difgraceful enough to afflict a man of spirit. A subject, whose sincere attachment to his Majesty's person and family is sounded upon rational principles, will not, in the present conjuncture, be scrupulous of alarming, or even of afflicting his fovereign. I know there is another fort of loyalty, of which his Majesty has had plentiful experience. When the loyalty of Tories, Jacobites, and Scotchmen, has once taken poffession of an unhappy prince, it feldom leaves him without accomplishing his destruction. When the poison of their doctrines has tainted the natural benevolence of his disposition, when their infidious counfels have corrupted the stamina of his government, what antidote can restore him to his political health and honour, but the firm fincerity of his

English subjects?

eft

ree

779

g

ity

mí

25

uch

our

be

em

the

the

the

well

ex-

to to

rond

It has not been usual in this country, at least since the days of Charles the First, to see the sovereign personally at variance or engaged in a direct altercation with his fubjects. Acts of grace and indulgence are wifely appropriated to him, and should constantly be performed by himself. He never should appear but in an amiable light to his subjects. Even in France, as long as any ideas of a limited monarchy were thought worth preferving, it was a maxim, that no man should leave the royal presence discontented. They have loft or renounced the moderate principles of their government; and now when their parliaments venture to remonstrate, the tyrant comes forward, and aniwers absolutely for himself. The spirit of their prefent constitution requires that the king should be feared; and the principle, I believe, is tolerably supported by the fact. But, in our political system, the theory is at vanance with the practice; for the king should be beloved. Measures of greater severity may, indeed, in some circumstances, be necessary; but the minister who advises, should take the execution and odium of them entirely upon himself. He not only betrays his master, but violates the pirit of the English constitution, when he exposes the chief magistrate to the personal hatred or contempt of his subjects. When we speak of the firmness of government, we mean an uniform fystem of measures, deliberately

1

C

fe

to

I

h

m

Vi

w

bo

m

OI

tle

eq

tir

th

th

th

en

aff

rei

de

pe

ex

the

the

tio

me

adopted, and resolutely maintained by the servants of the Crown, not a peevish asperity in the language or behaviour of the Sovereign. The government of a weak irresolute monarch may be wife, moderate, and firm; that of an obstinate capricious prince, on the contrary, may be feeble, undetermined, and relaxed. The reputation of public measures depends upon the minister, who is responfible; not upon the king, whose private opinions are not supposed to have any weight against the advice of his council, and whose personal authority should therefore never be interposed in public affairs. - This I believe is true constitutional doctrine. But for a moment let us suppose it false. Let it be taken for granted, that an occasion may arise in which a king of England shall be compelled to take upon himself the ungrateful office of rejecting the petitions, and censuring the conduct of his fubjects; and let the city remonstrance be supposed to have created fo extraordinary an occasion. On this principle, which I presume no friend of administration will dispute, let the wifdom and spirit of the ministry be examined. They advise the king to hazard his dignity, by a positive declaration of his own fentiments .- They fuggest to him a language full of severity and reproach. What follows? When his Majesty had taken so decisive a part in support of his ministry and parliament, he had a right to exped from them a reciprocal demonstration of firmness in their own cause, and of their zeal for his honour. He had resfon to expect (and fuch, I doubt not, where the bluftering promises of Lord North), that the persons, whom he had been advised to charge with having failed in their respect to him, with having injured parliament and violated the principles of the constitution, should not have been permitted to escape without some severe marks of the displesfure and vengeance of parliament. As the matter stands, the minister, after placing his fovereign in the most unfavourable light to his subjects, and after attempting to fixthe ridicule and odium of his own precipitate measures upon the royal character, leaves him a folitary figure upon the scene, to recal, if he can, or to compensate, by future compliances, for one finhappy demonstration of ill-supported firmnels and ineffectual refentment. As a manel spirit, his Majesty cannot but be sensible, that the loss terms in which he was persuaded to reprimand the city, when united with the silly conclusion of the business, resemble the pomp of a mock-tragedy, where the most pathetic sentiments, and even the sufferings of the hero, are calculated for derision.

at

of

ot

is

se.

us

C-

be

re-

nis

ave

le,

ite,

ed.

um

vs?

ea

heir

rea-

ring

had pect

the

per-

les-

nds

nta-

pon

1 the

MUTC

Sup-

and

lotty

Such has been the boafted firmness and confistency of a minister's, whose appearance in the House of Commons was thought effential to the King's service; -whose prefence was to influence every division; -who had a voice to persuade, an eye to penetrate, a gesture to command. The reputation of these great qualities has been fatal to his friends. The little dignity of Mr. Ellis has been committed. The mind was funk; -combustibles were provided; and Welbore Ellis, the Guy Faux of the fable, waited only for the fignal of command. All of a fudden the country gentlemen discover how grossly they have been deceived :- the minister's heart fails him; the grand plot is defeated in a moment; and poor Mr. Ellis and his motion taken into custody. From the event of Friday last, one would imagine that some fatality hung over this gentleman. Whether he makes or suppresses a motion, he is equally fure of his diffrace. But the complexion of the times will fuffer no man to be vice-treasurer of Ireland with impunity t.

I do not mean to express the smallest anxiety for the minister's reputation. He acts separately for himself, and the most shameful inconsistency may perhaps be no disgrace to him. But when the fovereign, who represents the majesty of the state, appears in person, his dignity should be supported. The occasion should be important; theplan well confidered; -the execution fleady and confiftent. My zeal for his Majesty's real honour compels me to allert, that it has been too much the system of the present reign, to introduce him personally, either to act for, or to defend his fervants. They perfuade him to do what is properly their business, and desert him in the midst of it u. Yet this is an inconvenience to which he must for ever be. exposed, while he adheres to a ministry divided among themselves, or unequal in credit and ability to the great talk. they have undertaken. Instead of referving the interpolition of the royal personage as the last resource of government, their weakness obliges them to apply it to every

ordinary occasion, and to render it cheap and common in the opinion of the people. Instead of supporting their master, they look to him for support; and, for the emoluments of remaining one day more in office, care not how much his sacred character is prostituted and dishonoured

If I thought it possible for this paper to reach the closet, I would venture to appeal at once to his Majesty's judgment. I would ask him, but in the most respectable terms, As you are a young man, Sir, who ought to have a life of happiness in prospect;—as you are a husband;—as you are a father (your filial duties, I own, have been religiously performed); is it bona fide for your interest or your honour, to sacrifice your domestic tranquillity, and to live in a perpetual disagreement with your people, merely to preserve such a chain of beings as North, Barrington, Weymouth, Gower, Ellis, Onslow, Rigby, Jerry Dyson, and Sandwich? Their very names are a satire upon all government; and I defy the gravest of your chaplains to read the catalogue without laughing."

For my own part, Sir, I have always confidered addreffes from patliament, as a fashionable unmeaning formality. Usurpers, idiots, and tyrants, have been succesfively complimented with almost the same professions of duty and affection. But let us suppose them to mean eractly what they profess. The consequences deserve to be considered. Either the sovereign is a man of high sprit and dangerous ambition, ready to take advantage of the treachery of his parliament, ready to accept the furrender they make him of the public hoerty; -or he is a mild, undefigning prince, who, provided they indulge him with a little state and pageantry, would of himself intend no mischief. On the first supposition, it must soon be decided by the fword, whether the constitution should be lost or preserved. On the second, a prince no way quafified for the execution of a great and hazardous enterprife, and without any determined object in view, may nevertheless be driven into fuch desperate measures, as may lead directly to his ruin, or diffrace himself by 1 thameful fluctuation between the extremes of violence at one moment, and rimidity at another. The minister, perhaps, may have reason to be fatisfied with the success of the present hour, and with the profits of his employment

n in

naf-

olu-

WOI

red.

let,

dg-

ms,

life

-as

een

tor

and

ple,

ar-

er-

tire

our

ad-

el-

of

exbe

pi-

of

enild,

ith

no

debe

er-

25

12

ep-

of

Br.

He is the tenant of the day, and has no interest in the inheritance. The fovereign himself is bound by other obligations; and ought to look forward to a superior, a permanent interest. His paternal tenderness should remind him, how many hostages he has given to society. The ties of nature come powerfully in aid of oaths and protestations. The father, who considers his own precarious flate of health, and the possible hazard of a long minority, will wish to see the family estate free and unencumbered v. What is the dignity of the crown, though it were really maintained; -what is the honour of parliament, supposing it could exist without any foundation of integrity and justice; -or what is the vain reputation of firmnels, even if the scheme of the government were uniform and confiftent, compared with the heart-felt affections of the people, with the happiness and security of the Royal Family, or eyen with the grateful acclamations of the populace? Whatever style of contempt may be adopted by ministers or parliaments, no man fincerely despites the voice of the English nation. The House of Commons are only interpreters, whose duty it is to convey the sense of the people faithfully to the crown. If the interpretation be false or imperfect, the constituent powers are called upon to deliver their own fentiments. Their speech is rude, but intelligible; -their gestures fierce, but full of explanation. Perplexed by fophistries, their honest eloquence. rifes into action. Their first appeal was to the integrity of their representatives;—the second, to the king's justice; the last argument of the people, whenever they have recourse to it, will carry more perhaps than persuasion to parliament, or supplication to the throne.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XXXIX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER,

SIR, May 28. 1770

WHILE parliament was fitting, it would neither have been fafe, nor perhaps quite regular, to offer any opinion to the public, upon the justice or wisdom of their proceedings. To pronounce fairly upon their conduct,

muc

men

vive

very

to t

last

21.

ring

tair

to

que

len

had

lut

pr

fee

fi

to

di

be

h

W

it was necessary to wait until we could consider, in one view, the beginning, progress, and conclusion of their deliberations. The cause of the public was undertaken and supported by men, whose abilities and united autho. rity, to fay nothing of the advantageous ground they stood on, might well be thought sufficient to determine popular question in favour of the people. Neither was the House of Commons so absolutely engaged in defence of the ministry, or even of their own resolutions, but that they might have paid some decent regard to the known disposition of their constituents: and, without any disho. nour to their firmness, might have retracted an opinion too hastily adopted, when they saw the alarm it had cre. ated, and how strongly it was opposed by the general The ministry too would have confense of the nation. fulted their own immediate interest, in making some concession satisfactory to the moderate part of the people. Without touching the fact, they might have confented to guard against, or give up the dangerous principle on which it was established. In this state of things, I think it was highly improbable at the beginning of the fession, that the complaints of the people, upon a matter which, in their approbation at least, immediately affected the life of the constitution, would be treated with as much contempt by their own representatives, and by the House of Lords, as they have been by the other branch of the legislature. Despairing of their integrity, we had a right to expect fomething from their prudence, and fomething from their fears. The Duke of Grafton certainly did not forefee to what an extent the corruption of a parliament might be carried. He thought, perhaps, that there was still some portion of shame or virtue left in the majority of the House of Commons, or that there was a line in public proftitution beyond which they would fcruple to proceed. Had the young man been a little more practifed in the world, or had he ventured to measure the characters of other men by his own, he would not have been so easily discouraged.

The prorogation of parliament naturally calls upon us to review their proceedings, and to confider the condition in which they left the kingdom. I do not question but they have done what is usually called the king's business,

One

heir

ken ho-

hey

le a

Was.

nce

hat

Wn

10-

ion

re-

ral

n-

me.

)n-

in-

he

ed

23

he ch

ad

1d

I-

of

at

ne.

2

le

C-

-

n.

18.

n

ıt

much to his Majesty's satisfaction. We have only to lament, that, in consequence of a system introduced or revived in the present reign, this kind of merit should be very consistent with the neglect of every duty they owe to the nation. The interval between the opening of the last and close of the former sellion was longer than usual. Whatever were the views of the minister in deferring the meeting of parliament, fufficient time was certainly given to every member of the House of Commons, to look back upon the steps he had taken and the confequences they had produced. The zeal of party, the violence of personal animolities, and the heat of contention, had leifure to subside. From that period, whatever resolution they took was deliberate and prepenfe. In the preceding fession, the dependants of the ministry had affected to believe, that the final determination of the quefion would have fatisfied the nation, or at least put a stop to their complaints; as if the certainty of an evil could diminish the sense of it, or the nature of injustice could be altered by decision. But they found the people of England were in a temper very distant from submission; and, although it was contended that the House of Commons could not themselves reverse a resolution, which had the ferce and effect of a judicial fentence, there were other constitutional expedients, which would have given a fecurity against any fimilar attempts for the future. The general proposition, in which the whole country had an interest, might have been reduced to a particular fact, in which Mr. Wilkes and Mr. Luttrell would alone have been concerned. The House of Lords might interpole;—the King might diffolve the parliament; -or, if every other resource failed, there still lay a grand constitutional writ of error, in behalf of the people, from the decision of one court to the wisdom of the whole legillature. Every one of these remedies has been succesfively attempted. The people performed their part with dignity, spirit, and perseverance. For many months his Majesty heard nothing from his people but the language of complaint and refentment; unhappily for the country, it was the triumph of his coursiers that he heard it with an indifference approaching to contempt.

The House of Commons having assumed a power un-

The

proc

othe

legi

dici

trav

gra

Ho

felv

A

to

ala

du

to fat

of

of

10

m

th

fu

A

lia

ft

tr

TO

tı

T

tl

li

2

known to the constitution, were determined not merel to support it in the single instance in question, but maintain the doctrine in its utmost extent, and to esta blish the fact as a precedent in law, to be applied in what ever manner his Majesty's servants should hereafter think fit. Their proceedings upon this oceasion are a strong proof that a decision, in the first instance illegal and un just, can only be supported by a continuation of falsehood and injustice. To support their former resolutions, the were obliged to violate some of the best known and established rules of the House. In one instance, they went fo far as to declare, in open defiance of truth and common fense, that it was not the rule of the House to divide a complicated question at the request of a member, But after trampling upon the laws of the land, it was not wonderful that they should treat the private regulations of their own affembly with equal difregard. The Speaker, being young in office, began with pretended ignorance, and ended with deciding for the ministry. We were not furprised at the decision; but he helitated and blushed at his own baseness, and every man was astonished x.

The interest of the public was vigorously supported in the House of Lords. Their right to defend the constitution against an encroachment of the other estates, and the necessity of exerting it at this period, was urged to them with every argument that could be supposed to influence the heart or the understanding. But it soon appeared that they had already taken their part, and were determined to support the House of Commons, not only at the expence of truth and decency, but even by a furrender of their own most important rights. Instead of performing that duty which the constitution expected from them, in return for the dignity and independence of their station, in return for the hereditary share it has given them in the legislature, the majority of them made common cause with the other House, in oppressing the people, and established another doctrine as false in itself, and if possible more pernicious to the constitution, than that on which the Middlesex election was determined. By refolving, "that they had no right to impeach a judg-" ment of the House of Commons in any case whatso-" ever, where that House has a competent jurisdiction." erch

ut 1

eft2

hat

hink

rong

un-

1000

they

efta.

vent

om-

vide

TV.

not

is of

ket,

nce,

not

d at

l in

ıfti-

and

i to

in-

ap-

ere

nly

ur-

of

ted

200

125

ide the

lf,

an .

ed.

0-

They in effect gave up that constitutional check and reciprocal control of one branch of the legislature over the other; which is perhaps the greatest and most important object provided for by the division of the whole legislative power into three estates: and now, let the judicial decisions of the House of Commons be ever so extravagant, let their declarations of the law be ever so flagrantly false, arbitrary, and oppressive to the subject, the House of Lords have imposed a flavish silence upon themselves;—they cannot interpose,—they cannot protect the subject,—they cannot defend the laws of their country. A concession so extraordinary in itself, so contradictory to the principles of their own institution, cannot but alarm the most unsuspecting mind. We may well condude that the Lords would hardly have yielded fo much, to the other house, without the certainty of a compenfation, which can only be made to them at the expence. of the people y. The arbitrary power they have assumed of imposing fines, and committing during pleasure, will now be exercised in its full extent. The House of Commons are too much in their debt to question or interrupt their proceedings. The Crown too, we may be well affured, will lose nothing in this new distribution of power. After declaring, that to petition for a diffolution of parliament, is irreconcilable with the principles of the conflitution, his Majesty has reason to expect that some extraordinary compliment will be returned to the royal prerogative. The three branches of the legislature seem to treat their separate rights and interests as the Roman Triumvirs did their friends. They reciprocally facrifice them to the animofities of each other, and establish a detestable union among themselves, upon the ruin of the laws and liberty of the commonwealth.

Through the whole proceedings of the House of Commons, in this session, there is an apparent, a palpable consciousness of guilt, which has prevented their daring to affert their own dignity, where it has been immediately and grossly attacked. In the course of Dr. Muskgrave's examination, he said every thing that can be conceived mortifying to individuals, or offensive to the House. They voted his information frivolous; but they were awed by his firmness and integrity, and sunk under it a

fui

tw

W

be

ol

ki

9

The terms in which the fale of a patent to Mr. Hine were communicated to the public, naturally called for parliamentary inquiry. The integrity of the House of Commons was directly impeached; but they had not conrage to move in their own vindication, because the inquiry would have been fatal to Colonel Burgoyne and the Duke of Grafton. When Sir George Savile brandel them with the name of traitors to their constituents: when the Lord Mayor, the Sheriffs, and Mr. Trecothick expressly avowed and maintained every part of the city remonstrance; why did they tamely submit to be insulted! Why did they not immediately expel those refraction members,? Conscious of the motives on which they had acted, they prudently preferred infamy to danger; and were better prepared to meet the contempt, than to rout the indignation of the whole people. Had they expelled those five members, the consequence of the new do trine of incapacitation would have come immediately home to every man. The truth of it would then have bear fairly tried, without any reference to Mr. Wilkes's private character, or the dignity of the House, or the obstime of one particular county. These topics, I know, have had their weight with men, who, affecting a character of deration, in reality confult nothing but their own immediate ease; - who are weak enough to acquiesce under a flagrant violation of the laws, when it does not directly touch themselves; and care not what injustice is practifed upon a man, whose moral character they piously think themselves obliged to condemn. In any other circumstances, the House of Commons must have forfeited all credit and dignity, if, after fuch gross provocation, they had permitted those five gentlemen to sit any longer among them. We should then have seen and felt the operation of a precedent, which is represented to be perfectly barren and harmless. But there is a set of men in this country, whose understandings measure the violation of law-by the magnitude of the instance, not by the important confequences which flow directly from the principle; and the minister, I presume, did not think it sale to quicken their apprehensions too soon. Had Mr. Hamp den reasoned and acted like the moderate men of these days, instead of hazarding his whole fortune in a lawfuit with the Crown, he would have quietly paid the twenty shillings demanded of him;—the Stuart family would probably have continued upon the throne, and at this moment the imposition of ship-money would have

been an acknowledged prerogative of the Crown.

Hine

le of

cou-

e in.

d the

ndel

ents;

City

ted!

had

and

out

elled

doc-

ome

Deen

Tate

nacy

SYRE

r of

ider ect-

racufly

cir-

ted

on,

the

erin

on

m-

m-

ale

p.

efe.

W-

What then has been the business of the session, after voting the supplies, and confirming the determination of the Middlesex election? The extraordinary prorogation of the Irish Parliament, and the just discontents of that kingdom, have been paffed by without notice. Neither the general fituation of our colonies, nor that particular diffress which forced the inhabitants of Boston to take up arms in their defence, have been thought worthy of a moment's confideration. In the repeal of those acts which were most offensive to America, the parliament have done every thing but remove the offence. They have relinquished the revenue, but judiciously taken care to preserve the contention. It is not pretended that the continuation of the tea-duty, is to produce any direct benefit whatsoever to the mother-country. What is it then but an odious unprofitable exertion of a speculative right, and fixing a badge of flavery upon the Americans, without service to their masters? But it has pleased God to give us a ministry and a parliament, who are neither to be perfuaded by argument, nor instructed by experience.

Lord North, I prefume, will not claim an extraordinary merit from any thing he has done this year in the improvement or application of the revenue. A great operation, directed to an important object, though it should fail of fuccess, marks the genius, and elevates the character of a minister. A poor contracted understanding deals in little schemes, which dishonour him if they fail, and do him no credit when they succeed. Lord North had fortunately the means in his possession of reducing all the four per cents at once. The failure of his first enterprife in finance, is not half so disgraceful to his reputation as a minister, as the enterprise itself is injurious to the public. Instead of striking one decisive blow, which would have cleared the market at once, upon terms proportioned to the price of the four per cents fix weeks ago, he has tampered with a pitiful portion of a commodity which ought never to have been touched but in gross:

The

fove

then

Into

in p

brill

cou

we

the

of a

not

equ

VIT

tho

211

Se

on

op

m

to

W

ft

—he has given notice to the holders of that stock, of a design formed by government to prevail upon them to furrender it by degrees, consequently has warned them to hold up and enhance the price:—so that the plan of reducing the four per cents must either be dropped entirely, or continued with an increasing disadvantage to the public. The minister's sagacity has served to raise the value of the thing he means to purchase, and to sink that of the three per cents, which it is his purpose to sell. In effect, he has contrived to make it the interest of the proprietor of sour per cents, to sell out, and buy three per cents in the market, rather than subscribe his stock upon any terms that can possibly be offered by government.

The state of the nation leads us naturally to consider the fituation of the king. The prorogation of parliament has the effect of a temporary diffolution. The odium of measures adopted by the collective body, sits lightly upon the separate members who compose it. They retire into fummer quarters, and rest from the difgraceful labours of the campaign. But as for the fovereign, it is not fo with him. He has a permanent existence in this country; he cannot withdraw himself from the complaints, the discontents, the reproaches of his subjects. They purfue him to his retirement, and invade his domeftie happiness, when no address can be obtained from an obsequious parliament, to encourage or console him. In other times, the interest of the king and people of England was, as it ought to be, entirely the fame. A new system has not only been adopted in fact, but profeffed upon principle. Ministers are no longer the public fervants of the state, but the private domestics of the fovereign. One particular class of men are permitted to call themselves the king's friends , as if the body of the people were the king's enemies; or as if his Majesty looked for a resource or consolation in the attachment of a few favourites, against the general contempt and detestation of his subjects. Edward, and Richard the Second, made the fame diffinction between the collective body of the people, and a contemptible party who furrounded the throne. The event of their mistaken conduct might have been a warning to their successors. Yet to

m

of

1-

at

n

ee

ck

n-

4.

m

ly

.

1

118

n-

S.

0-

m

ôf

A

10

to

C

of

ŀ

the errors of those princes were not without excuse. They had as many false friends as our present gracious fovereign, and infinitely greater temptations to feduce them. They were neither fober, religious, nor demure. Intoxicated with pleafure, they wasted their inheritance in pursuit of it. Their lives were like a rapid torrent, brilliant in prospect, though useless or dangerous in its courfe. In the dull, unanimated existence of other princes, we fee nothing but a fickly stagnant water, which taints the atmosphere without fertilizing the soil .- The morality of a king is not to be measured by vulgar rules. His fituation is fingular. There are faults which do him honour, and virtues that difgrace him. A faultless insipid equality in his character, is neither capable of vice nor virtue in the extreme; but it fecures his submission to those persons whom he has been accustomed to respect, and makes him a dangerous instrument of their ambition. Secluded from the world, attached from his infancy to one fet of persons, and one set of ideas, he can neither open his heart to new connections, nor his mind to better information. A character of this fort is the foil fittest to produce that obstinate bigotry in politics and religion, which begins with a meritorious facrifice of the understanding, and finally conducts the monarch and the martyr to the block.

At any other period, I doubt not, the scandalous disorders which have been introduced into the government of all the dependencies in the empire, would have roused the attention of the public. The odious abuse and profitution of the prerogative at home,—the unconstitutional employment of the military,—the arbitrary fines and commitments by the House of Lords and Court of King's Bench;—the mercy of a chafte and pious prince extended cheerfully to a wilful murderer, because that murderer is the brother of a common prostitute b, would, I think, at any other time, have excited universal indignation. But the daring attack upon the constitution, in the Middlesex election, makes us callous and indifferent to inferior grievances. 'No man regards an eruption upon the furface, when the noble parts are invaded, and he feels a mortification approaching to his heart. The free election of our representatives in parliament comprehends,

because it is, the source and security of every right and privilege of the English nation. The ministry have real, ised the compendious ideas of Caligula. They know that the liberty, the laws, and property of an Englishman, have in truth but one neck; and that to violate the freedom of election, strikes deeply at them all.

JUNIUS

rit, \$

echo

edly

puri

had

and

him

he h

very _C

Bute

the

Cun

the

707

with

men

Bed

Dof

he

tru

ipo far

mac

hat

best

bod

her

nift

as I f t

onl

ult

ag

en

ixt

tan

au

vey

m

LETTER XL.

TO LORD NORTH.

MY LORD,

Aug. 22. 1770

MR. LUTTRELL's services were the chief support and ornament of the Duke of Grafton's administration. The honour of rewarding them was reserved for your Lordship. The Duke, it seems, had contracted an obligation he was ashamed to acknowledge, and unable to acquit. You, my Lord, had no scruples. You accepted the succession with all its encumbrances; and have paid Mr. Lutrell his legacy, at the hazard of ruining the estate.

When this accomplished youth declared himself the champion of government, the world was bufy in inquiring what honours or emoluments could be a sufficient recompense to a young man of his rank and fortune, for fubmitting to mark his entrance into life with the univerfal contempt and detestation of his country.- His noble father had not been so precipitate. To vacate his feat in parliament, to intrude upon a county in which he had no interest or connection,-to possess himself of another man's right, and to maintain it in defiance of public shame as well as justice, bespoke a degree of zeal, or of depravity, which all the favour of a pious prince could hardly requite. I protest, my Lord, there is in this young man's conduct a strain of prostitution, which, for its singularity, I cannot but admire. He has discovered a new line in the human character ;-he has degraded even the name of Luttrell, and gratified his father's most fanguine expectations

The Duke of Grafton, with every possible disposition to patronise this kind of merit, was contented with pronouncing Colonel Luttres's paneygeric. The gallant spiit, the difinterested zeal of the young adventurer, were choed through the House of Lords. His Grace repeatedly pledged himself to the House, as an evidence of the purity of his friend Mr. Luttrell's intentions; -that he had engaged without any prospect of personal benefit, and that the idea of compensation would mortally offend him . The noble Duke could hardly be in earnest; but he had lately quitted his employment, and began to think t necessary to take some care of his reputation. At that very moment the Irish negotiation was probably begun. -Come forward, thou worthy representative of Lord Bute, and tell this insulted country, Who advised the ing to appoint Mr. Luttrell ADJUTANT GENERAL to he army in Ireland? By what management was Colonel Cuninghame prevailed on to refign his employment, and he obsequious Gisborne to accept of a pension for the government of Kinsale d? Was it an original stipulation with the Princess of Wales, or does he owe his preferment to your Lordship's partiality, or to the Duke of Bedford's friendship? My Lord, though it may not be possible to trace this measure to its source, we can follow he stream, and warn the country of its approaching detruction. The English nation must be roused, and put upon its guard. Mr. Luttrell has already shown us how ar he may be trusted, whenever an open attack is to be made upon the liberties of this country. I do not doubt hat there is a deliberate plan formed.—Your Lordship. belt knows by whom;—the corruption of the legislative ody on this fide—a military force on the other—and hen farewell to England! It is impossible that any mipilter shall dare to advise the king to place such a man s Luttrell in the confidential post of Adjutant General, there were not some secret purpose in view, which pnly fuch a man as Luttrell is fit to promote. The inult offered to the army in general is as gross as the outage intended to the people of England. What! Lieuenant Colonel Luttrell Adjutant General of an army of ixteen thousand men! One would think his Majesty's ampaigns at Blackheath and Wimbleton might have aught him better.—I cannot help wishing General Harley joy of a colleague who does fo much honour to the imployment. But, my Lord, this measure is too daring

10

p-

10

an

IC-

ve.

he

he

ir-

ent

for

er-

ble

in

ad

ner

me

Ta-

dly

n's

ity,

the

ut-

BS.

ion

ro-

fpi-

to pass unnoticed, too dangerous to be received with indifference or submission. You shall not have time to
new-model the Irish army. They will not submit to be
garbled by Colonel Luttrell. As a mischief to the English constitution (for he is not worth the name of enemy),
they already detest him. As a boy, impudently thrush
over their heads, they will receive him with indignation
and contemps.—As for you, my Lord, who perhaps are
no more than the blind unhappy instrument of Lord Bute
and her Royal Highness the Princess of Wales, be affured that you shall be called upon to answer for the advice
which has been given, and either discover your accomplices, or fall a facrifice to their security.

TUNIUS.

fide

wh

my

and

thi litt

had

per

TO

in fin

Th

wh

you

per

me

no

no W

Pr

fer

thi

fri

for

the

th

eff

lo

m

he

fe

th

tic

DO

it

LETTER XLI.

TO THE RIGHT HONOURABLE LORD MANSFIELD.

MY LORD,

Nov. 14. 1770

THE appearance of this letter will attract the curiofity of the public, and command even your Lordship's attention. I am confiderably in your debt; and shall endeavour, once for all, to balance the account Accept of this address, my Lord, as a prologue to more important scenes, in which you will probably be called

upon to act or fuffer.

You will not question my veracity, when I assure you, that it has not been owing to any particular respect for your person that I have abstained from you so long. Besides the distress and danger with which the press is threatened, when your Lordship is party, and the party is to be judge, I confess I have been deterred by the distinctive of the task. Our language has no term of reproach, the mind has no idea of detestation, which has not already been happily applied to you, and exhausted.—Ample justice has been done by abler pens than mine to the separate merits of your life and character. Let it be my humble office to collect the scattered sweets, till their united virtue tortures the sense.

Permit me to begin with paying a just tribute to Scotch fincerity wherever I find it. I own I am not apt to con-

m-

to

be

ng.

14),

ruft

ion

are

ute

ur-

rice

·mc

IUS.

770.

the

ord-

and

unt.

nore

for

Be-

rea-

ulty

the

eady

jul

epa

my

their

otch

con-

fide in the professions of gentlemen of that country; and, when they smile, I feel an involuntary emotion to guard myself against mischief. With this general opinion of an ancient nation, I always thought it much to your Lordthip's honour, that, in your earlier days, you were but little infected with the prudence of your country. You had some original attachments, which you took every proper opportunity to acknowledge. The liberal spirit of youth prevailed over your native discretion. Your zeal in the cause of an unhappy prince was expressed with the fincerity of wine, and some of the folemnities of religion . This, I conceive, is the most amiable point of view in which your character has appeared. Like an honest man, you took that part in politics which might have been expected from your birth, education, country, and connections. There was fomething generous in your attachment to the banished House of Stuart. We lament the mistakes of a good man, and do not begin to detest him until he affects to renounce his principles. Why did you not adhere to that loyalty you once professed? Why did not you follow the example of your worthy brother?? With him you might have shared in the honour of the Pretender's confidence—with him you might have preserved the integrity of your character; and England, I think, might have spared you without regret. Your friends will fay, perhaps, that although you deferted the fortune of your liege Lord, you have adhered firmly to the principles which drove his father from the throne; that, without openly fupporting the person, you have done effential service to the cause, and consoled yourself for the lois of a favourite family, by reviving and citablishing the maxims of their government. This is the way in which Scotchman's understanding corrects the errors of his heart. My Lord, I acknowledge the truth of the delence, and can trace it through all your conduct. I fee through your whole life one uniform plan to enlarge the power of the crown, at the expence of the liberty of the lubject. To this object, your thoughts, words, and actions, have been constantly directed. In contempt or ignorance of the common law of England, you have made If your study to introduce into the court where you prelde, maxims of jurisprudence unknown to Englishmen.

the

and

the

the

tice

emo beh

you of

duc

you

gui

her

erv

ha

ira

You

par

nea

hat

rou we

der

ega

Lor

ner

efo

he em

ing I

ibe

epa ler

The Roman code, the law of nations, and the opinion of foreign civilians, are your perpetual theme; but who ever heard you mention Magna Charta, or the Bill of Rights, with approbation or respect? By such treacherous arts, the noble simplicity and free spirit of our Saxon law were first corrupted. The Norman conquest was not complete, until Norman lawyers had introduced their laws and reduced flavery to a fystem .- This one leading principle directs your interpretation of the laws, and account for your treatment of juries. It is not in political queftions only (for there the courtier might be forgiven); but let the cause be what it may, your understanding i equally on the rack, either to contract the power of the jury, or to mislead their judgment. For the truth of this affertion, I appeal to the doctrine you delivered in Lord Grosvenor's cause. An action for criminal conversation being brought by a peer against a prince of the blood you were daring enough to tell the jury, that, in fixing the damages, they were to pay no regard to the quality or fortune of the parties; -that it was a trial between A and B;-that they were to confider the offence in a mo ral light only, and give no greater damages to a peer of the realm than to the meanest mechanic. I shall not at tempt to refute a doctrine which, if it was meant for law, carries falsehood and absurdity upon the face of its but, if it was meant for a declaration of your political creed, is clear and confiftent. Under an arbitrary go vernment, all ranks and diffinctions are confounded. The honour of a nobleman is no more confidered than the re putation of a peafant; for, with different liveries, the are equally flaves.

Even in matters of private property, we see the same bias and inclination to depart from the decisions of you predecessors, which you certainly ought to receive as evidence of the common law. Instead of those certain positive rules by which the judgment of a court of law should invariably be determined, you have fondly introduced you own unsettled notions of equity and substantial justice. Decisions given upon such principles do not alarm to public so much as they ought, because the consequence and tendency of each particular instance is not observed or regarded. In the mean time, the practice gains ground

DUS

e s

ints

uc-

n);

this

tion

ood,

xing

ality

n A

mo

er of

t at

t for

f it;

itica

go The

they

fam

you s evi

poli

houl

1 you

ustice

m th

nend

vedo

ound

the Court of King's Bench becomes a court of equity; and the judge, instead of consulting strictly the law of the land, refers only to the wisdom of the court, and to the purity of his own conscience. The name of Mr. Justice Yates will naturally revive in your mind some of those emotions of fear and detestation with which you always beheld him. That great lawyer, that honest man, saw your whole conduct in the light that I do. After years of ineffectual resistance to the pernicious principles introduced by your Lordship, and uniformly supported by your humble friends upon the bench, he determined to quit a court whose proceedings and decisions he could neither affent to with honour, nor oppose with success.

The injustice done to an individual is sometimes of ervice to the public. Facts are apt to alarm us more han the most dangerous principles. The sufferings and imnels of a printer have roused the public attention. You knew and felt that your conduct would not bear a parliamentary inquiry; and you hoped to escape it by the meanest, the basest sacrifice of dignity and consistency, hat ever was made by a great magistrate. Where was our firmness, where was that vindictive spirit, of which we have feen so many examples, when a man, so inconsierable as Bingley, could force you to confess, in the face of this country, that, for two years together, you had ilegally deprived an English subject of his liberty, and that e had triumphed over you at last? Yet I own, my lord, that yours is not an uncommon character. Women, and men like women, are timid, vindictive, and iresolute. Their passions counteract each other; and make he same creature, at one moment hateful, at another conemptible. I fancy, my Lord, some time will elapse beere you venture to commit another Englishman for refuing to answer interrogatories h.

The doctrine you have constantly delivered in cases of bel, is another powerful evidence of a settled plan to constitute the legal power of juries, and to draw questions, insparable from sact, within the arbitrium of the court, lere, my Lord, you have fortune on your side. When on invade the province of the jury in matter of libel, you reflect attack the liberty of the press, and with a single toke wound two of your greatest enemies.—In some in-

las

ce

WE

wi

th

11

fir

in

th

lic

qu

de

to

ter

cif

lut

be

fai

w

tu

can

cif

to

me

diá

wh

Lo

211

BO

def

aut

Th

stances you have succeeded, because jurymen are too often ignorant of their own rights, and too apt to be awed by the authority of a chief-justice. In other criminal profecutions, the malice of the defign is confessedly as much the subject of consideration to a jury as the certainty of the fact. If a different doctrine prevails in the case of libels, why should it not extend to all criminal cases !why not to capital offences? I fee no reason (and I date fay you will agree with me, that there is no good one why the life of the subject should be better protected against you, than his liberty or property. Why should you enjoy the full power of pillory, fine, and impriforment, and not be indulged with hanging or transporttion? With your Lordship's fertile genius and mercial disposition, I can conceive such an exercise of the power you have, as could hardly be aggravated by that which you have not.

But, my Lord, fince you have laboured (and not unforcefsfully) to destroy the substance of the trial, why should you suffer the form of the verdict to remain? Why sore twelve honest men, in palpable violation of their oaths, we pronounce their fellow-subject a guilty man, when, almost at the same moment, you forbid their inquiring into the only circumstance which, in the eye of law and reason, constitutes guilt—the malignity or innocence of his intentions:—But I understand your Lordship.—If you could succeed in making the trial by jury useless and ridiculous, you might then with greater safety introduce a bill into parliament for enlarging the jurisdiction of the court, and extending your savourite trial by interrogatories to every question in which the life or liberty of an Englishman is

concerned i.

Your charge to the jury, in the profecution against Almon and Woodfall, contradicts the highest legal authorities, as well as the plainest dictates of reason. In Milar's cause, and still more expressly in that of Baldwin you have proceeded a step farther, and grossly contradicted yoursels:—You may know perhaps, though I do not mean to insult you by an appeal to your experience, that the language of truth is uniform and consistent. To do part from it safely, requires memory and discretion. It the two last trials, your charge to the jury began as usual

ften

d by

ole.

uch

y of

e of

1

dare

one

Cled

ould

fon-

orta-

ciful

DWC

hich

luc-

ould

OTCE

s, to

mott

the

fon,

tenould

into

and

very

Al-

tho-

Mil

will

dia no that

[nal

with affuring them that they had nothing to do with the law,—that they were to find the bare fact, and not concem themselves about the legal inferences drawn from it. or the degree of the defendant's guilt .- Thus far you were confistent with your former practice.—But how will you account for the conclusion? You told the jury, that " if, after all, they would take upon themselves to determine the law, they might do it; but they must be " very fure that they determined according to law, for it " touched their consciences, and they acted at their peril." If I understand your first proposition, you meant to affirm, that the jury were not competent judges of the law in the criminal safe of a libel;—that it did not fall within their jurisdiction; and that, with respect to them, the malice or innocence of the defendant's intentions would be a question coram non judice.—But the second proposition dears away your own difficulties, and restores the jury to all their judicial capacities. k You make the competence of the court to depend upon the legality of the decision. In the first instance, you deny the power absolately. In the second, you admit the power, provided it be legally exercised. Now, my Lord, without pretending to reconcile the distinction of Westminsterhall with the simple information of common sense, or the integrity of fair argument, I shall be understood by your Lordship, when I affert, that, if a jury, or any other court of judicature (for jurors are judges), have no right to enter into a cause or question of law, it signifies nothing whether their decision be or be not according to law. Their dection is in itself a mere nullity:—the parties are not bound to submit to it: and, if the jury run any risk of punishment, it is not for pronouncing a corrupt or illegal verdict, but for the illegality of meddling with a point on which they can have no legal authority to decide !...

I cannot quit this subject, without reminding your Lordship of the name of Mr. Benson. Without offering any legal objection, you ordered a special juryman to be set aside in a cause where the King was prosecutor. The movelty of the fact required explanation. Will you condescend to tell the world, by what law or custom you were authorised to make a peremptory challenge of a juryman? The parties indeed have this power; and perhaps your

this

trust

You

take

we (

favo

is it

nest

ledg

nue

fall

fpe

no

for

der

ger

adi

CTE

m

ec

m

Tê

n

(

t

Ť

J

Lordship, having accustomed yourself to unite the characters of judge and party, may claim it in virtue of the new capacity you have assumed, and profit by your own wrong. The time, within which you might have been punished for this daring attempt to pack a jury, is, I fear, elapsed; but no length of time shall erase the record of it.

The mischiefs you have done this country are not cop. fined to your interpretation of the laws. You are a mimister, my Lord; and, as such, have long been consulted Let us candidly examine what use you have made of your ministerial influence. I will not descend to little matters but come at once to those important points on which your resolution was waited for, on which the expectation of your opinion kept a great part of the nation in fuspense. A constitutional question arises upon a declaration of the law of parliament, by which the freedom of election and the birthright of the subject were supposed to have been invaded.—The King's fervants were accused of violating the constitution.—The nation is in a ferment.—The ablest men of all parties engage in the question, and enert their utmost abilities in the discussion of it.-What part has the honest Lord Mansheld acted? As an eminent judge of the law, his opinion would have been respected.—As a peer, he had a right to demand an audience of his fovereign, and inform him that his ministers were pursuing unconstitutional measures .- Upon other occasions, my Lord, you have no difficulty in finding your way into the closet. The pretended neutrality of belonging to no party, will not fave your reputation In questions merely political, an honest man may stand neuter. But the laws and constitution are the general property of the subject; not to defend is to relinquis; -and who is there so senseless as to renounce his share in a common benefit, unless he hopes to profit by a new division of the spoil. As a lord of parliament, you were repeatedly called upon to condemn or defend the new law declared by the House of Commons. You affected to have scruples, and every expedient was attempted to remove them.—The question was proposed and urged to you in a thousand different shapes .- Your prudence still Supplied you with evasion; -your resolution was invincible. For my own part, I am not anxious to penetrate new

ong.

thed

fed:

con-

mi-

ted.

your

ters

our

of

2.-

the

and

een

ting

The

CI-

hat

mi

TC-

au-

mi-

pon

nd-

lity

on.

and

eral

th;

are

lew

ere

aW

to

Te-

to

Ail

in-

his folemn fecret. I care not to whole wildom it is inmusted, nor how soon you carry it with you to your gravem. You have betrayed your opinion by the very care you have aken to conceal it. It is not from Lord Mansfield that we expect any referve in declaring his real fentiments in favour of government, or in opposition to the people; nor is it difficult to account for the motions of a timid, dishoneft heart, which neither has virtue enough to acknowledge truth, nor courage to contradict it .- Yet you continue to support an administration which you know is univerfally odious, and which, on some occasions, you yourself fpeak of with contempt. You would fain be thought to take no share in government; while, in reality, you are the main foring of the machine.—Here too we trace the little, prudential policy of a Scotchman. - Instead of acting that open, generous part, which becomes your rank and station, you meanly skulk into the closet, and give your sovereign such advice as you have not spirit to avow or defend. You seactly engross the power, while you decline the title, of minister; and though you dare not be chancellor, you mow how to secure the emoluments of the office.—Are the feals to be for ever in commission, that you may enjoy ive thousand pounds a-year?—I beg pardon, my Lord; -your fears have interpoled at last, and forced you to refign.—The odium of continuing speaker of the House of Lords, upon fuch terms, was too formidable to be reliftd. What a multitude of bad passions are forced to submit to a constitutional infirmity! But though you have relinquished the salary, you still assume the rights of a minister.—Your conduct, it seems, must be defended in parliament.—For what other purpose is your wretched friend, that miserable serjeant, posted to the House of Commons? Is it in the abilities of Mr. Leigh to defend the great Lord Mansfield?—or is he only the punch of the puppet-show, to speak as he is prompted by the CHIEF JUGGLER behind the curtain p.

In public affairs, my Lord, cunning, let it be ever so well wrought, will not conduct a man honourably throughlife. Like bad money, it may be current for a time, but it will soon be cried down. It cannot consist with a liberal spirit, though it be sometimes united with extraordinary qualifications. When I acknowledge your abili-

ture, when I see a man, so gifted as you are, descend to such vile practices.—Yet do not suffer your vanity to console you too soon. Believe me, my good Lord, you are not admired in the same degree in which you are detested. It is only the partiality of your friends, that halances the desects of your heart with the superiority of your understanding. No learned man, even among your own tribe, thinks you qualified to preside in a court of common law. Yet it is confessed, that, under Justinian, you might have made an incomparable prætor.—It is remarkable enough, but I hope not ominous, that the laws you understand best, and the judges you affect to admire most, slourished in the decline of a great empire, and are supposed to have contributed to its fall.

Here, my Lord, it may be proper for us to pause together.—It is not for my own sake that I wish you to
consider the delicacy of your situation. Beware how you
indulge the first emotions of your resentment. This
paper is delivered to the world, and cannot be recalled.
The persecution of an innocent printer cannot alter sacts,
nor resute arguments.—Do not surnish me with farther
materials against yourself.—An honest man, like the true
religion, appeals to the understanding, or modestly consides in the internal evidence of his conscience. The impostor employs force instead of argument, imposes silence
where he cannot convince, and propagates his character

by the fword.

JUNIUS.

his

Viol

by t

ngh

wha

thou

on t

beer

Maj

ran

pen

Wite

unf

the

eiat

exe

the

fole

put

dec

teri

pof

fel

of Aic

n f

anifall

it mi

jeć

in

an

CO

no

ÇD

LETTER XLII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, Jan. 30. 1771

Is we recollect in what manner the King's friends have been constantly employed, we shall have no reason to be surprised at any condition of disgrace to which the once-respected name of Englishmen may be degraded. His Majesty has no cares, but such as concern the laws and constitution of this country. In his royal breast there is no room left for resentment, no

to

80

e-

of

nr

of

n,

e-

VS

ic

0-

to

15

8,

25

ie

1.

30

ď

I

0

0

C

-

18

face for hostile sentiments against the natural enemies of his crown. The system of government is uniform,-Violence and oppression at home can only be supported by treachery and submission abroad. When the civil nghts of the people are daringly invaded on one fide, what have we to expect, but that their political rights should be deserted and betrayed, in the same proportion, on the other? The plan of domestic policy which has been invariably pursued from the moment of his present Majesty's accession, engrosses all the attention of his serrants. They know that the fecurity of their places depends upon their maintaining, at any hazard, the fecret fiftem of the closet. A foreign war might embarrass, an unfavourable event might ruin the minister, and defeat the deep-laid scheme of policy to which he and his affoeiates owe their employments. Rather than suffer the execution of that scheme to be delayed or interrupted, the King has been advised to make a public surrender, a folemn facrifice, in the face of all Europe, not only of the interests of his subjects, but of his own personal reputation, and of the dignity of that crown which his predecessors have worn with honour. These are strong terms, Sir, but they are supported by fact and argument.

The King of Great Britain had been for some years in possession of an island, to which, as the ministry themselves have repeatedly afferted, the Spaniards had no claim of right. The importance of the place is not in que-Rion. If it were, a better judgment might be formed of a from the opinion of Lord Anion and Lord Egmont, and from the anxiety of the Spaniards, than from any fallacious infinuations thrown out by men whose interest it is to undervalue that property which they are determined to relinquish. The pretentions of Spain were a subjest of negotiation between the two courts. They had been discussed, but not admitted. The King of Spain, in these circumstances, bids adieu to amicable negotiation, and appeals directly to the fword. The expedition against Port-Egmont does not appear to have been a fudden illconcerted enterprise. It seems to have been conducted not only with the usual military precautions, but in all the forms and ceremonies of war. A frigate was first suployed to examine the strength of the place. A mes-

21

2

de

do

d

20

21

0

ſ

6

fage was then fent, demanding immediate poffession, in the Catholic King's name, and ordering our people to depart. At last a military force appears, and compels the garrison to surrender. A formal capitulation ensues; and his Majesty's ship, which might at least have been permitted to bring home his troops immediately, is detained in port twenty days, and her rudder forcibly taken away. This train of facts carries no appearance of the rathness or violence of a Spanish governor. On the contrary, the whole plan feems to have been formed and executed, in consequence of deliberate orders and a regular instruction from the Spanish court. Mr. Buccarelli is not a pirate, nor has he been treated as fuch by those who employed him. I feel for the honour of a gentleman, when I affirm, that our King owes him a fignal reparation.—Where will the humiliation of this country end? A king of Great Britain, not contented with plaeing himfelf upon a level with a Spanish governor, descends so low as to do a notorious injustice to that governor. As a falvo for his own reputation, he has been advised to traduce the 'aracter of a brave officer, and to treat him as a common robber, when he knew with certainty that Mr. Buccarelli had acted in obedience to his orders, and had done no more than his duty. Thus it happens in private life, with a man who has no spirit nor fense of honour. One of his equals orders a servant to strike him.-Instead of returning the blow to the mafter, his courage is contented with throwing an afpertion, equally false and public, upon the character of the servant.

This short recapitulation was necessary to introduce the consideration of his Majesty's speech of 13th November 1770, and the subsequent measures of government. The excessive caution with which the speech was drawn up, had impressed upon me an early conviction, that no serious resentment was thought of, and that the conclusion of the business, whenever it happened, must in some degree be dishonourable to England. There appears through the whole speech a guard and reserve in the choice of expression, which shows how careful the ministry were not to embarrass their suture projects by any firm or spirited declaration from the throne. When all hopes of peace are lost, his Majesty tells his parliament,

m

le-

be

8;

en

le-

en

he

1

7.

n.

elli

ofe

le-

re-

try

12-

le-

er-

id-

to

ith

to

us

int

12-

n,

nt.

ce

m-

nt.

VI

no

1

ne

218

he

ni-

ny

all

nt,

that he is preparing-not for a barbarous war, but (with all his mother's fortness) for a different fituation. - An open hostility, authorised by the Catholic King, is called an act of a governor. This act, to avoid the mention of a regular fiege and furrender, paffes under the piratical description of seizing by force; and the thing taken is described, not as a part of the King's territory or proper dominion, but merely as a possession; a word expressly chosen in contradistinction to, and exclusion of, the idea of right, and to prepare us for a future furrender both of the right and of the possession. Yet this speech, Sir, cautious and equivocal as it is, cannot, by any fophistry, be accommodated to the measures which have since been adopted. It seemed to promise, that whatever might be given up by fecret stipulation, some care would be taken to fave appearances to the public. The event shows us, that to depart, in the minutest article, from the nicety and strictness of punctilio, is as dangerous to national honour as to female virtue. The woman who admits of one familiarity, feldom knows where to stop, or what to refuse; and when the councils of a great country give way in a fingle instance, when they once are inclined to submission, every step secelerates the rapidity of the descent. The ministry themselves, when they framed the speech, did not foresee, that they should ever accede to such an accommodation as they have fince advised their maiter to accept of.

The King says, "The honour of my crown and the "rights of my people are deeply affected." The Spaniard, in his reply, says, "I give you back possession; but I adhere to my claim of prior right; reserving the affertion of it for a more favourable opportunity."

The speech says, "I made an immediate demand of statisfaction; and, if that fails, I am prepared to do "myself justice." This immediate demand must have been sent to Madrid on the 12th of September, or in a sew days after. It was certainly refused, or evaded, and the King has not done himself justice.—When the first magnitrate speaks to the nation, some care should be taken of his apparent veracity.

The speech proceeds to say, "I shall not discontinue my preparations until I have received proper repara-

1

trez

wh

ftro

of

ave

not

In '

the

ord

fici

mig

to 1

At

pro

hav

Fra

hav

tall

fav

to

rati Bo

to

ten

pre

ien cer

me

fac

he

per tei

ma

CO

on, what an enormous expence is entailed, fine die, upon this unhappy country! Relititution of a possession, and reparation of an injury, are as different in substance as they are in language. The very act of restitution may contain, as in this instance it palpably does, a shameful aggravation of the injury. A man of spirit does not measure the degree of an injury by the mere positive damage he has sustained. He considers the principle on which it is sounded; he resents the superiority afferted over him, and rejects with indignation the claim of right which his adversary endeavours to establish, and would

force him to acknowledge.

The motives on which the Catholic King makes reftitution are, if possible, more insolent and disgraceful to our fovereign than even the declaratory condition annexed to it. After taking four months to consider whether the expedition was undertaken by his own orders or not, he condescends to disavow the enterprise, and to restore. the island; -not from any regard to justice, -not from any regard he bears to his Britannic Majesty, but merely " from the perfuation, in which he is, of the pacific " fentiments of the King of Great Britain."-At this rate, if our king had discovered the spirit of a man,—if he had made a peremptory demand of fatisfaction, the King of Spain would have given him a peremptory refusal. But why this unfeasonable, this ridiculous mention of the King of Great Britain's pacific intentions? Have they ever been in question? Was he the aggressor? Does he attack foreign powers without prevocation? Does he even refift when he is insulted? No, Sir; if any ideas of strife or hostility have entered his royal mind, they have a very different direction. The enemies of England have nothing to fear from them.

After all, Sir, to what kind of difavowal has the King of Spain at last consented? Supposing it made in proper time, it should have been accompanied with instant restitution; and if Mr. Buccarelli acted without orders, he deserved death. Now, Sir, instead of immediate restitution, we have a four months negotiation; and the officer, whose act is disavowed, returns to court, and is load-

et with honours.

4

III

d

15

7

1

t

n.

d

t

d

0

12

e.

2

1

f ..

2

If the actual fituation of Europe be confidered, the meachery of the King's fervants, particularly of Lord North; who takes the whole upon himself, will appear in the frongest colours of aggravation. Our allies were masters of the Mediterranean. The King of France's present aversion from war, and the distraction of his affairs, are notorious. He is now in a state of war with his people. In vain did the Catholic King solicit him to take part in the quarrel against us. His finances were in the last diforder, and it was probable that his troops might find fufficient employment at home. In these circumstances, we might have dictated the law to Spain. There are no terms to which she might not have been compelled to submit. At the worst, a war with Spain alone carries the fairest promise of advantage. One good effect at least would have been immediately produced by it. The defertion of France would have irritated her ally, and in all probability have dissolved the family-compact. The scene is now fatally changed. The advantage is thrown away. The most favourable opportunity is loft.—Hereafter we shall know the value of it. When the French king is reconciled. to his subjects; when Spain has completed her preparations; when the collected strength of the House of Bourbon attacks us at once, the King himfelf will be able to determine upon the wisdom or imprudence of his prefent conduct. As far as the probability of argument extends, we may fafely pronounce, that a conjuncture, which threatens the very being of this country, has been wilfully prepared and forwarded by our own ministry. How far the people may be animated to relistance under the pretent administration, I know not; but this I know with certainty, that, under the present administration, or if my thing like it should continue, it is of very little moment whether we are a conquered nation or not o.

Having travelled thus far in the high road of matter of fact, I may now be permitted to wander a little into the field of imagination. Let us banish from our minds the persuasion that these events have really happened in the reign of the best of princes. Let us consider them as nothing more than the materials of a fable, in which we may conceive the sovereign of some other country to be concerned. I mean to violate all the laws of probability,

new

fect

und

pos

peo

blet

tha

an

enc

ho

fut

fix

when I suppose, that this imaginary king, after having voluntarily diffraced himfelf in the eyes of his fubjects might return to a fense of his dishonour; -that he might perceive the fnare laid for him by his ministers, and feel a spark of shame kindling in his breast.—The part he must then be obliged to act, would overwhelm him with confusion. To his parliament he must say, " I called " you together to receive your advice, and have never " asked your opinion."-To the merchant,-" I have " distressed your commerce; I have dragged your sea-" men out of your ships; I have loaded you with a grie-" vous weight of infurances."-To the landholder,-"I told you war was too probable, when I was determi-" ned to submit to any terms of accommodation; I ex-" torted new taxes from you before it was possible they " could be wanted, and am now unable to account for " the application of them."-To the public creditor,-"I have delivered up your fortunes a prey to foreign-" ers, and to the vileft of your fellow-subjects." Perhaps this repenting prince might conclude with one general acknowledgment to them all:-" I have involved " every rank of my subjects in anxiety and distress; and " have nothing to offer you in return, but the certainty " of national dishonour, an armed truce, and peace " without fecurity."

If these accounts were settled, there would still remain an apology to be made to his navy and to his army. To the first he would say, "You were once the terror of the world. But go back to your harbours. A man difference has no use for your service." It is not probable that he would appear again before his soldiers, even in the pacific ceremony of a review P. But wherever he appeared, the humiliating confession would be extorted from him; "I have received a blow—and had not fpirit to resent it. I demanded satisfaction; and have accepted a declaration, in which the right to strike me again is afferted and confirmed." His countenance at least would speak this language, and even his guards would

blush for him.

But to return to our argument.—The ministry, it seems, are labouring to draw a line of distinction between the honour of the Crown and the rights of the People. This

ving

as.

ight feel

he

lled

ever

ave-

lea-

rie-

,

mi-

exhey

for

gner-

ge-

red

nd.

ity

Ce

III

Co

of

16-

ot.

3,

26

.

ot.

t

5

new idea has yet been only started in discourse; for in effect both objects have been equally sacrificed. I neither understand the distinction, nor what use the ministry propose to make of it. The King's honour is that of his people. Their real honour and real interest are the same. I am not contending for a vain punctilio. A clear unblemished character comprehends, not only the integrity that will not offer, but the spirit that will not submit to, an injury; and whether it belongs to an individual or to a community, it is the soundation of peace, of independence, and of safety. Private credit is wealth;—public honour is security.—The feather that adorns the royal bird supports his slight. Strip him of his plumage, and you six him to the earth.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XLIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, Feb. 6. 1771.

I HOPE your correspondent Junius is better employed than in answering or reading the criticisms of a newspaper. This is a task from which, if he were inclined to submit to it, his friends ought to relieve him. Upon this principle I shall undertake to answer Anti-Junius; more I believe to his conviction than to his fatisfaction. Not daring to attack the main body of Junius's last letter, he triumphs in having, as he thinks, surprised an out-post, and cut off a detached argument, a mere straggling proposition. But even in this petty warfare he shall find himself defeated.

Junius does not speak of the Spanish nation as the natural enemies of England. He applies that description, with the strictest truth and justice, to the Spanish court. From the moment when a prince of the House of Bourbon ascended that throne, their whole system of government was inverted, and became hostile to this country. Unity of possession introduced a unity of positics; and Lewis the Fourteenth had reason when he said to his grandson, "The Pyrenees are removed." The history

every

T

felf 1

CTOW

which

guas

to I

" C

" ly

I

by t

will

tain

mit

" r

for

fon

11 5

fac

fic

at

200

ha

lea

th

fel

de

th

2

44

"

of the present century is one continued confirmation of

the prophecy.

The affertion "That violence and oppression at home can only be supported by treachery and submission a-" broad," is applied to a free people whose rights are invaded, not to the government of a country where despotic or absolute power is confessedly vested in the prince; and with this application, the affertion is true. An abso. lute monarch, having no points to carry at home, will naturally maintain the honour of his crown in all trans. actions with foreign powers: But if we could suppose the fovereign of a free nation, possessed with a design to make himself absolute, he would be inconsistent with himself if he suffered his projects to be interrupted or embarraffed by a foreign war, unless that war tended. as in some cases it might, to promote his principal design. Of the three exceptions to this general rule of conduct (quoted by Anti-Junius), that of Offiver Cromwell is the only one in point. Harry the Eighth, by the submission of his parliament, was as absolute a prince as Lewis the Fourteenth. Queen Elizabeth's government was not oppreffive to the people; and as to her foreign wars, it ought to be confidered that they were unavoidable. The national honour was not in question: She was compelled to fight in defence of her own person and of her title to the crown. In the common cause of selfish policy, Oliver Cromwell should have cultivated the friendship of foreign powers, or at least have avoided disputes with them, the better to establish his tyranny at home. Had he been only a bad man, he would have facrificed the honour of the nation to the fuccess of his domestic policy. But, with all his crimes, he had the spirit of an Englishman. The conduct of fuch a man must always be an exception to vulgar rules. He had abilities fufficient to reconcile contradictions, and to make a great nation at the fame moment unhappy and formidable. If it were not for the respect I bear the minister, I could name a man, who, without one grain of understanding, can do half as much as Oliver Cromwell.

Whether or no there be a fecret fystem in the closet, and what may be the object of it, are questions which

can only be determined by appearances, and on which

every man must decide for himself.

101

me

2-

in-

-00

e;

6-

H

16-

le

to

th

Or.

d,

n.

a

16

n

.

it

e.

ď

0

7

e

The whole plan of Junius's letter proves, that he himfelf makes no distinction between the real honour of the crown and the real interest of the people. In the climax to which your correspondent objects, Junius adopts the language of the court, and by that conformrty gives strength to his argument. He says, "the king has not only sa-"crificed the interests of his people, but (what was like-"ly to touch him more nearly) his personal reputation

" and the dignity of his crown."

The queries put by Anti-Junius can only be answered by the ministry. Abandoned as they are, I fancy they will not consess that they have, for so many years, maintained possession of another man's property. After admitting the affertion of the ministry—viz. " that the Spa-" niards had no rightful claim," and after justifying them for saying so;—it is his business, not mine, to give us some good reason for their " suffering the pretentions of "Spain to be a subject of negotiation." He admits the

facts;—let him reconcile them if he can.

The last paragraph brings us back to the original queflion, Whether the Spanish declaration contains such 2 fatisfaction as the king of Great Britain ought to have accepted? This was the field upon which he ought to have encountered Junius openly and fairly. But here he leaves the argument, as no longer defencible. I shall therefore conclude with one general admonition to my fellow-subjects:—That when they hear these matters debated, they should not suffer themselves to be misled by general declamations upon the conveniencies of peace, or the miseries of war. Between peace and war, abstractedly there is not, there cannot, be a question in the mind of a rational being. The real questions are, " Have we any "fecurity, that the peace we have so dearly purchased "will last a twelvemonth?" and if not,-" Have we, or "have we not, facrificed the fairest opportunity of mak-"ing war with advantage?"

CONTRACT THE PROPERTY.

PHILO JUNIUS.

CTOT

jest

the

onl

dor

fon

Th

ten Lil

pro

no

un

m

CO

21

en fri

W

fi

n

t

1

t

LETTER XLIV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, 4 22. 1771.

To write for profit, without taxing the pres;to write for fame, and to be unknown; -to support the intrigues of faction, and to be difowned, as a dangerous auxiliary, by every party in the kingdom; are contradictions which the minister must reconcile, before I forfeit my credit with the public. I may quit the service, but it would be absurd to suspect me of desertion. The reputation of these papers is an honourable pledge for my attachment to the people. To facrifice a respected character, and to renounce the efteem of fociety, requires more than Mr. Wedderburne's resolution; and though in him it was rather a profession than a desertion of his principles, (I fpeak tenderly of this gentleman, for when treacher is in question I think we should make allowances for a Scotchman), yet we have feen him in the House of Commons overwhelmed with confusion, and almost bereft of his faculties. But in truth, Sir, I have left no room for an accommodation with the piety of St. James's. My offences are not to be redeemed by recantation or repentance. On one fide, our warmest patriots would disclaim me as a burden to their honest ambition. On the other, the vilest prostitution, if Junius could descend to it, would lose its natural merit and influence in the cabinet, and treachery be no longer a recommendation to the royal fa-

The persons who, till within these sew years, have been most distinguished by their zeal for high-church and prerogative, are now, it seems, the great affertors of the privileges of the House of Commons. This sudden alteration of their sentiments or language carries with it a suspicious appearance. When I hear the undefined privileges
of the popular branch of the legislature exalted by Tones
and Jacobites, at the expence of those strict rights, which
are known to the subject, and limited by the laws, I cannot but suspect, that some mischievous scheme is in agitation, to destroy both law and privilege, by opposing them
to each other. They who have uniformly denied the

. 1771.

is;

rt the

erous

radic-

orfeit

but it

repu-

ny at-

arac-

more

1 him

iples,

chery

for a

Com-

eft of

m for

My

pent-

claim

other.

vould and

al fa-

been

pre-

e pri-

Itera-

Sulpi-

leges ories

which

can-

agita-

them the nower of the whole legislature to alter the descent of the crown, and whose ancestors, in rebellion against his Maiefty's family, have defended that doctrine at the hazard of their lives, now tell us, that privilege of parliament is the only rule of right, and the chief fecurity of the public freedom .- I fear, Sir, that while forms remain there has been some material change in the substance of our constitution. The opinions of these men were too absurd to be so easily renounced. Liberal minds are open to conviction .-Liberal doctrines are capable of improvement.—There are proselytes from atheism, but none from superstition. If their present professions were sincere, I think they could not but be highly offended at feeing a question, concerning parliamentary privilege, unnecessarily started at a season so unfavourable to the House of Commons, and by so very mean and infignificant a person as the minor Onslow. They knew, that the present House of Commons, having commenced hostilities with the people, and degraded the authority of the laws by their own example, were likely enough to be refisted per fas et nefas. If they were really friends to privilege, they would have thought the question of right too dangerous to be hazarded at this feafon, and, without the formality of a convention, would have left it undecided.

I have been filent hitherto; though not from that shameful indifference about the interests of society which too
many of us profess, and call moderation. I confess, Sir,
that I selt the prejudices of my education, in favour of a
House of Commons, still hanging about me. I thought
that a question, between law and privilege, could never
be brought to a formal decision, without inconvenience to
the public service, or a manifest diminution of legal liberty;—that it ought therefore to be carefully avoided: and
when I saw that the violence of the House of Commons
had carried them too far to retreat, I determined not to
deliver a hasty opinion upon a matter of so much delicacy
and importance.

The state of things is much altered in this country since it was necessary to protect our representatives against the direct power of the crown. We have nothing to apprehend from prerogative, but every thing from undue induence. Formerly it was the interest of the people, that

fom

con

I do

tion

fent

ear

ftra

the

the

ma

virt

wh

ple

car

nie

it l

lia

ha

the

red

CO

20

100

46

46

"

4

n

b

8

0

1

the privileges of parliament should be left unlimited and undefined. At present, it is not only their interest, but hold it to be effentially necessary to the preservation of the constitution, that the privileges of parliament should be firstly ascertained, and confined within the narrowest bounds the nature of their institution will admit of. Upon the fame principle on which I would have refifted prerogative in the last century, I now resist privilege. It is indifferent to me, whether the crown, by its own immediate act, imposes new, and dispenses with old laws; or whe. ther the same arbitrary power produces the same effects through the medium of the House of Commons. We trusted our representatives with privileges for their own defence and ours. We cannot hinder their defertion, but we can prevent their carrying over their arms to the fervice of the enemy. It will be faid, that I begin with endeavouring to reduce the argument concerning privilege to a mere question of convenience that I deny at one moment what I would allow at another; and that to refift the power of a profittuted House of Commons, may establish a precedent injurious to all future parliaments.-To this I answer generally, that human affairs are in no instance governed by strict positive right. If change of circumftances were to have no weight in directing our conduct and opinions, the mutual intercourse of mankind would be nothing more than a contention between politive and equitable right. Society would be a state of war, and law itself would be injustice. On this general ground, it is highly reasonable that the degree of our submission to privileges which have never been defined by any positive law, should be considered as a question of convenience, and proportioned to the confidence we repose in the integrity of our representatives. As to the injury we may do to any future and more respectable House of Commons, I own I am not now fanguine enough to expect a more plentiful harvest of parliamentary virtue in one year than another. Our political climate is feverely altered; and without dwelling upon the deprayity of modern times, I think no reasonable man will expect, that, as human nature is conftituted, the enormous influence of the crown should cease to prevail over the virtue of individuals. The mischief lies too deep to be cured by any remedy less than u I

the

be

rest

000

TO-

m-

ate

he-

ds

We

wn

ut

er-

en-

ge

ne

re-

ay

no

of

ur

nd

YE

nd it

to-

ye.

e,

e-

I

91

1

d

n

some great convulsion, which may either carry back the conflitution to its original principles, or utterly destroy it. I do not doubt, that in the first session after the next election, some popular measures may be adopted. The prefent House of Commons have injured themselves by a too early and public profession of their principles; and if a firain of profittution, which had no example, were within the reach of emulation, it might be imprudent to hazard the experiment too foon. But, after all, Sir, it is very immaterial whether a House of Commons shall preserve their virtue for a week, a month, or a year. The influence, which makes a septennial parliament dependant upon the pleasure of the crown, has a permanent operation, and cannot fail of fuccess .- My premises, I know, will be denied in argument; but every man's conscience tells him they are true. It remains then to be confidered, whether it be for the interest of the people, that privilege of parliament (which 9, in respect to the purposes for which it has hitherto been acquiesced under, is merely nominal) hould be contracted within some certain limits? or, whether the subject shall be left at the mercy of a power, arbitrary upon the face of it, and notoriously under the direction of the crown?

I do not mean to decline the question of right: on the contrary, Sir, I join iffue with the advocates for privilege; and affirm, that "excepting the cases wherein the House of " Commons are a court of judicature (to which, from the " nature of their office, a coercive power must belong), " and excepting fuch contempts as immediately interrupt "their proceeding, they have no legal authority to im-" prison any man for any supposed violation of privilege "whatfoever."-It is not pretended, that privilege, as now claimed, has ever been defined or confirmed by ftatute; neither can it be faid, with any colour of truth, to be a part of the common law of England, which had grown into prescription long before we knew any thing of the existence of a House of Commons. As for the law of parliament, it is only another name for the privilege in question; and fince the power of creating new privileges has been formally renounced by both Houses, - fince there is no code in which we can study the law of parliament, we have but one way left to make ourselves acquainted

reftr

and

parli

Com

1

mitr

mod

was

alon

rant

a for

ed b

is a

any

king

that

lege

a l

and

in c

reco

ceff

DOV

by

be

the

nec

mi

and

ftil

aga

lan

no

2]

in

Wa

for

the

th

ra

21

with it, that is, to compare the nature of the institution of a House of Commons with the facts upon record. To establish a claim of privilege in either House, and to di stinguish original right from usurpation, it must appear that it is indispensably necessary for the performance of the duty they are employed in, and also that it has been uniformly allowed. From the first part of this description it follows clearly, that whatever privilege does of right be long to the present House of Commons, did equally be. long to the first assembly of their predecessors; was a completely vested in them, and might have been exercised in the fame extent. From the fecond, we must infer, that privileges, which for feveral centuries were not only never allowed, but never even claimed by the House of Commons, must be founded upon usurpation. The constitutional duties of a House of Commons are not very complicated nor mysterious. They are to propose or alfent to wholesome laws for the benefit of the nation They are to grant the necessary aids to the king; petition for the redress of grievances; and prosecute treason or high crimes against the state. If unlimited privilege be necessary to the performance of these duties, we have refon to conclude, that for many centuries after the inflitution of the House of Commons, they were never performed. I am not bound to prove a negative; but I appeal to the English history, when I affirm, that with the exceptions already stated (which yet I might safely relinquish), there is no precedent from the year 1265 to the death of Queen Elizabeth, of the House of Commons having imprifoned any man (not a member of their House) for contempt or breach of privilege. In the most flagrant cases, and when their acknowledged privileges were most grossly violated, the poor Commons, as they then styled themselves, never took the power of punishment into their own hands. They either fought redrefs by petition to the king, or what is more remarkable, applied for justice to the House of Lords; and, when fatisfaction was denied them or delayed, their only remedy was to refuse proceeding upon the king's business. So little conception had our ancestors of the monstrous doctrines now maintained concerning privilege, that in the reign of Elizabeth, even liberty of speech, the vital principle of a deliberative affembly, was on To

di.

ar,

the

ń.

on,

be-

25

fed

cı,

nly

of

on-

ery

al-

on.

ion

Of

be

63.

tu-

m-

l to

ep-

(h),

of

PII-

npt

hen

ed,

ver

hey

t 15

of

red,

the

tors

ing

y of

was

restrained by the queen's authority to a simple aye or no; and this restriction, though imposed upon three successive parliaments, was never once disputed by the House of Commons.

I know there are many precedents of arbitrary commitments for contempt: but, besides that they are of too modern a date to warrant a prefumption that fuch a power was originally vested in the House of Commons,-fact alone does not constitute right .- If it does, general warrants were lawful .- An ordinance of the two Houses has a force equal to law; and the criminal jurisdiction affumed by the Commons in 1621, in the case of Edward Loyd, is a good precedent to warrant the like proceedings against any man, who shall unadvisedly mention the folly of a king, or the ambition of a princefs. The truth is, Sir, that the greatest and most exceptionable part of the privileges now contended for, were introduced and afferted by a House of Commons which abolished both monarchy and peerage, and whose proceedings, although they ended in one glorious act of substantial justice, could no way be reconciled to the forms of the constitution. Their fucteffors profited by the example, and confirmed their power by a moderate or a proper use of it. Thus it grew by degrees, from a notorious innovation at one period, to be tacitly admitted as the privilege of parliament at another.

If, however, it could be proved, from confiderations of necessity or convenience, that an unlimited power of commitment ought to be intrusted to the House of Commons, and that in fact they have exercised it without opposition, fill, in contemplation of law, the prefumption is strongly against them. It is a leading maxim of the laws of England (and without it all laws are nugatory), that there is no right without a remedy, nor any legal power without a legal course to carry it into effect. Let the power now in question be tried by this rule. The Speaker issues his warrant of attachment. The party attached either relifts force with force, or appeals to a magistrate, who declares the warrant illegal, and discharges the prisoner. Does the law provide no legal means for enforcing a legal warlant? Is there no regular proceeding pointed out in our aw-books to affert and vindicate the authority of fo high

exc

of

kin

No

200

fino

privack

Ift

the

gar

con

wer fen!

of I

nou

wer peo

STR.

bft

6de

The

dub

y'n

OW

tot

hat

mou

13 W

n t

ifter

a court as the House of Commons? The question is answered directly by the fact. Their unlawful commands are refifted, and they have no remedy. The imprison. ment of their own members is revenge indeed, but it is no affertion of the privilege they contend for s, Their whole proceeding stops; and there they stand, ashamed to retreat, and unable to advance. Sir, thefe ignorant men should be informed, that the execution of the laws of England is not left in this uncertain defenceless condition. If the process of the courts of Westminister-hall be relisted, they have a direct course sufficient to enforce submission. The court of King's Bench commands the sheriff to raise the posse comitatus. The Courts of Chancery and Exchequer iffue a writ of rebellion; which must also be supported, if necessary, by the power of the county.-To whom will all our honest representatives direct their writ of rebellion? The guards, I doubt not, are willing enough to be employed; but they know nothing of the doctrine of writs, and may think it necessary to wait for a letter from Lord Barrington.

It may now be objected to me, that my arguments prove too much: for that certainly there may be inflances of contempt and infult to the House of Commons, which do not fall within my own exceptions; yet, in regard to the dignity of the House, ought not to pass unpunished Be it fo. The courts of criminal jurifdiction are open to profecutions, which the Attorney-General may commence by information or indictment. A libel, tending to aspert or vilify the House of Commons, or any of their members, may be as feverely punished in the Court of King's Bench as a libel upon the king. Mr. de Grey thought fo, when he drew up the information upon my letter to his Majeffy or he had no meaning in charging it to be a fcandalous libel upon the House of Commons. In my opinion, they would confult their real dignity much better, by appealing to the laws when they are offended, than by violating the first principle of natural justice, which forbids us to be

judges when we are parties to the cause t.

I do not mean to pursue them through the remainder of their proceedings. In their first resolutions, it is possible they might have been deceived by ill-considered proceedents. For the rest, there is no colour of palliation of

of dispensing with the laws by royal proclamation u; and kings, we see, are ready enough to follow such advice.

By mere violence, and without the shadow of right, they have expunged the record of a judicial proceeding v. Nothing remained, but to attribute to their own vote a power of stopping the whole distribution of criminal and

civil justice.

13

ide

n.

18

eir

red

ant

ws

di-

be

ub-

he-

ery

lío

eir

ing

the

for

ents

ices

ich d to ned.

n to

erfe

ers

nch

hen

fty.

lous

they

ling

the

o be

nder

pol

pre

1 O

The public virtues of the chief magistrate have long since ceased to be in question. But it is said, that he has private good qualities; and I myself have been ready to acknowledge them. They are now brought to the test. If he loves his people, he will dissolve a parliament which they can never conside in or respect.—If he has any regard for his own honour, he will dissolve any longer connected with such abandoned prostitution. But, if it were conceivable, that a king of this country had lost all sense of personal honour, and all concern for the welfare of his subjects, I confess, Sir, I should be contented to remounce the forms of the constitution once more, if there were no other way to obtain substantial justice for the people w.

JUNIUS.

LETTER XLV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

May 1. 1771.

They who object to detached parts of Junius's last letter, either do not mean him fairly, or have not considered the general scope and course of his argument.—
There are degrees in all the private vices:—Why not in public prostitution?—The influence of the crown naturally makes a septennial parliament dependent.—Does it follow that every House of Commons will plunge at once into the lowest depths of prostitution?—Junius supposes, that the present House of Commons, in going such enormous lengths, have been imprudent to themselves, as well as wicked to the public;—that their example is not without the reach of emulation;—and that, in the sirst session after the next election, some popular measures may proba-

bly be adopted. He does not expect that a diffolution of parliament will destroy corruption, but that at least it will be a check and terror to their successors, who will have feen that, in flagrant cases, their constituents can and will interpose with effect .- After all, Sir, will you not endeayour to remove or alleviate the most dangerous symptoms, because you cannot eradicate the disease? Will you not punish treason or parricide, because the fight of a gibber does not prevent highway robberies? When the main argument of Junius is admitted to be unanswerable, I think it would become the minor critic, who hunts for blemishes, to be a little more distrustful of his own fagacity.—The other objection is hardly worth an answer. When Junius observes, that kings are ready enough to follow such advice, he does not mean to infinuate, that, if the advice of parliament were good, the king would be fo ready to follow it.

PHILO JUNIUS.

ea fit

th

LETTER XLVI.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, May 22. 1771.

Very early in the debate upon the decision of the Middlesex election, it was well observed by Junius, that the House of Commons had not only exceeded their boasted precedent of the expulsion and subsequent incapacitation of Mr. Walpole, but that they had not even adhered to it strictly as far as it went. After convicting Mr. Dyson of giving a false quotation from the Journals, and having explained the purpose which that contemptible fraud was intended to answer, he proceeds to state the vote itself by which Mr. Walpole's supposed incapacity was declared,—viz. "Resolved, That Robert Walpole." Esq. having been this session of parliament committee a prisoner to the Tower, and expelled this house for high breach of trust in the execution of his office, and notorious corruption when Secretary at War, was, and in incapable of being elected a member to serve in this present parliament: "—And then observes, that, from the terms of the vote, we have no right to annex the in-

of

Will

ave

will

dea-

ms,

not

bbet

nain

e, 1

s for

aga-

wer.

h to

that, ld be

VIUS.

1771.

n of

nius,

their

capa-

n ad-

aing

rnals

ptible

te the

pacity

pole

nitted

for

and

s, and

n thi

from

expacitation to the expulsion only; for that, as the propoftion stands, it must arise equally from the expulsion and the commitment to the Tower. I believe, Sir, no man, who knows any thing of dialectics, or who understands English, will dispute the truth and fairness of this confruction. But Junius has a great authority to support im; which, to speak with the Duke of Grafton, I accidentally met with this morning in the course of my reading. It contains an admonition, which cannot be repeatd too often. Lord Sommers, in his excellent tract upon the Rights of the People, after reciting the votes of the convention of the 28th of January 1689, viz. - "That King James the Second, having endeavoured to subvert the constitution of this kingdom, by breaking the original contract between king and people; and, by the advice of Jesuits and other wicked persons, having violated the laws, and having withdrawn himself out of this kingdom, hath abdicated the government," &c .makes this observation upon it: "The word abdicated relates to all the clauses aforegoing, as well as to his deserting the kingdom, or else they would have been wholly in vain." And, that there might be no pretence br confining the abdication merely to the withdrawing, lord Sommers farther observes, "That King James, by refuling to govern us according to that law by which he held the crown, did implicitly renounce his title to it." If Junius's construction of the vote against Mr. Walbe now admitted (and indeed I cannot comprehend low it can honeftly be disputed), the advocates of the house of Commons must either give up their precedent mirely, or be reduced to the necessity of maintaining one the groffest absurdities imaginable, viz. "That a commitment to the Tower is a constituent part of, and contributes half at least to, the incapacitation of the person who fuffers it."

I need not make you any excuse for endeavouring to alive the attention of the public to the decision of Middlesex election. The more I consider it, the lore I am convinced that, as a fact, it is indeed highly furious to the rights of the people; but that, as a predent, it is one of the most dangerous that ever was lablished against those who are to come after us. Yet

I am so far a moderate man, that I verily believe the me jority of the House of Commons, when they passed this dangerous vote, neither understood the question, no knew the consequence of what they were doing. The motives were rather despicable, than criminal in the ex treme. One effect they certainly did not foresee. The are now reduced to fuch a fituation, that if a member of the present House of Commons were to conduct himsel ever so improperly, and in reality deserve to be sent bas to his conflituents with a mark of difgrace, they would not dare to expel him; because they know that the people in order to try again the great question of right, or thwart an edious House of Commons, would probable overlook his immediate unworthiness, and return the fam person to parliament.—But, in time, the precedent wi gain strength. A future House of Commons will have no fuch apprehensions, consequently will not scruples follow a precedent which they did not establish. The mifer himself seldom lives to enjoy the fruit of his a tertion; but his heir succeeds to him of course, and take possession without censure. No man expects him to make restitution; and, no matter for his title, he lives quiet upon the estate.

PHILO JUNIO

Gene

bu

in

H

for

it.

m

Sin

th

po

011

w

for

No

reat ad

Houl

hey

her

ons,

sim

Noye

Ther t

r kin Ingla

re co

fun

mě

er,

our

LETTER XLVII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, May 25. 177

I confess my partiality to Junius, and fed confiderable pleasure in being able to communicate as thing to the public, in support of his opinions. It doctrine laid down in his last letter, concerning the pow of the House of Commons, to commit for contempt, not so new as it appeared to many people; who, dazzk with the name of privilege, had never suffered themselve to examine the question fairly. In the course of reading this morning, I met with the following passe in the Journals of the House of Commons, Vol. I. passes.

Upon occasion of a jurisdiction unsawfully sumed by the House, in the year 1621, Mr. Attorney

general Noye gave his opinion as follows: "No doubt but, in some cases, this House may give judgment;—
in matters of returns, and concerning members of our House, or falling out in our view in parliament; but, for foreign matters, knoweth not how we can judge it.—Knoweth not that we have been used to give judgment in any case, but those before-mentioned."

Sir Edward Coke, upon the same subject, says, page 64, "No question but this is a house of record, and that it hath power of judicature in some cases;—have power to judge of returns and members of our House; one, no member, offending out of the parliament, when he came hither, and justified it, was censured for it."

Now, Sir, if you will compare the opinion of these reat siges of the law with Junius's doctrine, you will and they tally exactly.—He allows the power of the louse to commit their own members (which, however, hey may grossly abuse). He allows their power in cases there they are acting as a court of judicature, viz. electors, returns, &c.—And he allows it in such contempts immediately interrupt their proceedings; or, as Mr.

Th

IU

177

eel

an Th

OW

zzle Selv Nove expresses it, " falling out in their view in parlia-

They who would carry the privileges of parliament farher than Junius, either do not mean well to the public,
a know not what they are doing. The government of
hagland is a government of law. We betray ourselves,
the contradict the spirit of our laws, and we shake the
shole system of English jurisprudence, whenever we inmust a discretionary power over the life, liberty, or forme of the subject, to any man or set of men whatsomer, upon a presumption that it will not be abused.

PHILO JUNIUS.

LETTER XLVIII.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

May 28. 1771.

Any man who takes the trouble of peruling the ournals of the House of Commons, will soon be con-

d

pa

cal

ora

fay

G:

Ex

bri

bet

an

m

no

po

10

the

by

tar

the the

1

70

an

W

le

lia

CO

C

fh

pt

M

YC

th

ty

Pil

SU

vinced that very little, if any regard at all, ought to be paid to the resolutions of one branch of the legislature declaratory of the law of the land, or even of what the call the law of parliament. It will appear that these refolutions have no one of the properties, by which, in this country particularly, law is diftinguished from mere will and pleasure; but that, on the contrary, they bear even mark of a power arbitrarily affumed, and capriciously applied: That they are usually made in times of contest and to serve some unworthy purpose of passion or party -that the law is feldom declared, until after the fact b which it is supposed to be violated; that legislation and jurisdiction are united in the same persons, and exercise at the same moment; - and that a court, from which there is no appeal, assumes an original jurisdiction in criminal case: In short, Sir, to collect a thousand absent dities into one mass, "we have a law, which cannot be " known, because it is ex post fatto, the party is both le " gislator and judge, and the jurisdiction is without ap " peal." Well might the judges fay, "The law of par " liament is above us."

You will not wonder, Sir, that, with these qualifica tions, the declaratory resolutions of the House of Com mons should appear to be in perpetual contradiction, no only to common fense, and to the laws we are acquainted with (and which alone we can obey) but even to on another. I was led to trouble you with these observa tions, by a passage which, to speak in lutestring, I me with this morning, in the course of my reading, and up on which. I mean to put a question to the advocates to privilege.—On the 8th of March 1704 (Vide Journals Vol. XIV. p. 565.), the House thought proper to com to the following refolutions:-1. "That no commone of England, committed by the House of Commons for " breach of privilege, or contempt of that House, ough to be, by any writ of Habeas Corpus, made to appear in any other place, or before any other judicature, du " ring that session of parliament wherein such perso " was fo committed."

" do make no return of, or yield any obedience to the faid writs of Habeas Corpus; and, for such his result

" that he have the protection of the House of Com-

" mons x."

ure.

the

te

this wil

very aptell, rty

and

ile

hick

in :

fur

t°b

1 le

20

par

fica

om

no

rite

on

ne me

up fo

als

òm

ne

fo

du

río

of

th

16

Wellbore Ellis, What fay you? Is this the law of parliament, or is it not? I am a plain man, Sir, and cannot follow you through the phlegmatic forms of an oration. Speak out, Grildrig; fay yes, or no. - If you by yes, I shall then inquire by what authority Mr. De Grey, the honest Lord Mansfield, and the Barons of the Exchequer, dared to grant a writ of Habeas Corpus for bringing the bodies of the Lord Mayor and Mr. Oliver before them; and why the Lieutenant of the Tower made any return to a writ which the House of Commons had, in a fimilar instance, declared to be unlawful.—If you say no, take care you do not at once give up the cause, in supfort of which you have so long and so laboriously tortured your understanding. Take care you do not confess that there is no test by which we can distinguish, -no evidence by which we can determine what is, and what is not the hw of parlfament. The resolutions I have quoted stand upon your Journals, uncontroverted and unrepealed:they contain a declaration of the law of parliament, by court competent to the question, and whose decision, as you and Lord Mansfield fay, must be law, because there is no appeal from it: and they were made, not haftily, but after long deliberation upon a constitutional question. -What farther fanction or folemnity will you annex to my resolution of the present House of Commons, beyond what appears upon the face of those two resolutions, the legality of which you now deny? If you fay that parliaments are not infallible; and that Queen Anne, in consequence of the violent proceedings of that House of Commons, was obliged to prorogue and diffolve them; I shall agree with you very heartily, and think that the precedent ought to be followed immediately. But you, Mr. Ellis, who hold this language, are inconfiftent with your own principles. You have hitherto maintained, that the House of Commons are the sole judges of their own privileges, and that their declaration does ipfo facto constitute the law of parliament: yet now you confess that parliaments are fallible, and that their resolutions may be illegal; consequently, that their resolutions do not constitute the law of parliament. When the king was urged

LA

to dissolve the present parliament, you advised him to tell his subjects, that "he was careful not to assume any of those powers which the constitution had placed in other hands," &c. Yet Queen Anne, it seems, was justified in exerting her prerogative to stop a House of Commons, whose proceedings, compared with those of the assembly of which you are a most worthy member, were

the perfection of justice and reason.

In what a labyrinth of nonfense does a man involve himself, who labours to maintain salsehood by argument? How much better would it become the dignity of the House of Commons to speak plainly to the people, and tell us at once, "that their will must be obeyed, not be cause it is lawful and reasonable, but because it is their will?" Their constituents would have a better opinion of their candour, and, I promise you, not a worse opinion of their integrity.

PHILO JUNIUS.

car

of

not

mo

ftru

the

ces

thir

hol

Kir

foc

wil

fon

tifr

202

rer

bil

ln

nac

fro

go

th

for

ab

pr

te

fir

hi

to

be

m

W

fi

3

to LETTER XLIX.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD,

and the cores as with the

June 22. 1771.

THE profound respect I bear to the gracious prince who governs this country with no less honour to himself than satisfaction to his subjects, and who restores you to your rank under his standard, will save you from a multitude of reproaches. The attention I should have paid to your failings is involuntarily attracted to the hand that rewards them; and though I am not fo partial to the royal judgment, as to affirm, that the favour of a king can remove mountains of infamy, it ferves to leffen at least, for undoubtedly it divides, the burden. emember how much is due to his facred character, I cannot, with any decent appearance of propriety, call you the meanest and the basest fellow in the kingdom. I protest, my Lord, I do not think you fo. You will have a dangerous rival in that kind of fame to which you have hitherto fo happily directed your ambition, as long as there is one man living who thinks you worthy of his confidence, and fit to be trusted with any share in his govern

ment. I confess you have great intrinsic merit; but take are you do not value it too highly. Consider how much of it would have been loft to the world, if the King had not graciously affixed his stamp, and given it currency among his subjects. If it be true that a virtuous man, fruggling with advertity, be a scene worthy of the gods, the glorious contention between you and the best of printes déserves a circle equally attentive and respectable : I hink I already fee other gods rifing from the earth to be-

hold it.

tell

of her

fti-

m-

the

ere

lve

11

he

nd

1C-

eir

on.

01-

JS.

71.

us

to

85

m

ve,

nd

he

ng

at

1

N-

u

0-

2

ve

re

6-

è

But this language is too mild for the occasion. King is determined that our abilities shall not be lost to beiety. The perpetration and description of new crimes will find employment for us both. My Lord, if the perlons who have been loudest in their professions of patrioilm, had done their duty to the public with the fame real and perfeverance that I did, I will not affert that goremment would have recovered its dignity, but at least our gracious fovereign must have spared his subjects this hat infult, which, if there be any feeling left among us, they will refent more than even the real injuries they reteived from every measure of your Grace's administration. In vain would he have looked round him for another chaacter to confummate as yours. Lord Mansfield thrinks from his principles; -his ideas of government perhaps go farther than your own; but his heart difgraces the theory of his understanding.—Charles Fox is yet in blosom; and as for Mr. Wedderburne, there is something shout him which even treachery cannot trust. For the present, therefore, the best of princes must have conunted himself with Lord Sandwich.-You would long ince have received your final dismission and reward; and my Lord, who do not esteem you the more for the high office you possess, would willingly have followed you b your retirement. There is furely fomething fingularly benevolent in the character of our fovereign, From the moment he ascended the throne, there is no crime, of which human nature is capable (and I call upon the Reforder to witness it), that has not appeared venial in his With any other prince, the thameful defertion of him in the midft of that diffress which you alone had creacd,—in the very crisis of danger, when he fancied he

faw the throne already farrounded by men of virtue and abilities, would have outweighed the memory of your former fervices. But his Majesty is full of justice, and understands the doctrine of compensations. He remembers with gratitude how soon you had accommodated your morals to the necessity of his service;—how cheerfully you had abandoned the engagements of private friendship, and renounced the most solemn professions to the public. The sacrifice of Lord Chatham was not lost upon him. Even the cowardice and persidy of deserting him may have done you no differvice in his esteem. The instance was painful, but the principle might please.

f

n

n

٩

2

You did not neglect the magistrate while you flattered the man. The expulsion of Mr. Wilkes, predetermined in the cabinet;—the power of depriving the subject of his birthright, attributed to a refolution of one branch of the legislature;—the constitution impudently invaded by the House of Commons.;—the right of defending it treacherously renounced by the House of Lords-these are the strokes, my Lord, which, in the present reign, recommend to office, and constitute a minister. They would have determined your fovereign's judgment, if they had made no impression upon his heart. We need not look for any other species of merit to account for his taking the earliest opportunity to recal you to his counsels. Yet you have other merit in abundance. Mr. Hine, the Duke of Portland,—and Mr. Yorke:—breach of trust, robbery, and murder. You would think it a compliment to your gallantry, if I added rape to the catalogue; -but the ftyle of your amours fecures you from refiftance. I know how well these several charges have been defended. In the first instance, the breach of trust is supposed to have been its own reward. Mr. Bradshaw affirms upon his honour (and so may the gift of smiling never depart from him!) that you referved no part of Mr. Hine's purchase money for your own use, but that every shilling of it was scrupulously paid to Governor Burgoyne.-Make haste, my Lord; another patent, applied in time, may keep the Oaks 2 in the family.—If not, Birnham-Wood, I fear, must come to the Macaroni.

The Duke of Portland was in life your earliest friend. In defence of his property he had nothing to plead but

equity against Sir James Lowther, and prescription against the crown. You felt for your friend; "but the law "must take its course." Posterity will scarce believe that Lord Bute's son-in-law had barely interest enough at the treasury to get his grant completed before the general election.

Enough has been faid of that detestable transaction which ended in the death of Mr. Yorke;—I cannot speak of it without horror and compassion. To excuse your-felf, you publicly impeach your accomplice; and to his mind perhaps the accusation may be stattery. But in murder you are both principals. It was once a question of emulation; and, if the event had not disappointed the immediate schemes of the closet; it might still have been a hopeful subject of jest and merriment between you.

This letter, my Lord, is only a preface to my future correspondence. The remainder of the summer shall be dedicated to your amusement. I mean now and then to relieve the severity of your morning studies, and to prepare you for the business of the day. Without pretending to more than Mr. Bradshaw's sincerity, you may rely

upon my attachment as long as you are in office.

Will your Grace forgive me, if I venture to express fome anxiety for a man whom I know you do not love? My Lord Weymouth has cowardice to plead, and a defertion of a later date than your own. You know the privy seal was intended for him; and, if you consider the dignity of the post he deserted, you will hardly think it decent to quarter him on Mr. Rigby. Yet he must have bread, my Lord;—or rather he must have wine. If you deny him the cup, there will be no keeping him within the pale of the ministry.

JUNIUS.

LETTER L.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD,

and

our

em-

ited

eer-

s to

loft

ing

The

red

ned

of

of

by

h-

he

m-

ıld

ad

ok

ng

et

he-

ft,

nt

ut

I

d.

to

n

rt

e

July 9. 1771.

THE influence of your Grace's fortune still feems to preside over the treasury. The genius of Mr. Bradshaw inspires Mr. Robinson . How remarkable it

Was

Los

app

pet

ma

Yo

ma

ne

the

701

tri

ter

WC

mi

an

W

CO

ha

lo

tl

t

is (and I speak of it not as matter of reproach, but as something peculiar to your character), that you have never yet formed a friendship which has not been fatal to the object of it; nor adopted a cause, to which, one way or other, you have not done mischief! Your attachment is infamy while it lasts; and, whichever way it turns, leaves ruin and difgrace behind it. The deluded girl who yields to fuch a profligate, even while he is constant, forfeits her reputation as well as her innocence, and finds herfelf abandoned at last to misery and shame: - Thus it happened with the best of princes. Poor Dingley too !- I protest I hardly know which of them we ought most to lament?—the unhappy man who finks under the fense of his dishonour, or him who survives it? Characters, so finished, are placed beyond the reach of panegyric. Death has fixed his feal upon Dingley; and you, my Lord, have fet your mark upon the other.

The only letter I ever addressed to the King was so unkindly received, that I believe I shall never presume to trouble his Majesty in that way again. But my zeal for his fervice is superior to neglect; and, like Mr. Wilkes's patriotism, thrives by persecution. Yet his Majesty is much addicted to useful reading; and, if I am not ill informed, has honoured the Public Advertiser with particular attention. I have endeavoured, therefore, and not without fuccess (as perhaps you may remember), to furnish it with such interesting and edifying intelligence, as probably would not reach him through any other channel. The fervices you have done the nation,—your integrity in office, and fignal fidelity to your approved good mafter, have been faithfully recorded. Nor have his own virtues been entirely neglected. These letters, my Lord, are read in other countries, and in other languages; and I think I may affirm without vanity, that the gracious character of the best of princes, is by this time not only perfectly known to his subjects, but tolerably well understood by the rest of Europe. In this respect alone I have the advantage of Mr. Whitehead. His plan, I think, is too narrow. He seems to manufacture his verses for the fole use of the hero who is supposed to be the subject of them; and, that his meaning may not be exported in foreign bottoms, fets all translation at defiance.

T

r

is

Is

ts If

-

0

o

h

0

r

8

-

n

Your Grace's reappointment to a feat in the cabinet, was announced to the public by the ominous return of Lord Bute to this country. When that noxious planet approaches England, he never fails to bring plague and peltilence along with him. The King already feels the malignant effect of your influence over his counfels. Your former administration made Mr. Wilkes an alderman of London, and representative of Middlesex. Your next appearance in office is marked with his election to the shrievalty. In whatever measure you are concerned, you are not only disappointed of success, but always congive to make the government of the best of princes conemptible in his own eyes, and ridiculous to the whole world. Making all due allowance for the effect of the minister's declared interposition, Mr. Robinson's activity, and Mr. Horne's new zeal in support of administration, we still want the genius of the Duke of Grafton to account for committing the whole interest of government in the city to the conduct of Mr. Harley. I will not bear hard upon your faithful friend and emissary Mr. Touchit; for I know the difficulties of his fituation, and that a few lottery-tickets are of use to his economy. There is a proverb concerning persons in the predicament of this gentleman, which, however, cannot be ftrictly applied to him: They commence dupes, and finish knaves. Now Mr. Touchit's character is uniform. I am convinced that his fentiments never depended upon his circumflances, and that, in the most prosperous state of his fortune, he was always the very man he is at present.—But. was there no other person of rank and consequence in the city, whom government could confide in, but a notorious Jacobite? Did you imagine that the whole body of the Diffenters, that the whole Whig interest of London, would attend at the levee, and Jubmit to the directions of a notorious Jacobite? Was there no Whig magistrate in the city, to whom the servants of George the Third could intrust the management of a business so very interesting to their master as the election of sheriffs? Is there no room at St. James's but for Scotchmen and Jacobites? My Lord, I do not mean to question the fincerity of Mr. Harley's attachment to his Majesty's government.

1

2

Ţ

e

fi

l

f

8

I

1

the commencement of the present reign, I have feen still greater contradictions reconciled. The principles of thefe worthy Jacobites are not so absurd as they have been represented. Their ideas of divine right are not so much annexed to the person or family, as to the political character of the fovereign. Had there ever been an honest man among the Stuarts, his Majesty's present friends would have been Whigs upon principle. But the conversion of the best of princes has removed their scruples. They have forgiven him the fins of his Hanoverian anceftors, and acknowledge the hand of Providence in the descent of the crown upon the head of a true Stuart. In you, my Lord, they also behold, with a kind of predilection which borders upon loyalty, the natural representative of that illustrious family. The mode of your descent from Charles the Second is only a bar to your pretentions to the crown, and no way interrupts the regularity of your fuccession to all the virtues of the Stuarts.

The unfortunate fuccess of the Reverend Mr. Horne's endeavours in support of the ministerial nomination of sheriffs, will, I fear, obstruct his preferment. Permit me to recommend him to your Grace's protection. You will find him copiously gifted with those qualities of the heart, which usually direct you in the choice of your friendships. He too was Mr. Wilkes's friend, and as incapable as you are of the liberal resentment of a gentleman. No, my Lord,—it was the solitary vindictive malice of a monk, brooding over the infirmities of his friend, until he thought they quickened into public life, and feasting with a rancorous rapture upon the fordid catalogue of his distresses. Now let him go back to his cloister. The church is a proper retreat for him. In his principles

he is already a bishop.

The mention of this man has moved me from my natural moderation. Let me return to your Grace. You are the pillow upon which I am determined to rest all my resentments. What idea can the best of sovereigns form to himself of his own government?—In what repute can he conceive that he stands with his people, when he sees, beyond the possibility of a doubt, that, whatever be the office, the suspicion of his favour is fatal to the

till

efe

re-

ich

ha-

reft

nds

n-

es.

n-

he In

ecta-

of.

e's

of.

ne

ou

he

ur

n-

le-

12-

d,

ft-

ue:

er.

es.

12-

ou all

115

e-

en

er

candidate; and that when the party he wishes well tohas the fairest prospect of success, if his royal inclination should unfortunately be discovered, it drops like an acid, and turns the election?

This event, among others, may perhaps contribute to open his Majesty's eyes to his real honour and interest. In spite of all your Grace's ingenuity, he may at last perceive the inconvenience of selecting, with such a curious selicity, every villain in the nation to fill the various departments of his government. Yet I should be forry to confine him in the choice either of his sootmen or his friends.

Junius.

LETTER LL.

FROM THE REVEREND MR. HORNE TO JUNIUS.

STR, July 13. 1771

FARCE, Comedy, and Tragedy—Wilkes, Foote, and Junius, united at the fame time against one poor Parson, are fearful odds. The two former are only labouring in their vocation; and may equally plead in excuse, that their aim is a livelihood. I admit the plea for the second; his is an honest calling, and my clothes were lawful game; but I cannot so readily approve Mr. Wilkes, or commend him for making patriotism a trade, and a fraudulent trade. But what shall I say to Junius? the grave, the solemn, the didactic! Ridicule indeed has been indiculously called the test of truth; but, surely, to confess that you lose your natural moderation when mention is made of the man, does not promise much truth or justice when you speak of him yourself.

You charge me with "a new zeal in support of admi"nistration," and with "endeavours in support of the
"ministerial nomination of sherists." The reputation
which your talents have deservedly gained to the signature of Junius, draws from me a reply, which I disdainted to give to the anonymous lies of Mr. Wilkes. You
make frequent use of the word Gentleman; I only call
myself a man, and desire no other distinction: If you are
either, you are bound to make good your charges, or to

confess that you have done me a hasty injustice upon no

authority.

I put the matter fairly to iffue. - I fay, that, fo far from any " new zeal in support of administration," I am posfeffed with the utmost abhorrence of their measures; and that I have ever shown myself, and am still ready, in any rational manner, to lay down all I have-my life, in opposition to those measures. I say, that I have not, and never have had, any communication or connection of any kind, directly or indirectly, with any courtier or ministerial man, or any of their adherents: that I never have received, or folicited, or expected, or defired, or do now hope for, any reward of any fort, from any party or fet of men in administration or opposition. I say, that I never used any " endeavours in support of the ministerial no-" mination of theriffs;" that I did not folicit any one liveryman for his vote for any one of the candidates, nor employ any other person to solicit; and that I did not write one fingle line or word in favour of Messrs. Plumbe and Kirkman, whom I understand to have been supported by the ministry.

You are bound to refute what I here advance, or to lofe your credit for veracity. You must produce facts: furmife and general abuse, in however elegant language, ought not to pass for proofs. You have every advantage; and I have every disadvantage; you are unknown; I give my name. All parties, both in and out of administration, have their reasons (which I shall relate hereafter) for uniting in their wishes against me; and the popular prejudice is as strongly in your favour as it is violent against the

Parfon.

Singular as my present situation is, it is neither painful, nor was it unforeseen. He is not fit for public business, who does not, even at his entrance, prepare his mind for such an event. Health, fortune, tranquillity, and private connections, I have sacrificed upon the altar of the public; and the only return I receive, because I will not concur to dupe and missead a senseless multitude, is barely, that they have not yet torn me in pieces. That this has been the only return is my pride, and a source of more real satisfaction than honours or prosperity. I can practise, before I am old, the lessons I learned in my

youth; nor shall I ever forget the words of my ancient

'Tis the last key stone
That makes the arch: the rest that there were put
Are nothing, till that comes to bind and shut:
Then stands it a triumphal mark! then men
Observe the strength, the height, the why and when
It was erected; and still, walking under,
Meet some new matter to look up and wonder!

no

m

of-

nd

ny

p-

bn

ny

eve

of

er

0-

i-

TC

ot

e

t-

0

:,

;

C

1,

á

e

e

I am, Sir, your humble fervant,

JOHN HORNE.

LETTER LII.

TO THE REVEREND MR. HORNE.

July 24. 1771. SIR. I CANNOT descend to an altercation with you in the newspapers; but, fince I have attacked your characer, and you complain of injustice, I think you have some right to an explanation. You defy me to prove that you er folicited a vote, or wrote a word, in support of the ministerial aldermen. Sir, I did never suspect you of fuch gross folly. It would have been impossible for Mr. Horne to have folicited votes, and very difficult to have written for the newspapers in defence of that cause, without being detected and brought to shame. Neither do I pretend to any intelligence concerning you, or to know more of your conduct than you yourself have thought proper to communicate to the public. It is from your own letters I conclude that you have fold yourself to the ministry: or, if that charge be too fevere, and supposing it possible to be deceived by appearances so very strongly against you, what are your friends to fay in your defence? Must they not confess, that, to gratify your personal hatted of Mr. Wilkes, you sacrificed, as far as depended on your interest and abilities, the cause of the country? I can make allowance for the violence of the passions; and if ever I should be convinced that you had no motive but to destroy Wilkes, I shall then be ready to do justice to your character, and to declare to the world that I despise you somewhat less than I do at present. But as a public man, I must for ever condemn you. You cannot but

ftar

tha

by

2 4

on

do

fai

ed

he

W

no

69

te

know,—nay, you dare not pretend to be ignorant, that the highest gratification of which the most detestable in this nation is capable, would have been the defeat of Wilkes. I know that man much better than any of you. Nature intended him only for a good-humoured fool. A systematical education, with long practice, has made him a consummate hypocrite. Yet this man, to say nothing of his worthy ministers, you have most assiduously laboured to gratify. To exclude Wilkes, it was not necessary you should solicit votes for his opponents. We incline the balance as effectually by lessening the weight in one

fcale, as by increasing it in the other.

The mode of your attack upon Wilkes (though I am far from thinking meanly of your abilities) convinces me, that you either want judgment extremely, or that you are blinded by your refentment. You ought to have foreseen. that the charges you urged against Wilkes could never do him any mischief. After all, when we expected discoveries highly interesting to the community, what a pitiful detail did it end in !- Some old clothes, a Welsh poney, z French footman, and a hamper of claret. Indeed, Mr. Horne, the public should, and will forgive him his claret and his footman, and even the ambition of making his brother chamberlain of London, as long as he stands forth against a ministry and parliament who are doing every thing they can to enflave the country, and as long as he is a thorn in the king's fide. You will not suspect me as fetting up Wilkes for a perfect character. The question to the public is, Where shall we find a man, who, with purer principles, will go the lengths and run the hazards that he has done? The feafon calls for fuch a man, and he ought to be supported. What would have been the triumph of that odious hypocrite and his minions, if Wilkes had been defeated? It was not your fault, reverend Sir, that he did not enjoy it completely.—But now, I promise you, you have so little power to do mischief, that I much question whether the ministry will adhere to the promises they have made you. It will be in vain to fay that I am a partizan of Mr. Wilkes, or personally your enemy. You will convince no man, for you do not believe it yourself. Yet I consess I am a little offended at the low rate at which you feem to value my underthat I measure the integrity of men by their conduct, not by their professions. Such tales may entertain Mr. Oliver, or your grandmother; but, trust me, they are thrown

away upon Junius.

hat

t of

ou.

A

nm

ing

ur-

ary

ine

one

am

ne,

are

en,

do

co-

ful

ey,

Ir. ret

his

rth

he as

on th

ds

nd

he

if

e-

W,

f,

to

to

ly

ot

d

-

You say you are a man. Was it generous, was it manly, repeatedly to introduce into a newspaper the name of
a young lady, with whom you must heretofore have lived
on terms of politeness and good humour?—But I have
done with you. In my opinion, your credit is irrecoverably ruined. Mr. Townshend, I think, is nearly in the
same predicament. Poor Oliver has been shamefully duped by you. You have made him sacrifice all the honour
he got by his imprisonment. As for Mr. Sawbridge,
whose character I really respect, I am astonished he does
not see through your duplicity. Never was so base a design so poorly conducted.—This letter, you see, is not intended for the public; but, if you think it will do you
any service, you are at liberty to publish it.

JUNIUS.

P. S. This letter was transmitted privately by the printer to Mr. Horne, by Junius's request. Mr. Horne returned it to the printer, with directions to publish it.

LETTER LIII.

FROM THE REVEREND MR. HORNE TO JUNIUS.

You have disappointed me. When I told you, that surmise and general abuse, in however elegant language, ought not to pass for proofs, I evidently hinted at the reply which I expected: but you have dropped your usual elegance, and seem willing to try what will be the effect of surmise and general abuse in very coarse language. Your answer to my letter (which I hope was cool, and temperate, and modest) has convinced me, that my idea of a man is much superior to yours of a gentleman. Of your former letters I have always said, Materiem superabat opus; I do not think so of the present; the principles are more detestable than the expressions are

mean and illiberal. I am contented, that all those who adopt the one should for ever load me with the other.

p

who

" a

vet

11

alte

of ;

me-

is a

16

u

do

pa

ou

ye

af

m

I appeal to the common fense of the public, to which I have ever directed myself : I believe they have it, though I am sometimes half inclined to suspect that Mr. Wilkes has formed a truer judgment of mankind than I have. However, of this I am fure, that there is nothing elfe upon which to place a steady reliance. Trick, and low cunning, and addressing their prejudices and passions, may be the fittest means to carry a particular point; but if they have not common fense, there is no prospect of gaining for them any real permanent good. The fame passions which have been artfully used by an honest man for their advantage, may be more artfully employed by a dishonest man for their destruction. I desire them to apply their common fense to this letter of Junius; not for my fake, but their own; it concerns them most nearly; for the principles it contains lead to difgrace and ruin, and are inconfistent with every notion of civil fociety.

The charges which Junius has brought against me, are made ridiculous by his own inconfiftency and felf contradiction. He charges me politively with " a new zeal in " fupport of administration;" and with "endeavours in " fupport of the ministerial nomination of theriffs." And he affigns two inconfiftent motives for my conduct : either that I have "fold myself to the ministry," or am instigated "by the folitary vindictive malice of a monk;" either that I am influenced by a fordid defire of gain, or am hurried on by " personal hatred, and blinded by resent-" ment." In his letter to the Duke of Grafton, he suppoles me actuated by both: in his letter to me, he at first doubts which of the two, whether interest or revenge, is my motive. However, at last he determines for the former, and again positively afferts, that "the ministry " have made me promises:" yet he produces no instance of corruption, nor pretends to have any intelligence of a ministerial connection. He mentions no cause of a perfonal hatred to Mr. Wilkes, nor any reason for my resentment or revenge; nor has Mr. Wilkes himself ever hinted any, though repeatedly pressed. When Junius is

called upon to justify his accusation, he answers, " He cannot descend to an altercation with me in the news-

"papers." Junius, who exists only in the newspapers, who acknowledges "he has attacked my character there, "and thinks I have some right to an explanation;" yet this Junius "cannot descend to an altercation in the "newspapers!" And because he cannot descend to an altercation with me in the newspapers, he sends a letter of abuse by the printer, which he finishes with telling me—"I am at liberty to publish it." This, to be sure, is a most excellent method to avoid an altercation in the

newspapers!

5

r

ŧ

r

,

e.

-

n

d

T

1

-

n

t-

ft

e,

C

ry

30

a r-

t-

t-

is

le

6

The proofs of his positive charges are as extraordinary: " He does not pretend to any intelligence concerning me, " or to know more of my conduct than I myself have " thought proper to communicate to the public." He does not suspect me of such gross folly as to have solicited votes, or to have written anonymously in the newspapers; because it is impossible to do either of these without being detected and brought to shame .- Junius fays this !-who yet imagines that he has himfelf written two years under that fignature (and more under others), without being detected !- his warmest admirers will not hereafter add, without being brought to shame. But though he never did suspect me of such gross folly as to run the hazard of being detected and brought to shame by anonymous writing, he insists, that I have been guilty of a much groffer folly, of incurring the certainty of shame and detection, by writings figned with my name! But this is a small flight for the towering Junius .: " HE IS FAR from "thinking meanly of my abilities," though he is "con-"vinced that I want judgment extremely;" and can " really respect Mr. Sawbridge's character," though he declares him to be so poor a creature, as not to " see "through the basest design conducted in the poorest " manner!" And this most base design is conducted in the poorest manner, by a man whom he does not suspect of gross folly, and of whose abilities he is FAR from thinking meanly!

Should we ask Junius to reconcile these contradictions, and explain this nonsense, the answer is ready;—" He cannot descend to an altercation in the newspapers." He seels no reluctance to attack the character of any man: the throne is not too high, nor the cottage too low: his

den

ner

dia

ent

paic

vit

E

u i

6

1010

we.

to T

bys

ally

my

my

pub

To

plai

ht

ceri

ap

prif

ap

ced u f

pro:

His

Jun

atta

beer

end

 \mathbf{I}

quit

of 1

b b

mighty malice can grasp both extremes: he hints not his accusations as opinion, conjecture, or inference, but devers them as positive assertions. Do the accused complain of injustice? He acknowledges they have some fort of right to an explanation: but if they ask for proofs and facts, he begs to be excused; and though he is no where else to be encountered—" he cannot descend to an altercation in the newspapers."

And this, perhaps, Junius may think the "liberal re"fentment of a gentleman:" This skulking affaffination
he may call courage. In all things, as in this, I hope we

differ.

I thought that fortitude had been a mean
"I wixt fear and raffiness; not a lust obscene
Or appetite of offending; but a skill
And nice discernment between good and ill.
Her ends are honesty and public good,
And without these she is not understood.

Of two things, however, he has condescended to give proof. He very properly produces a young lady, to prove that I am not a man; and a good old woman, my grandmother, to prove Mr. Oliver a fool. Poor old soul! She read her Bible far otherwise than Junius! She often sound there, that the sins of the sathers had been visited on the children; and therefore was cautious that herself, and her immediate descendants, should leave no reproach on her posterity: and they left none. How little could she foresee this reverse of Junius, who visits my political sins upon my grandmother! I do not charge this to the score of malice in him; it proceeded entirely from his propensity to blunder; that whilst he was reproaching me for introducing, in the most harmless manner, the name of one female, he might himself, at the same instant, introduce two.

I am represented alternately, as it suits Junius's purpose, under the opposite characters of a gloomy monk, and a man of politeness and good humour. I am called a solitary monk," in order to confirm the notion given of me in Mr. Wilkes's anonymous paragraphs, that I never laugh. And the terms of politeness and good humour, on which I am said to have lived heretofore with the young lady, are intended to confirm other paragraphs of Mr. Wilkes, in which he is supposed to have offended

the by refusing his daughter. Ridiculous! Yet I cannot then but that Junius has proved me unmanly and ungenerous, as clearly as he has shown me corrupt and vintitive. And I will tell him more; I have paid the present ministry as many visits and compliments as ever I mid to the young lady; and shall all my life treat them

with the fame politeness and good humour.

is

6-

1-

ne

fs

10

m

e-

n

ve

ve

ve

d-

ad

re,

il-

rer

Ter

re-

ip-

ore

en-

for

of

ro-

ur-

nk,

led

ren

ne-

hu-

phs

ded

But Junius " begs me to believe, that he measures the integrity of men by their conduct, not by their profesfions." Sure this Junius must imagine his readers as gold of understanding as he is of modesty! Where shall me find the standard of HIS integrity? By what are we measure the conduct of this lurking affallin?—And he hys this to me, whose conduct, wherever I could personlly appear, has been as direct, and open, and public, as my words. I have not, like him, concealed myself in my chamber, to shoot my arrows out of the window; for contented myself to view the battle from afar; but publicly mixed in the engagement, and shared the danger. To whom have I, like him, refused my name upon compaint of injury? What printer have I defired to conceal me? h the infinite variety of business in which I have been conamed, where it is not so easy to be faultless, which of my thions can he arraign? To what danger has any man been aposed, which I have not faced? information, action, impisonment, or death? What labour have I refused? what apence have I declined? what pleasure have I not renounad?—But Junius, to whom no conduct belongs, " meafures the integrity of men by their conduct, not by their professions;" himself all the while being nothing but professions, and those too anonymous! The political igporance or wilful falsehood of this declaimer is extreme. his own former letters justify both my conduct and those whom his last letter abuses: for the public measures which lunius has been all along defending, were ours whom he attacks; and the uniform opposer of those measures has ben Mr. Wilkes, whose bad actions and intentions he indeavours to screen.

Let Junius now, if he pleases, change his abuse; and, quitting his loose hold of interest and revenge, accuse me vanity, and call this defence boasting. I own I have pride to see statues decreed, and the highest honours

conferred, for measures and actions which all men have abproved; whilft those who counselled and cansed them are execrated and infulted. The darkness in which Junius thinks himself shrouded, has not concealed him; nor the artifice of only attacking under that fignature those he would pull down (whilft he recommends by other ways those he would have promoted), disguised from me whose partizan he is. When Lord Chatham can forgive the awkward fituation in which, for the fake of the public, he was defignedly placed by the thanks to him from the city; and when Wilkes's name ceases to be necessary to Lord Rockingham to keep up a clamour against the persons of the ministry, without obliging the different factions now in opposition to bind themselves beforehand to some certain points, and to stipulate some precise advantages to the public; then, and not till then, may those whom he now abuses expect the approbation of Junius. The approbation of the public for our faithful attention to their interest, by endeavours for those stipulations, which have made us as obnoxious to the factions in opposition as to those in administration, is not perhaps to be expected till some years hence; when the public will look back, and fee how shamefully they have been deluded, and by what arts they were made to lose the golden opportunity of preventing what they will furely experience,—a change of ministers, without a material change of measures, and without any fecurity for a tottering constitution.

t

6

t

6

fe

i

n

fe

fe

tl

ju

ri

21

e

A:

lu

of

th

h

CO

PE

m

OD

But what cares Junius for the security of the constitution? He has now unfolded to us his diabolical principles. As a public man, he must ever condemn any measure which may tend accidentally to gratify the sovereign; and Mr. Wilkes is to be supported and affished in all his attempts (no matter how ridiculous and mischievous his projects), "as long as he continues to be a thorn in the "King's side!"—The cause of the country, it seems, in the opinion of Junius, is merely to vex the King; and any rascal is to be supported in any roguery, provided he can only thereby plant a thorn in the King's side.—This is the very extremity of faction, and the last degree of political wickedness. Because Lord Chatham has been ill treated by the King, and treacherously betrayed by the Duke of Grafton, the latter is to be "the pillow on p-

are ius

the

he

avs

ofe

vk-

was

and

ck-

the

in

ain

pu-

v a-

eft,

e us

e in

ome

now

hey

ting

ers,

any

titu-

ncı-

nea-

ign;

his

his

the

and

d he

This

e of

en ilk

the

w on

" which Junius will rest his resentment;" and the public are to oppose the measures of government from mere motives of personal enmity to the sovereign! These are the avowed principles of the man who, in the same letter, fays, " If ever he should be convinced that I had no mo-" tive but to destroy Wilkes, he shall then be ready to " do justice to my character, and to declare to the world " that he despises me somewhat less than he does at pre-" fent!" Had I ever acted from personal affection or enmity to Mr. Wilkes, I should justly be despised; but what does he deferve, whose avowed motive is personal enmity to the fovereign? The contempt which I should otherwise feel for the absurdity and glaring inconsistency of Junius, is here swallowed up in my abhorrence of his principles. The right divine and facredness of kings is to me a fenseless jargon. It was thought a daring expresfion of Oliver Cromwell in the time of Charles the First, that if he found himself placed opposite to the king in battle, he would discharge his piece into his bosom as foon as into any other man's. I go farther: had I lived in those days, I would not have waited for chance to give me an opportunity of doing my duty; I would have fought him through the ranks, and, without the least perfonal enmity, have discharged my piece into his bosom rather than into any other man's. The king whose actions justify rebellion to his government, deserves death from the hand of every subject. And should such a time arrive, I shall be as free to act as to say: but till then, my attachment to the person and family of the sovereign shall ever be found more zealous and fincere than that of his flatterers. I would offend the fovereign with as much reluctance as the parent; but if the happiness and security of the whole family made it necessary, so far, and no farther, I would offend him without remorfe.

But let us consider a little whether these principles of Junius would lead us. Should Mr. Wilkes once more commission Mr. Thomas Walpole to procure for him a pension of one thousand pounds upon the Irish establishment for thirty years, he must be supported in the demand by the public—because it would mortify the king!

Should we with to fee Lord Rockingham and his friends once more in administration, "unclogged by any stimu-

" lations for the people," that he might again enjoy a "pension of one thousand and forty pounds" a-year, viz. from the "First Lord of the Treasury" 500l. from the "Lords of the Treasury," 60l. each; from the "Lords of Trade," 40l. each, &c. the public must give up their attention to points of national benefit, and assist Mr. Wilkes in his attempt—because it would mortify the king!

211

to

th

21

cit

th

pu

be

to

les

pu

W

br

10

an

fer

07

vi

W

on

th

pr

th

W

th

W

op

un

bo

TIC

th

Should he demand the government of Canada, or of Jamaica, or the embaffy to Constantinople, and in case of refusal, threaten to write them down, as he had before served another administration, in a year and a half, he must be supported in his pretensions, and upheld in his

infolence-because it would mortify the king!

Junius may choose to suppose that these things cannot happen! But that they have happened, notwithstanding Mr. Wilkes's denial, I do aver. I maintain that Mr. Wilkes did commission Mr. Thomas Walpole to solicit for him a pension of 1000l. on the Irish establishment for thirty years; with which, and a pardon, he declared he would be fatisfied: and that, notwithstanding his letter to Mr. Onflow, he did accept a clandestine, precarious, and eleemofynary pension from the Rockingham administration; which they paid in proportion to, and out of their falaries: and fo entirely was it ministerial, that as any of them went out of the ministry, their names were fcratched out of the lift, and they contributed no longer. I fay, he did folicit the governments, and the embaffy, and threatened their refusal nearly in these words :- " It " cost me a year and a half to write down the last ad-" ministration; should I employ as much time upon you, " very few of you would be in at the death." When these threats did not prevail, he came over to England to embarrass them by his presence: and when he found that Lord Rockingham was fomething firmer, and more manly than he expected, and refused to be bullied into what he could not perform, Mr. Wilkes declared, that he could not leave England without money; and the Duke of Portland and Lord Rockingham purchased his absence with rool. apiece, with which he returned to Paris. And for the truth of what I here advance, I appeal to the Duke of Portland, to Lord Rockingham, to Lord John Cavendish, to Mr. Walpole, &c .- I appeal to the hand-

writing of Mr. Wilkes, which is still extant.

Should Mr. Wilkes afterwards (failing in his wholefale trade) choose to dole out his popularity by the pound, and expose the city offices to sale, to his brother, his attorney, &c. Junius will tell us, it is only an ambition that he has to make them chamberlain, town-clerk, &c. and he must not be opposed in thus robbing the ancient citizens of their birthright—because any defeat of Mr.

Wilkes would gratify the king!

t

it

C

75

s,

1-

of

as

re

T.

It

d-

u,

en

to

nat

nly

he

uld

of

nce

ris.

the

hn

Should he, after confuming the whole of his own fortune, and that of his wife, and incurring a debt of twenty thousand pounds, merely by his own private extravagance. without a fingle fervice or exertion all this time for the public, whilft his estate remained; should he at length, being undone, commence patriot, have the good fortune to be illegally persecuted, and in consideration of that illegality, be espoused by a few gentlemen of the purest public principles; should his debts (though none of them were contracted for the public) and all his other encumbrances be discharged; should he be offered 600l. or 1000l. a-year, to make him independent for the future: and should he, after all, instead of gratitude for these services, infolently forbid his benefactors to bestow their own money upon any other fubject but himfelf, and revile them for fetting any bounds to their supplies; Junius who, any more than Lord Chatham, never contributed one farthing to these enormous expences) will tell them, that if they think of converting the supplies of Mr. Wilkes's private extravagance to the support of public measuresthey are as great fools as my grandmother: and that Mr. Wilkes ought to hold the strings of their purses-" as " long as he continues to be a thorn in the king's fide!"

Upon these principles I never have acted, and I never will act. In my opinion, it is less dishonourable to be the creature of a court, than the tool of a faction. I will not be either. I understand the two great leaders of opposition to be Lord Rockingham and Lord Chatham; under one of whose banners, all the opposing members of both Houses, who desire to get places, enlist. I can place no considence in either of them, or in any others, unless they will now engage, whilst they are our, to grant cer-

K

tain effential advantages for the fecurity of the public, when they shall be IN administration. These points they refuse to stipulate, because they are fearful lest they should prevent any future overtures from the court. To force them to these stipulations, has been the uniform endeavour of Mr. Sawbridge, Mr. Townsend, Mr. Oliver, &c. and THEREFORE they are abused by Junius. I know no reason but my zeal and industry in the same cause, that should entitle me to the honour of being ranked by his abuse with persons of their fortune and station. It is a duty I owe to the memory of the late Mr. Beckford, to fay, that he had no other aim than this, when he provided that fumptuous entertainment at the Mansion-house for the members of both Houses in opposition. At that time he drew up the heads of an engagement, which he gave to me, with a request that I would couch it in ternis fo cautious and precise, as to leave no room for future quibble and evafion; but to oblige them either to fulfil the intent of the obligation, or to fign their own infamy, and leave it on record: and this engagement he was determined to propose to them at the Mansion-house, that either by their refusal they might forfeit the confidence of the public, or by the engagement, lay a foundation for confidence. When they were informed of the intention, Lord Rockingham and his friends flatly refused any engagement; and Mr. Beckford as flatly swore, they should then "eat none of his broth;" and he was determined to put off the entertainment : But Mr. Beckford was prevailed upon by - to indulge them in the ridiculous parade of a popular procession, through the city, and to give them the foolish pleasure of an imaginary consequence, for the real benefit only of the cooks and purveyors.

1

C

0

e

h

C

b

P

It was the same motive which dictated the thanks of the city to Lord Chatham; which were expressed to be given for his declaration in favour of short parliaments; in order thereby to fix Lord Chatham at least to that one constitutional remedy, without which all others can afford no security. The embarrassment, no doubt, was cruel. He had his choice either to offend the Rockingham party, who declared formally against short parliaments; and with the affistance of whose numbers in both Houses, he

C,

ld

ce

a-

C.

10

at

115

2

to

ed

OT

ne

ve

fo

b-

he

ıy,

e-

nat

of

for

n-

ny

iey

de-

ord

diity,

ind

of

be

ts;

one

ord

uel.

rty,

and

, he

must expect again to be minister; or to give up the confidence of the public, from whom finally all real consequence must proceed. Lord Chatham chose the latter and I will venture to say that, by his answer to those thanks, he has given up the people, without gaining the friendship or cordial assistance of the Rockingham faction; whose little politics are confined to the making of matches, and extending their family connections, and who think they gain more by procuring one additional vote to their party in the House of Commons, than by adding their languid property and seeble character to the abilities of a Chatham, or the considence of a public.

Whatever may be the event of the present wretched state of politics in this country, the principles of Junius will fuit no form of government. They are not to be tolerated under any constitution. Personal enmity is a motive fit only for the devil. Whoever, or whatever is fovereign, demands the respect and support of the people. The union is formed for their happiness, which cannot be had without mutual respect; and he counsels mali ciously, who would perfuade either to a wanton breach When it is banished by either party, and when every method has been tried in vain to restore it, there is no remedy but a divorce; But even then he must have a hard and a wicked heart indeed, who punishes the greatest criminal, merely for the fake of the punishment; and who does not let fall a tear for every drop of blood that is shed in a public struggle, however just the quarrel.

JOHN HORNE.

LETTER LIV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, Aug. 15.1771.

I ought to make an apology to the Duke of Grafton, for fuffering any part of my attention to be diverted from his Grace to Mr. Horne. I am not justified by the similarity of their dispositions. Private vices, however detestable, have not dignity sufficient to attract the censure of the press, unless they are united with the power of doing some signal mischief to the community.

—Mr. Horne's fituation does not correspond with his intentions.—In my own opinion (which, I know, will be attributed to my usual vanity and presumption), his letter to me does not deserve an answer. But I understand that the public are not satisfied with my silence;—that an answer is expected from me; and that if I persist in refusing to plead, it will be taken for conviction. I should be inconsistent with the principles I profess, if I declined an appeal to the good sense of the people, or did not willingly submit myself to the judgment of my peers.

ir

If any coarse expressions have escaped me, I am ready to agree that they are unfit for Junius to make use of; but I see no reason to admit that they have been impro-

perly applied.

Mr. Horne, it feems, is unable to comprehend how an extreme want of conduct and discretion can consist with the abilities I have allowed him; nor can he conceive that a very honest man, with a very good understanding, may be deceived by a knave. His knowledge of human nature must be limited indeed. Had he never mixed with the world, one would think that even his books might have taught him better. Did he hear Lord Manffield, when he defended his doctrine concerning libels? or when he stated the law in prosecutions for criminal conversation? or when he delivered his reasons for calling the House of Lords together to receive a copy of his charge to the jury in Woodfall's trial? Had he been prefent upon any of these occasions, he would have seen how possible it is for a man of the first talents to confound himself in absurdities, which would disgrace the lips of an idiot. Perhaps the example might have taught him not to value his own understanding so highly. Lord Lyttleton's integrity and judgment are unquestionable; yet he is known to admire that cunning Scotchman, and verily believes him an honest man. I speak to facts, with which all of us are conversant. I speak to men, and to their experience; and will not descend to answer the little fneering fophistries of a collegian. Distinguished talents are not necessarily connected with discretion. If there be any thing remarkable in the character of Mr. Horne, it is, that extreme want of judgment should be united with his very moderate capacity. Yet I have not forgotten the acknowledgment I made him. He owes it to my bounty; and, though his letter has lowered him in my opinion, I fcorn to retract the charitable donation.

I faid it would be very difficult for Mr. Horne to write directly in defence of a ministerial measure, and not to be detected; and even that difficulty I confined to his particular situation. He changes the terms of the proposition, and supposes me to affert, that it would be impossible for any man to write for the newspapers and not

be discovered.

n-

be

er

at

n-

u-

ld

b

ot

ly

4

0-

In

h

re

in

d

S

f- ?

al

.

18

24

w

f

n

.

t

h

0

d

f

.

t

He repeatedly affirms, or intimates at least, that he knows the author of these letters. With what colour of truth, then, can he pretend " that I am nowhere to be "encountered but in a newspaper?" I shall leave him to his suspicions. It is not necessary that I should conside in the honour or diferetion of a man who already feems to hate me with as much rancour as if I had formerly been his friend. But he afferts that he has traced me through a variety of fignatures. To make the discovery of any importance to his purpose, he should have proved, either that the fictitious character of Junius has not been confistently supported, or that the author has maintained different principles under different fignatures. I cannot recal to my memory the numberless trifles I have written; -but I rely upon the consciousness of my own integrity, and defy him to fix any colourable charge of inconfiftency upon me.

I am not bound to assign the secret motives of his apparent hatred of Mr. Wilkes: nor does it follow that I may not judge fairly of his conduct, though it were true "that I had no conduct of my own." Mr. Horne enlarges with rapture upon the importance of his services; the dreadful battles which he might have been engaged in, and the dangers he has escaped. In support of the formidable description, he quotes verses without mercy. The gentleman deals in siction; and naturally appeals to the evidence of the poets. Taking him at his word, he cannot but admit the superiority of Mr. Wilkes in this line of service. On one side, we see nothing but imaginary distresses; on the other, we see real prosecutions, real penalties, real imprisonment, life repeatedly hazarded, and, at one moment, almost the certainty of death.

Thanks are undoubtedly due to every man who does his duty in the engagement; but it is the wounded foldier who deferves the reward.

ir

b

g

C

tl

2

d

h

T

d

c

t

1

f

I did not mean to deny that Mr. Horne had been an active partizan. It would defeat my own purpose not to allow him a degree of merit, which aggravates his guilt. The very charge " of contributing his utmost efforts to " fupport a ministerial measure," implies an acknowledgment of his former fervices. If he had not once been distinguished by his apparent zeal in defence of the common cause, he could not now be distinguished by deserting it. As for myself, it is no longer a question, " whe-"ther I shall mix with the throng, and take a single share in the danger?" Whenever Junius appears, he must encounter an host of enemies. But is there no honourable way to ferve the public, without engaging in personal quarrels with infignificant individuals, or fulmitting to the drudgery of canvashing votes for an election? Is there no merit in dedicating my life to the information of my fellow-subjects? What public question have I declined? What villain have I spared? Is there no labour in the composition of these letters? Mr. Horne, I fear, is partial to me, and measures the facility of my writings by the fluency of his own.

He talks to us in high terms of the gallant feats he would have performed if he had lived in the last century. The unhappy Charles could hardly have escaped him. But living princes have a claim to his attachment and refpect. Upon these terms, there is no danger in being 2 patriot. If he means any thing more than a pompous rhapfody, let us try how well his argument holds together. I prefume he is not yet so much a courtier as to affirm that the conftitution has not been grossly and daringly violated under the present reign. He will not say, that the laws have not been shamefully broken or perverted; that the rights of the subject have not been invaded; or that redress has not been repeatedly solicited and refused. Grievances like these were the foundation of the rebellion in the last century; and, if I understand Mr. Horne, they would, at that period, have justified him to his own mind in deliberately attacking the life of his fovereign. I shall not ask him to what political constitution this doctrine can be reconciled. But at least it is incumbent upon him to show, that the present King has better excuses than Charles the First for the errors of his government. He ought to demonstrate to us, that the constitution was better understood a hundred years ago than it is at present; that the legal rights of the subject, and the limits of the prerogative, were more accurately defined and more clearly comprehended. If propositions like these cannot be fairly maintained, I do not see how he can reconcile it to his conscience, not to act immediately with the same freedom with which he speaks. I reverence the character of Charles the First as little as Mr. Horne; but I will not insult his missfortunes by a comparison that would degrade him.

It is worth observing, by what gentle degrees the furious, persecuting zeal of Mr. Horne has softened into moderation. Men and measures were yesterday his objects. What pains did he once take to bring that great state-criminal Macquirk to execution!—To-day, he confines himself to measures only.—No penal example is to be left to the successors of the Duke of Graston.—To-morrow, I presume, both men and measures will be forgiven. The slaming patriot, who so lately scorched us in the meridian, sinks temperately to the west, and is hardly felt as he de-

fcends.

his

ET

an

to

lt.

to

8-

en

n-

t-

e-

he

0-

in

C

n-

on

re

e,

ie

y.

n. e-

2

18

0

[-|-

d

n

d

is

I comprehend the policy of endeavouring to communicate to Mr. Oliver and Mr. Sawbridge a share in the reproaches with which he supposes me to have loaded him. My memory fails me, if I have mentioned their names with disrespect; unless it be reproachful to acknowledge a sincere respect for the character of Mr. Sawbridge, and not to have questioned the innocence of Mr. Oliver's intentions.

It feems I am a partizan of the great leader of the opposition. If the charge had been a reproach, it should
have been better supported. I did not intend to make a
public declaration of the respect I bear Lord Chatham.
I well knew what unworthy conclusions would be drawn
from it. But I am called upon to deliver my opinion;
and surely it is not in the little censure of Mr. Horne to
deter me from doing signal justice to a man, who, I conses, has grown upon my esteem. As for the common,

11

11

h

2

f

a

fordid views of avarice, or any purpose of vulgar ambition, I question whether the applause of Junius would be of service to Lord Chatham. My vote will hardly recommend him to an increase of his pension, or to a seat in the cabinet. But if his ambition be upon a level with his understanding; if he judges of what is truly honourable for himself, with the same superior genius which animates and directs him to eloquence in debate, to wisdom in decision, even the pen of Junius shall contribute to reward him. Recorded honours shall gather round his monument, and thicken over him. It is a solid fabric, and will support the laurels that adorn it. I am not conversant in the language of panegyric. These praises are extorted from me; but they will wear well, for they have been dearly earned.

My detestation of the Duke of Grafton is not founded upon his treachery to any individual: though I am willing enough to suppose, that, in public affairs, it would be impossible to desert or betray Lord Chatham, without doing an essential injury to this country. My abhorrence of the Duke arises from an intimate knowledge of his character; and, from a thorough conviction that his baseness has been the cause of greater mischief to England, than

even the unfortunate ambition of Lord Bute.

The shortening the duration of parliaments is a subject on which Mr. Horne cannot enlarge too warmly; nor will I question his sincerity. If I did not profess the same sentiments, I should be shamefully inconsistent with myself. It is unnecessary to bind Lord Chatham by the written formality of an engagement. He has publicly declared himself a convert to triennial parliaments; and though I have long been convinced that this is the only possible resource we have left to preserve the substantial freedom of the constitution, I do not think we have a tight to determine against the integrity of Lord Rockingham or his friends. Other measures may undoubtedly be supported in argument, as better adapted to the disorder, or more likely to be obtained.

Mr. Home is well affured that I never was the champion of Mr. Wilkes. But though I am not obliged to answer for the sumness of his future adherence to the principles he professes, I have no reason to presume that he will hereafter difgrace them. As for all those imaginary cases which Mr. Horne so petulantly urges against me, I have one plain, honest answer to make to him. Whenever Mr. Wilkes shall be convicted of soliciting a pension, an embassy, or a government, he must depart from that situation, and renounce that character which he assumes at present, and which, in my opinion, entitle him to the support of the public. By the same act, and at the same moment, he will forfeit his power of mortisying the King; and, though he can never be a favourite at St. James's, his baseness may administer a solid satisfaction to the royal mind. The man I speak of has not a heart to feel for the frailties of his fellow-creatures. It is their virtues that afflict, it is their vices that console him.

2

t

n

d

ıl

2

,

1

I give every possible advantage to Mr. Home, when I take the facts he refers to for granted. That they are the produce of his invention, feems highly probable; that they are exaggerated, I have no doubt. At the worst, what do they amount to, but that Mr. Wilkes, who never was thought of as a perfect pattern of morality, has not been at all times proof against the extremity of distress. How shameful is it, in a man who has lived in friendship with him, to reproach him with failings too naturally connected with despair! Is no allowance to be made for banishment and ruin? Does a two year's imprisonment make no atonement for his crimes ?- The refentment of a priest is implacable. No fufferings can loften, no penitence can appeafe him.—Yet he himself, I think, upon his own syftem, has a multitude of political offences to atone for. I will not infift upon the nauseous detail with which he fo long difgusted the public. He seems to be assumed of it. But what excule will he make to the friends of the conftitution for labouring to promote this confumately bad man to a fration of the highest national trust and importance? Upon what honourable motives did he recommend him to the livery of London for their representative; to the ward of Faringdon for their alderman; - to the county of Middlesex for their knight? Will he affirm, that, at that time, he was ignorant of Mr. Wilkes's folicitations to the ministry?—That he should say so, is indeed very necessary for his own justification; but where will he find credulity to believe him?

fo

t2

fu

S

2

th

W

fo

In what school this gentleman learned his ethics I know not. His logic feems to have been studied under Mr. Dyfon. That miferable pamphleteer, by dividing the only precedent in point, and taking as much of it as fuited his purpose, had reduced his argument upon the Middlesex election to fomething like the shape of a syllogism. Mr. Horne has conducted himself with the same ingenuity and candour. I had affirmed, that Mr. Wilkes would preferve the public favour " as long as he stood forth against " a ministry and parliament who were doing every thing " they could to enflave the country, and as long as he " was a thorn in the King's side." Yet, from the exulting triumph of Mr. Horne's reply, one would think that I had rested my expectation, that Mr. Wilkes would be supported by the public upon the fingle condition of his mortifying the King. This may be logic at Cambridge or at the Treasury; but among men of sense and honour, it

is folly or villany in the extreme.

I fee the pitiful advantage he has taken of a fingle unguarded expression, in a letter not intended for the public. Yet it is only the expression that is unguarded. I adhere to the true meaning of that member of the fentence, taken separately as he takes it; and now, upon the coolest deliberation, reaffert, that, for the purposes I referred to, it may be highly meritorious to the public, to wound the personal feelings of the sovereign. It is not a general proposition, nor is it generally applied to the chief magistrate of this or any other constitution. Mr. Horne knows as well as I do, that the best of princes is not displeased with ' the abuse which he sees thrown upon his oftensible ministers. It makes them, I prefume, more properly the objects of his royal compassion; -neither does it escape his fagacity, that the lower they are degraded in the public effect, the more submissively they must depend upon his favour for protection. This I affirm, upon the most solemn conviction, and the most certain knowledge, is a leading maxim in the policy of the closet. It is unnecessary to purfue the argument any further.

Mr. Horne is now a very loyal subject. He laments the wretched state of politics in this country; and sees, in a new light, the weakness and folly of the opposition. Whoever, or whatever, is sovereign, demands the re"Spect and support of the peopled;" it was not so "when "Nero siddled while Rome was burning." Our gracious sovereign has had wonderful success in creating new attachments to his person and family. He owes it, I presume, to the regular system he has pursued in the mystery of conversion. He began with an experiment upon the Scotch; and concludes with converting Mr. Horne.—What a pity it is, that the Jews should be condemned by Providence to wait for a Messiah of their own!

The priesthood are accused of misinterpreting the scriptures. Mr. Horne has improved upon his profession. He alters the text, and creates a resutable doctrine of his own. Such artifices cannot long delude the understanding of the people; and, without meaning an indecent comparison, I may venture to foretel, that the Bible and Junius will be read, when the commentaries of the Jesuits are

forgotten.

Īr.

ly

us

ex [r.

ad

e-

ıst

ng he

t-

at

is

or

it

nc.

n

1-

it

ne

-

e

28

h

i-

is

8

n

0

JUNIUS.

LETTER LV.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR, Aug. 26. 1773.

THE enemies of the people, having now nothing better to object to my friend Junius, are at last obliged to quit his politics, and to rail at him for crimes he is not guilty of. His vanity and implety are now the perpetual topics of their abuse. I do not mean to lessen the force of fuch charges (fuppoling they were true); but to flow that they are not founded. If I admitted the premises, I should readily agree in all the consequences drawn from Vanity indeed is a venial error; for it usually carnes its own punishment with it :- but if I thought Junius capable of uttering a difrespectful word of the religion of his country, I should be the first to renounce and give him up to the public contempt and indignation. As a man, I am fatisfied that he is a Christian upon the most sincere conviction: as a writer, he would be grofsly inconfiftent with his political principles, if he dared to attack a religion established by those laws which it seems to be the purpose of his life to defend.—Now for the proofs.—Junius is ac-

pof

bist a

101

ter

en

wh

tio

Y

cal

an

18

m T

11

th

an yo

ed

m

yo

IC

cused of an impious allusion to the holy sacrament, where he says, that "if Lord Weymouth be denied the cup, "there will be no keeping him within the pale of the "ministry." Now, Sir, I assimpt that this passage refers entirely to a ceremonial in the Roman Catholic church, which denies the cup to the laity. It has no manner of relation to the Protestant creed; and is in this country as fair an object of ridicule as transfubstantiation, or any other part of Lord Peter's history in the Tale of a Tub.

But Junius is charged with equal vanity and impiety, in comparing his writings to the holy fcripture.-The formal protest he makes against any such comparison avails him nothing. It becomes necessary, then, to show, that the charge destroys itself .- If he be vain, he cannot be impious. A vain man does not usually compare himself to an object which it is his defign to undervalue. On the other hand, if he be impious, he cannot be vain; for his impiety, if any, must consist in his endeavouring to degrade the holy scriptures by a comparison with his own contemptible writings. This would be folly indeed of the groffest nature; but where lies the vanity?—I shall now be told,— "Sir, what you fay is plaufible enough; but still you must allow that it is shamefully impudent in Junius to tell " us that his works will live as long as the Bible." My answer is, " Agreed; but first prove that he has said so." Look at his words, and you will find, that the utmost he expects is, that the Bible and Junius will furvive the commentaries of the Jesuits; which may prove true in a fortnight. The most malignant fagacity cannot show that his works are; in his opinion, to live as long as the Bible. -Suppose I were to foretel, that Jack and Tom would furvive Harry-does it follow that Jack must live as long as Tom?. I would only illustrate my meaning, and protest against the least idea of profaneness.

Yet this is the way in which Junius is usually answered, arraigned, and convicted. These candid critics never remember any thing he says in honour of our holy religion; though it is true, that one of his leading arguments is made to rest "upon the internal evidence which the purest of all religions carries with it." I quote his words; and conclude from them, that he is a true and hearty Christian, in substance, not in ceremony; though

erc

up,

he

ers ch, of

as

.

in

nal

im

he

pi-

an

ner

ty,

he

ole

12-

flu

ell

Ty

."

he

n-

2

iat

le.

ld

ng

0-

d.

e-

'n;

he

115

nd

gh

possibly he may not agree with my reverend lords the bishops, or with the head of the church, " that prayers " are morality; or that kneeling is religion."

PHILO JUNIUS.

LETTER LVI.

FROM THE REVEREND MR. HORNE TO JUNIUS.

I CONGRATULATE you, Sir, on the recovery of your wonted style, though it has cost you a fortnight. I compassionate your labour in the composition of your let-

ters, and will communicate to you the fecret of my fluency.—Truth needs no ornament; and, in my opinion,

what she borrows of the pencil is deformity.

You brought a positive charge against me of corruption. I denied the charge, and called for your proofs. You replied with abuse, and reafferted your charge. I called again for proofs. You reply again with abuse only, and drop your accusation. In your fortnight's letter there is not one word upon the subject of my corruption.

I have no more to fay, but to return thanks to you for your condescension, and to a grateful public and honest ministry for all the favours they have conferred upon me. The two latter, I am sure, will never refuse me any grace I shall solicit; and since you have pleased to acknowledge, that you told a deliberate lie in my favour out of bounty, and as a charitable donation, why may I not expect that you will hereafter (if you do not forget you ever mentioned my name with disrespect) make the same acknowledgment for what you have said to my prejudice?—This second recantation will perhaps be more abhorrent from your disposition; but should you decline it, you will only afford one more instance how much easier it is to be generous than just, and that men are sometimes bountiful who are not honest.

At all events, I am as well fatisfied with your panegyric as Lord Chatham can be. Monument I shall have none; but over my grave it will be faid, in your own words, "Horne's situation did not correspond with his intentions."

John Horne.

LETTER LVII.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD,

Sept. 28. 1771.

211

rif

101

Lo

qu

fra

10

ch

Gi

pr

m

th

St

W

]2

ed

fe

br

TE

B

m

th

W

W

h

h

I

(

THE people of England are not apprifed of the full extent of their obligations to you. They have yet no adequate idea of the endless variety of your character. They have feen you distinguished and successful in the continued violation of those moral and political duties by which the little as well as the great focieties of life are connected and held together. Every colour, every character became you. With a rate of abilities, which Lord Weymouth very justly looks down upon with contempt, you have done as much mischief to the community as Cromwell would have done, if Cromwell had been a coward; and as much as Machiavel, if Machiavel had not known that an appearance of morals and religion are useful in fociety.-To a thinking man, the influence of the crown will, in no view, appear so formidable, as when he observes to what enormous successes it has safely conducted your Grace, without a ray of real understanding, without even the pretentions to common decency or principle of any kind, or a fingle spark of personal resolution. What must be the operation of that pernicious influence (for which our kings have wifely exchanged the nugatory name of prerogative), that, in the highest stations, can so abundantly supply the absence of virtue, courage, and abilities, and qualify a man to be the minister of a great nation, whom a private gentleman would be ashamed and afraid to admit into his family! Like the universal passport of an ambassador, it supersedes the prohibition of the laws, banishes the staple virtues of the country, and introduces vice and folly triumphantly into all the departments of the state. Other princes, besides his Majesty, have had the means of corruption within their reach; but they have used it with moderation. former times, corruption was confidered as a foreign auxiliary to government, and only called in upon extraordinary emergencies.' The unfeigned piety, the fanctified religion, of George the Third, have taught him to newmodel the civil forces of the state. The natural resources

771.

the yet

ter.

the

by

are

rac-

ord

ipt,

as

1 2

had

are

of

as

nd-

or lu-

in-

the

taou-

ter

be

he

0

he

te

in

In

1-

j-

ed

7-

of the crown are no longer confided in. Corruption elitters in the van ;-collects and maintains a standing army of mercenaries, and at the fame moment impovefishes and enflaves the country.—His Majesty's predecesfors excepting that worthy family from which you, my Lord, are unquestionably descended) had some generous qualities in their composition, with vices, I confess, or frailties, in abundance. They were kings or gentlemen, not hypocrites or priests. They were at the head of the church, but did not know the value of their office, They hid their prayers without ceremony; and had too little priestcraft in their understanding, to reconcile the fanctimonious forms of religion with the utter destruction of the morality of their people.—My Lord, this is fact, not declamation—With all your partiality to the house of Stuart, you must confess, that even Charles the Second would have blushed at that open encouragement, at those ager meretricious careffes with which every species of private vice and public proftitution, is received at St. James's-The unfortnuate house of Stuart has been treated with an asperity which, if comparison be a defence, leems to border upon injustice. Neither Charles nor his brother were qualified to support such a system of measures as would be necessary to change the government and subvert the constitution of England.—One of them was too much in earnest in his pleasures—the other in his religion. But the danger to this country would cease to be problematical, if the crown should ever descend to a prince, whose apparent simplicity might throw his subjects off their guard,—who might be no libertine in behaviour, who should have no sense of honour to restrain him,—and who, with just religion enough to impose upon the multitude, might have no scruples of conscience to interfere with his morality. With these honourable qualifications, and the decifive advantage of fituation, low craft and falfehood are all the abilities that are wanting to destroy the wildom of ages, and to deface the noblest monument that human policy has erected. I know fuch a man :- My Lord, I know you both; and with the bleffing of God (for I too am religious), the people of England thall know you as well as I do. I am not very fure that greater abilities would not in effect be an impediment to a delign

he I

lequi

or h

Whi

he j

form

prin

hire

ins

he h

0101

e c

cent

dref

me

who

was

fare

tack

gal

nev

bur

are

ffre

per

mi

pu

ter

tru

te

CT

pr

00

ly

which seems at first fight to require a superior capacity. A better understanding might make him sensible of the wonderful beauty of that system he was endeavouring to corrupt. The danger of the attempt might alarm him. The meanness and intrinsic worthlessness of the object (supposing he could attain it), would fill him with shame, repentance, and disgust. But these are sensations which find no entrance into a barbarous contracted heart. In some men, there is a malignant passion to destroy the works of genius, literature, and freedom. The Vandal and the Monk find equal gratification in it.

Reflections like these, my Lord, have a general relation to your Grace, and inseparably attend you in whatever company or situation your character occurs to us. They have no immediate connection with the following recent fact, which I lay before the public, for the honour of the best of sovereigns, and for the edification of his people.

A prince (whose piety and felf-denial, one would think, might fecure him from fuch, a multitude of worldly necessities), with an annual revenue of near a million Sterling, unfortunately wants money.—The navy of England, by an equally strange concurrence of unforeseen circumstances (though not quite so unfortunately for his Majesty), is in equal want of timber. The world knows in what a hopeful condition you delivered the navy to your fuccessor, and in what condition we found it in the moment of distress. You were determined it should continue in the fituation in which you left it. It happened, however, very luckily for the privy-purse, that one of the above wants promifed fair to fupply the other. Our religious, benevolent, generous fovereign, has no objection to felling his own timber to his own admiralty to repair his own thips, nor to putting the money into his own pocket. People of a religious turn naturally adhere to the principles of the church. Whatever they acquire falls into mortmain.—Upon a representation from the admiralty of the extraordinary want of timber for the indispensable repairs of the navy, the surveyor-general was directed to make a furvey of the timber in all the royal chases and forests in England. Having obeyed his orders with accuracy and attention, he reported, that the finest timber he had anywhere met with, and the properest in every respect for city

the

g to lim.

jea

me.

nich

L

the

idal

tion

ver

hey

the

nk.

ne-

ter-

nd,

ım-Vla-

sin

our

no-

nue

-wc

the eli-

to

his

et. oles

ortthe

airs

e a

ind

ny-

for

he purpoles of the navy, was in Whittlebury Forest, of hich your Grace, I think, is hereditary ranger. In conquence of this report, the usual warrant was prepared at he treasury, and delivered to the surveyor, by which he whis deputy were authorised to cut down any trees in Whittlebury Forest which should appear to be proper for he purposes above mentioned. The deputy, being insomed that the warrant was figned and delivered to his nincipal in London, croffes the country to Northamptonhire, and, with an officious zeal for the public service, beins to do his duty in the forest. Unfortunately for him, had not the warrant in his pocket. The overlight was mormous; and you have punished him for it according-You have infifted, that an active, ufeful officer should e dismissed from his place. You have ruined an innoant man and his family.—In what language shall I adhels fo black, fo cowardly, a tyrant; thou worse than me of the Brunswicks, and all the Stuarts!-To them sho know Lord North, it is unnecessary to say, that he as mean and base enough to submit to you. - This, howper, is but a small part of the fact. After ruining the hiveyor's deputy for acting without the warrant, you atacked the warrant itself. You declared that it was illeal; and swore, in a fit of foaming frantic passion, that it never should be executed. You afferted upon your hosour, that in the grant of the rangership of Whittlebury Forest, made by Charles the Second (whom, with a modesty that would do honour to Mr. Rigby, you me pleased to call your ancestor), to one of his bastards from whom I make no doubt of your descent), the property of the timber is vested in the ranger.—I have examined the original grant; and now, in the face of the public, contradict you directly upon the fact. The very leverse of what you have afferted upon your honour is the muth. The grant, "expressly, and by a particular clause," telerves the property of the timber for the use of the frown.—In spite of this evidence, in defiance of the representations of the admiralty,—in perfect mockery of the notorious diffresses of the English navy, and those equaly prefling and almost equally notorious necessities of your plous fovereign,—here the matter rests. The lords of the teafury recal their warrant; the deputy-furveyor is ruin-

he !

ride

GEN

prei

WOL

to i

But

din

the onl

ere

cri

ng

cor you

to

art

wh

ab

n

tio

lie

fe

YC

P

fc

n

c

h

1

ed for doing his duty;—Mr. John Pitt (whose name I fuppose is offensive to you) submits to be brow-beaten and insulted;—the oaks keep their ground;—the king is defrauded, and the navy of England may perish for want of the best and finest timber in the island. And all this is submitted to—to appease the Duke of Grafton!—to gratify the man who has involved the king and his kingdom in confusion and distress, and who, like a treacherous coward, deserted his sovereign in the midst of it!

There has been a strange alteration in your doctrines, fince you thought it advisable to rob the Duke of Portland of his property, in order to strengthen the interest of Lord Bute's fon-in-law before the last general election Nullum tempus occurrit regi, was then your boafted motto, the cry of all your hungry partifans. Now, it feems, grant of Charles the Second to one of his bastards is to be held sacred and inviolable | It must not be questioned by the King's fervants, nor submitted to any interpretation but your own.-My Lord, this was not the language you held, when it fuited you to infult the memory of the glorious deliverer of England from that detefted family, to which you are still more nearly allied in principle than in blood.—In the name of decency and common fenfe, what are your Grace's merits, either with King or miniftry, that should entitle you to assume this domineering authority over both?—Is it the fortunate confanguinity you claim with the house of Stuart?-Is it the secret correspondence you have for so many years carried on with Lord Bute, by the assiduous assistance of your cream-coloured parafite?-Could not your gallantry find fufficient employment for him in those gentle offices by which he first acquired the tender friendship of Lord Barrington?—Or is it only that wonderful sympathy of manners which subsists between your Grace and one of your superiors, and does so much honour to you both?-Is the union of Blifil and Black George no longer a romance?-From whatever origin your influence in this country axises, it is a phenomenon in the history of human virtue and understanding. - Good men can hardly believe the fact. Wife men are unable to account for it. Religious men find exercise for their faith; and make it he least effort of their piety, not to repine against Pro-

io, o that a linguitie

JUNIUS.

LETTER LVIII.

TO THE LIVERY OF LONDON.

GENTLEMEN.

and

de.

t of

ous

nes,

ort-

reft

on.

tto.

10

s to

ned

tion

you

ily,

han

nie.

ini

ring nity

cret

On

our

find

by

ord

y of

e of

10-

this

hu-

rdly

r it.

ent

Sept. 30. 1771.

If you alone were concerned in the event of the resent election of a chief magistrate of the metropolis, it would be the highest presumption in a stranger to attempt influence your choice, or even to offer you his opinion. But the fituation of public affairs has annexed an extraordinary importance to your resolutions. You cannot, in he choice of your magistrate, determine for yourselves only. You are going to determine upon a point in which nery member of the community is interested. I will not cruple to fay, that the very being of that law, of that ight, of that constitution, for which we have been so long contending, is now at stake. They who would enfoare jour judgment, tell you, it is a common, ordinary case, and to be decided by ordinary precedent and practice. They attfully conclude from moderate peaceable times, to times which are not moderate, and which ought not to be peaceable—While they folicit your favour, they infift upon a rule of rotation which excludes all idea of election.

Let me be honoured with a few minutes of your attention.—The question, to those who mean fairly to the liberty of the people (which we all profess to have in view), lies within a very narrow compass. Do you mean to desert that just and honourable system of measures which you have hitherto pursued, in hopes of obtaining from parliament, or from the crown, a full redress of past grievances, and a security for the suture?—Do you think the cause desperate, and will you declare that you think so to the whole people of England? If this be your meaning and opinion, you will act consistently with it in choosing Mr. Nash.—I profess to be unacquainted with his private character. But he has acted as a magistrate,—as a public man.—As such I speak of him.—I see his name in a protest against one of your remonstrances to

the crown.—He has done every thing in his power to destroy the freedom of popular elections in the city, by publishing the poll upon a former occasion; and I know in general, that he has distinguished himself, by slighting and thwarting all those public measures which you have engaged in with the greatest warmth, and hitherto thought most worthy of your approbation.—From his past conduct, what conclusion will you draw, but that he will act the same part as Lord Mayor which he has invariably acted as Alderman and Sheriss? He cannot alter his conduct without confessing that he never acted upon principle of any kind.—I should be forry to injure the character of a man, who perhaps may be honest in his intention, by supposing it possible that he can ever concur

with you in any political measure or opinion.

If, on the other hand, you mean to persevere in those refolutions for the public good, which, though not always fuccessful, are always honourable, your choice will naturally incline to those men who (whatever they be in other respects) are most likely to co-operate with you in the great purposes which you are determined not to relinguish: - The question is not of what metal your instruments are made; but " whether they are adapted to the work you have in hand?" The honours of the city, in these times, are improperly, because exclusively, called a reward. You mean not merely to pay, but to employ. Are Mr. Crosby and Mr. Sawbridge likely to execute the extraordinary as well as the ordinary duties of Lord Mayor ?- Will they grant you common-halls when it shall be necessary ?- Will they go up with remonstrances to the King ?- Have they firmness enough to meet the fury of a venal-House of Commons?-Have they fortitude enough not to thrink at imprisonment?-Have they fpirit enough to hazard their lives and fortunes in a conteft, if it should be necessary, with a prostituted legislature ?- If these questions can fairly be answered in the affirmative, your choice is made. Forgive this passionate language. I am unable to correct it. The subject comes home to us all.—It is the language of my heart. provide the providence of the

to a public minute. As first I speed of kim. -- 1-100 bis

5. 五. 点

nil

LETTER LIX.

to

by

ing ave

ght

on-

will

bly

on-

rin-

ha-

in-

cur

ole

al-

will

in

in re-

ru-

the

, in

da

815

the

ord

n it

ices

the

rti-

hey

on-

fla-

the

nate

mes

IUS.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

Oct. 1. 1771

No man laments more fincerely than I do, the mhappy differences which have arisen among the friends the people, and divided them from each other. The ause undoubtedly suffers as well by the diminution of hat strength which union carries along with it, as by the parate loss of personal reputation which every man sufains when his character and conduct are frequently held ofth in odious or contemptible colours.——These difbrences are only advantageous to the common enemy of he country.—The hearty friends of the cause are prooked and difgusted.—The lukewarm advocate avails imfelf of any pretence to relapse into that indolent inference about every thing that ought to interest an lightman, so unjustly dignified with the title of modemion.—The false, infidious partisan, who creates or ments the disorder, sees the fruit of his dishonest inaftry ripen beyond his hopes, and rejoices in the promiles of a banquet, only delicious to fuch an appetite as sown.—It is time for those who really mean the Cause and the People, who have no view to private advantage, and who have virtue enough to prefer the general good the community to the gratification of personal animoties—it is time for such men to interpose.—Let us try whether these fatal differtions may not yet be reconciled; or, if that be impracticable, let us guard at least against he worst effects of division, and endeavour to persuade. these furious partisans, if they will not consent to draw byether, to be separately useful to that cause which they pretend to be attached to.—Henour and honefty must not be renounced, although a thousand modes of right and wrong were to occupy the degrees of morality beween Zeno and Epicurus. The fundamental principles Of Christianity may still be preserved, though every zealous sectary adheres to his own exclusive doctrine, and plous ecclefiaftics make it part of their religion to perfetute one another. The civil constitution too, that lea liberty, that general creed which every Englishman

tı

I

ly

cl

m

OI

21

gr

pe

of

W

13

m

11

a

of

60

Co

de

D

101

İW

1 0

ter

ir

lifh

fter

01

Not

trac

60

mar

ot

hor

Per

fa

professes, may still be supported, though Wilkes, and Horne, and Townsend, and Sawbridge, should obstinated by refuse to communicate; and even if the fathers of the church, if Savile, Richmond, Camden, Rockingham, and Chatham, should disagree in the ceremonies of their political worship, and even in the interpretation of twenty texts in Magna Charta.—I speak to the people as one of the people.—Let us employ these men in whatever departments their various abilities are best suited to, and as much to the advantage of the common cause as their different inclinations will permit. They cannot serve us without essentially serving themselves.

If Mr. Nash be elected, he will hardly venture, after fo recent a mark of the personal esteem of his fellow-citizens, to declare himself immediately a courtier. The spirit and activity of the Sheriss, will, I hope, be sufficient to counteract any sinister intentions of the Lord Mayor. In collision with their virtue, perhaps he may

take fire.

It is not necessary to exact from Mr. Wilkes the virtues of a Stoic. They were inconsistent with themselves who, almost at the same moment, represented him as the basest of mankind, yet seemed to expect from him such instances of fortitude and self-denial as would do honour to an apostle. It is not, however, flattery to say, that he is obstinate, intrepid, and fertile in expedients. That he has no possible resource, but in the public favour, is, in my judgment, a confiderable recommendation of him. wish that every man who pretended to popularity were in the same predicament. I wish that a retreat to St Tames's were not so easy and open as patriots have found it. To Mr. Wilkes there is no access. However he may be misled by passion or imprudence, I think he cannot be guilty of a deliberate treachery to the public. The favour of his country constitutes the shield which defends him against a thousand daggers. Desertion would disarm him.

I can more readily admire the liberal spirit and integrity, than the sound judgment, of any man who present a republican form of government, in this or any other empire of equal extent, to a monarchy so qualified and limited as ours. I am convinced, that neither is it in theory the wisest system of government, nor practicable

ind

ite

the

ind

po-

nty

10

de

1 25

dif-

us

fter

ow-

 Γ ho

uffi-

OTO

may

vir-

the

nous

at he

s, 10

1

were St.

ound

may

ot be

vous

him

him

inte

refere

other

d and

it in

icable

in this country. Yet, though I hope the English constitution will for ever preferve its original monarchical form, I would have the manners of the people purely and frictly republican. I do not mean the licentious spirit of anarchy and riot. I mean a general attachment to the common weal, distinct from any partial attachment to persons or families; an implicit submission to the laws only, and in affection to the magistrate, proportioned to the integrity and wisdom with which he distributes justice to his people, and administers their affairs. The present habit of our political body appears to me the very reverse of what it ought to be. The form of the constitution leans ather more than enough to the popular branch; while, in effect, the manners of the people (of those at least who are likely to take a lead in the country) incline too geneally to a dependence upon the crown. The real friends of arbitrary power combine the facts, and are not incon-Ment with their principles when they strenuously support he unwarrantable privileges assumed by the House of Commons. In these circumstances, it were much to be blired, that we had many such men as Mr. Sawbridge b represent us in parliament. I speak from common reort and opinion only, when I impute to him a speculaive predilection in favour of a republic. In the perforconduct and manners of the man, I cannot be mistaen. He has shown himself possessed of that republican mness which the times require, and by which an Eng-In gentleman may be as usefully and as honourably dilinguished as any citizen of ancient Rome, of Athens, or Liacediemon.

Mr. Townsend complains, that the public gratitude has been answerable to his deserts. It is not difficult to take the artifices which have suggested to him a language a unworthy of his understanding. A great man commands the affections of the people. A prudent man does not complain when he has lost them. Yet they are far from being lost to Mr. Townsend. He has treated our opinion a little too cavalierly: A young man is apt to they too considerely upon himself, to be as attentive to his mistress as a polite and passionate lover ought to be. Perhaps he found her at first too easy a conquest. Yet sancy she will be ready to receive him whenever he

thinks proper to renew his addresses. With all his youth, his spirit, and his appearance, it would be indecent in the

p!

ca

je

ti

co

hi

pt

m

cu

DU

for

ing api

fta

due

wa

wh

CUI

dul

n

par

ne

fort

ofte

his

Hil

Am

lady to folicit his return.

I have too much respect for the abilities of Mr. Horne, to flatter myfelf that these gentlemen will ever be cordially reunited. It is not, however, unreasonable to expect that each of them should act his separate part with honour and integrity to the public. As for the differences of opinion upon speculative questions, if we wait until they are reconciled, the action of human affairs must be fuspended for ever. But neither are we to look for perfection in any one man, nor for agreement among many, When Lord Chatham affirms, that the authority of the British legislature is not supreme over the colonies in the fame fense in which it is supreme over Great Britain -When Lord Camden supposes a necessity (which the King is to judge of), and, founded upon that necessity, attributes to the crown a legal power (not given by the act itself) to suspend the operation of an act of the legislature.- I liften to them both with diffidence and respect, but without the smallest degree of conviction or affent. Yet, I doubt not, they delivered their real fentiments; nor ought they to be hastily condemned. I too have a claim to the candid interpretation of my country, when I acknowledge an involuntary, compulsive affent to one very unpopular opinion. I lament the unhappy necessity, whenever it arises, of providing for the safety of the flate, by a temporary invasion of the personal liberty of the subject. Would to God it were practicable to reconcile those important objects, in every possible situation of public affairs !- I regard the legal liberty of the meanest man in Britain as much as my own, and would defend it with the fame zeal. I know we must stand or fall together. But I can never doubt, that the community has a right to command, as well as to purchase, the service of its members. I see that right founded originally upon a necessity, which superfedes all argument. I see it established by usage immemorial, and admitted by more than a tacit affent of the legislature. I conclude there is no remedy, in the nature of things, for the grievance complained of; for, if there were, it must long since have been redreffed. Though numberless opportunities have

'n,

ne

e,

a

0-

es

til

be

et-

ıy.

he

the

ain

the

ity,

the

gif-

ect,

ent.

its;

e a

en I

one

effi-

the

y of

con-

n ot

melt

nd it

loge-

189 2

e of

on a

esta-

than

is no

com-

have

-have

mesented themselves highly favourable to public liberty. no fuccessful attempt has ever been made for the relief of the subject in this article. Yet it has been felt and complained of ever fince England had a navy. The conditions which constitute this right must be taken together. Separately they have little weight. It is not fair to argue, from any abuse in the execution, to the legality of the power; much less is a conclusion to be drawn from the mayy to the land service. A feaman can never be employed but against the enemies of his country. The only ase in which the King can have a right to arm his subects in general, is that of a foreign force being actually anded upon our coast. Whenever that case happens, no rue Englishman will inquire whether the King's right to compel him to defend his country, be the custom of Engand, or a grant of the legislature. With regard to the press for seamen, it does not follow that the symptoms may not be foftened, although the diftemper cannot be ared. Let bounties be increased as far as the public purse can support them. Still they have a limit; and when every reasonable expence is incurred, it will be found, in fact, that the four of the press is wanted to give operation to the bounty.

Upon the whole, I never had a doubt about the ftrict fight of pressing, until I heard that Lord Mansfield had pplauded Lord Chatham for delivering something like his doctrine in the House of Lords. That consideration laggered me not a little. But, upon reflection, his conduct accounts naturally for itself. He knew the doctrine was unpopular, and was eager to fix it upon the man who is the first object of his fear and detestation. The unning Scotchman never speaks truth without a frauwhent defign. In council, he generally affects to take moderate part. Besides his natural timidity, it makes part of his political plan, never to be known to recommend violent measures. When the guards are called both to murder their fellow-subjects, it is not by the Menfible advice of Lord Mansfield. That od ous office. his prudence tells him, is better left to fuch men as Gowand Weymouth, as Barrington and Grafton. Lord hillborough wifely confines his firmness to the distant Americans. The deligns of Mansfie'd are more fultl;

be

bo

hi

of

a i

ex

up

bo

re

no

fro

13

m

be

by

rei

Su

Tai poi

for

no

per

It

Wi

Bu

are

Le

acc

the

bet

24

of

the

more effectual, and fecure. Who attacks the liberty of the press ?- Lord Mansfield .- Who invades the constitutional power of juries?-Lord Mansfield.-What judge ever challenged a juryman, but Lord Mansfield?—Who was that judge, who, to fave the King's brother, affirmed that a man of the first rank and quality, who obtains a verdict in a fuit for criminal conversation, is entitled to no greater damages than the meanest mechanic?-Lord Mansfield.—Who is it makes commissioners of the great feal?-Lord Mansfield.-Who is it forms a decree for those commissioners, deciding against Lord Chatham, and afterwards (finding himself opposed by the judges) declares in parliament, that he never had a doubt that the law was in direct opposition to that decree?-Lord Mansfield.—Who is he that has made it the study and practice of his life to undermine and alter the whole system of jurisprudence in the Court of King's Bench?-Lord Mansfield. There never existed a man but himself, who answered exactly to so complicated a description. Compared to these enormities, his original attachment to the Pretender (to whom his dearest brother was considential fecretary) is a virtue of the first magnitude. But the hour of impeachment will come, and neither he nor Grafton thall escape me. Now let them make common cause against England and the House of Hanover. A Stuart and a Murray should sympathise with each other.

When I refer to fignal instances of unpopular opinions delivered and maintained by men who may well be supposed to have no view but the public good, I do not mean to renew the discussion of such opinions. I should be forry to revive the dormant questions of Stamp-act, Cornbill, or Press-warrant. I mean only to illustrate one useful propolition, which it is the intention of this paper to inculcate; -" That we should not generally reject the " friendship or services of any man because he differs " from us in a particular opinion." This will not appear a superfluous caution, if we observe the ordinary conduct of mankind. In public affairs there is the least chance of a perfect concurrence of fentiment or inclination. Yet every man is able to contribute fomething to the common flock; and no man's contribution should be rejected. If individuals have no virtues, their vices may of

1-

e

10

d

2

to

d

at

10

bi

e-

ne

I-

C-

m

rd

ho

n-

he

ial

ur

on

ise

art

ons

ıp-

an

or-

rn-

le-

to

the

ers

ap-

ary

aft

na-

to

be

nay

be of use to us. I care not with what principle the newborn patriot is animated, if the measures he supports are beneficial to the community. The nation is interested in his conduct. His motives are his own. The properties of a patriot are perishable in the individual; but there is a quick fuccession of subjects, and the breed is worth preserving. The spirit of the Americans may be an useful example to us. Our dogs and horses are only English upon English ground; but patriotism, it seems, may be improved by transplanting. I will not reject a bill which tends to confine parliamentary privilege within reasonable bounds, though it should be stolen from the house of Carendish, and introduced by Mr. Onslow. The features of the infant are a proof of the descent, and vindicate the noble birth from the baseness of the adoption. I willingly accept of a farcaim from Colonel Barre, or a fimile from Mr. Burke. Even the filent vote of Mr. Calcraft s worth reckoning in a division. What though he riots in the plunder of the army, and has only determined to be a patriot when he could not be a peer?—Let us profit by the affistance of such men while they are with us, and place them, if it be possible, in the post of danger, to preent desertion. The wary Wedderburne, the pompous Suffolk, never threw away the scabbard, nor ever went upon a forlorn hope. They always treated the King's ferrants as men with whom, some time or other, they might possibly be in friendship. When a man who stands forth for the public has gone that length from which there is no practicable retreat,—when he has given that kind of personal offence which a pious monarch never pardons, then begin to think him in earnest, and that he never will have occasion to solicit the forgiveness of his country. But instances of a determination so entire and unreserved. are rarely met with. Let us take mankind as they are. Let us distribute the virtues and abilities of individuals. according to the offices they affect; and, when they quit the service, let us endeavour to supply their places with better men than we have loft. In this country, there are aways candidates enough for popular favour. The temple: of fame is the shortest passage to riches and preferment.

Above all things, let me guard my countrymen against the meanness and folly of accepting of a trifling or mode-

rate compensation for extraordinary and effential injuries. Our enemies treat us as the cunning trader does the unskilful Indian. They magnify their generosity, when they give us baubles of little proportionate value, for ivory and gold. The same House of Commons, who robbed the constituent body of their right of free election; who prefumed to make a law, under pretence of declaring it; who paid our good King's debts, without once inquiring how they were incurred; who gave thanks for repeated murders committed at home, and for national infamy incurred abroad; who fcreened Mansfield; who imprisoned the magistrates of the metropolis for afferting the subject's right to the protection of the laws; who erafed a judicial record, and ordered all proceedings in a criminal fuit to be suspended; -This very House of Commons have graciously confented, that their own members may be compelled to pay their debts, and that contested elections shall for the future be determined with some decent regard to the merits of the case. The event of the suit is of no confequence to the crown. While parliaments are septennial, the purchase of the sitting member or of the petitioner makes but the difference of a day.—Concessions, fuch as these, are of little moment to the sum of things; unless it be to prove that the worst of men are sensible of the injuries they have done us, and perhaps to demonstrate to us the imminent danger of our fituation. In the shipwreck of the state, trifles float and are preserved; while every thing folid and valuable finks to the bottom, and is loll for ever.

TUNIUS.

ce

K

ex

th

DC

m

iu

T

ar

m

bi

CI

th

1

e

b

ti

fi

ti

G

-li

V

h

a (

1

LETTER LX.

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

SIR,

Oct. 15. 1771

I am convinced that Junius is incapable of wilfully misrepresenting any man's opinion, and that his inclination leads him to treat Lord Camden with particular candour and respect. The doctrine attributed to him by Junius, as far as it goes, corresponds with that stated by your correspondent Scavola, who seems to make a distinction without a difference. Lord Camden, it is agreed, did certainly maintain, that, in the recess of parliament, the King (by which we all mean the King in council, or the executive power) might suspend the operation of an act of the legislature; and he sounded his doctrine upon a supposed necessity, of which the King, in the first instance, must be judge. The Lords and Commons cannot be judges of it in the first instance, for they do not exist.—

Thus far Junius.

ies.

un-

nev

and

the

re-

vho

ow ur-

BI-

the ct's

cial

t to

gra-

om-

hall I to

onnial.

ner h as

is it

nju-

o us reck

very

loft

IUS.

1771

wil-

s in-

ular

n by

d by

tinc-

But, fays Scævola, Lord Camden made parliament, and not the King, judges of the necessity.- That parliament may review the acts of ministers, is unquestionable; but there is a wide difference between faying that the crown has a legal power, and that ministers may act at their peril. When we fay that an act is illegal, we mean that it is forbidden by a joint resolution of the three estates. How a subsequent resolution of two of those branches can make it legal ab initio, will require explanation. If it could, the consequence would be truly dreadful, especially in these times. There is no act of arbitrary power which the King might not attribute to necesfity, and for which he would not be fecure of obtaining the approbation of his profittuted Lords and Commons. If Lord Camden admits that the subsequent fanction of parliament was necessary to make the proclamation legal, why did he so obstinately oppose the bill which was soon after brought in for indemnifying all those perfons who had acted under it?—If that bill had not been passed, I am ready to maintain, in direct contradiction to Lord Camden's doctrine (taken as Scævola states it), that a litigious exporter of corn, who had fuffered in his property in confequence of the proclamation, might have laid his action against the customhouse officers, and would infallibly have recovered damages. No jury could refuse them; and if I, who am by no means litigious, had been fo injured, I would affuredly have instituted a fuit in Westminster-hall, on purpose to try the question of right. I would have done it upon a principle of defiance of the pretended power of either or both houses to make declarations inconfiftent with law; and I have no doubt that, with an act of parliament on my fide, I should have been too strong for them all. This is the way in which an

L4

Englishman should speak and act; and not suffer dangerous precedents to be established, because the circum-

stances are favourable or palliating.

With regard to Lord Camden, the truth is, that he inadvertently overshot himself, as appears plainly by that unguarded mention of a tyranny of forty days, which I myself heard. Instead of afferting that the proclamation was legal, he should have said, "My Lords, I know the "proclamation was illegal; but I advised it because it "was indispensably necessary to save the kingdom from famine; and I submit myself to the justice and mercy of my country."

Such language as this would have been manly, rational, and confishent;—not unfit for a lawyer, and every way

worthy of a great man.

PHILO JUNIUS.

his

for

vill

ma

mi

and

adı

wil

Co

ne

Hi

*

co

he

Tu

be

ne

ग

in

th

CE

tł

V

"

P. S. If Scævola should think proper to write again upon this subject, I beg of him to give me a direct answer, that is, a plain assirmative or negative, to the following questions:—In the interval between the publishing such a proclamation (or order of council) as that in question, and its receiving the fanction of the two Houses, of what nature is it?—is it legal or illegal? or is it neither one nor the other?—I mean to be candid, and will point out to him the consequence of his answer either way. If it be legal, it wants no farther sanction; if it be illegal, the subject is not bound to obey it; consequently it is a useless nugatory act, even as to its declared purpose. Before the meeting of parliament, the whole mischief, which it means to prevent, will have been completed.

LETTER LXI.

TO ZENO.

SIR

Oct. 17. 1771.

THE fophistry of your letter in defence of Lord Mansfield, is adapted to the character you defend. But Lord Mansfield is a man of form, and feldom in his behaviour transgresses the rules of decorum. I shall imitate

LA

his Lordship's good manners, and leave you in full possesson of his principles. I will not call you liar, jesuit, or villain; but with all the politeness imaginable, perhaps I

may prove you fo.

n-

n-

n-

at I

on he

it

m

ıl,

Y

S.

n

г,

g

1,

15

H

y

.

Like other fair pleaders in Lord Mansfield's school of justice, you answer Junius by misquoting his words, and mistating his propositions. If I am candid enough to admit that this is the very logic taught at St. Omer's, you will readily allow that it is the constant practice in the Court of King's Bench.-Junius does not fay, that he never had a doubt about the strict right of pressing, "till " he knew Lord Mansfield was of the same opinion."— His words are, " Until he heard that Lord Mansfield had applauded Lord Chatham for maintaining that doc-" trine in the House of Lords." It was not the accidental concurrence of Lord Mansfield's opinion, but the suspicious applause given by a cunning Scotchman to the man he detelts, that raised and justified a doubt in the mind of Junius. The question is not, Whether Lord Mansfield be a man of learning and abilities (which Junius has never disputed); but, Whether or no he abuses and misapplies his talents?

Junius did not fay that Lord Mansfield had advised the calling out the guards. On the contrary, his plain meaning is, that he left that odious office to men less cunning than himself.—Whether Lord Mansfield's doctrine concerning libels be or be not an attack upon the liberty of the press, is a question which the public in general are very well able to determine. I shall not enter into it at present. Nor do I think it necessary to say much to a man, who had the daring considence to say to a jury, "Gentlemen, you are to bring in a verdict guilty or not guilty; but whether the defendant be guilty or inno"cent, is not matter for your consideration." Clothe it in what language you will, this is the sum total of Lord Mansfield's doctrine. If not, let Zeno show us the dif-

ference.

But it feems, "the liberty of the press may be abused, "and the abuse of a valuable privilege is the certain means "to lose it." The first I admit:—but let the abuse be submitted to a jury; a sufficient, and indeed the only legal and constitutional check upon the licence of the press.

LS.

you

par

pre

pel

fe &

ref

701

for

lav

lar

Bu

of

pl

Dan

h

T

al

th

I

1

The second I stally deny. In direct contradiction to Lord Mansfield, I affirm, "that the abuse of a valuable priviulege is not the certain means to lose it." If it were, the English nation would have few privileges left; for where is the privilege that has not, at one time or other, been abused by individuals. But it is false in reason and equity, that particular abuses should produce a general forfeiture. Shall the community be deprived of the protection of the laws, because there are robbers and murderers?—Shall the community be punished, because individuals have offended? Lord Mansfield says so, consistently enough with his principles; but I wonder to find him so explicit. Yet, for one concession, however extorted, I confess myself obliged to him:—The liberty of the press is, after all, a valuable privilege. I agree with him most heartily, and will defend it against him.

You ask me, What juryman was challenged by Lord Mansfield? I tell you his name is Benson. When his name was called, Lord Mansfield ordered the clerk to pass him by. As for his reasons, you may ask himself, for he assigned none: but I can tell you what all men thought of it. This Benson had been refractory upon a former jury, and would not accept of the law as delivered by Lord Mansfield; but had the impudence to pretend to think for himself.—But you, it seems, honest Zeno, know nothing of the matter. You never read Junius's letter to your patron: You never heard of the intended instructions from the city to impeach Lord Mansfield: You never heard by what dexterity of Mr. Paterson that measure was prevented. How wonderfully ill some people are informed!

Junius did never affirm, that the crime of seducing the wife of a mechanic or peer, is not the same, taken in a moral or religious view. What he affirmed, in contradiction to the levelling principle so lately adopted by Lord Mansfield, was, "that the damages should be proportion—"ed to the rank and fortune of the parties;" and for this plain reason (admitted by every other judge that ever sat in Westminster-hall), because, what is a compensation or penalty to one man, is none to another. The sophistical distinction you attempt to draw between the person injured, and the person injuring, is Manssield all over. If

bord

TIVI-

the

here

een

lity,

ure.

the

hall

of-

rith

et,

felf

va-

vill

ord

nis

als

he

ht

er

by

to

W

to

e

.

e.

4

you can once establish the proposition, that the injured party is not entitled to receive large damages, it follows pretty plainly, that the party injuring should not be compelled to pay them; confequently the King's brother is effectually screened by Lord Mansfield's doctrine. Your reference to Nathan and David come naturally in aid of your patron's professed system of jurisprudence. He is fond of introducing into the Court of King's Bench any law that contradicts or excludes the common law of England; whether it be canon, civil, jus gentium, or Levitical. But, Sir, the Bible is the code of our religious faith, not of our municipal jurifyrudence; and though it was the pleasure of God to inflict a particular punishment upon David's crime (taken as a breach of the divine commands), and to fend his prophet to denounce it, an English jury have nothing to do either with David or the prophet. They consider the crime only as it is a breach of order, an injury to an individual, and an offence to fociety; and they judge of it by certain positive rules of law, or by the practice of their ancestors. Upon the whole, the man " after God's own heart" is much indebted to you for comparing him to the Duke of Cumberland. That his Royal Highness may be the man after Lord Mansfield's own heart, feems much more probable; and you, I think, Mr. Zeno, might succeed tolerably well in the character of Nathan. The evil deity, the prophet, and the royal finner, would be very proper company for one another.

You say Lord Mansfield did not make the commissoners of the Great Seal, and that he only advised the King to appoint. I believe Junius meant no more; and

the diffinction is hardly worth disputing.

You say he did not deliver an opinion upon Lord Chatham's appeal. I affirm that he did, directly in favour of the appeal.—This is a point of fact, to be determined by evidence only. But you assign no reason for his supposed silence, nor for his desiring a conference with the judges the day before. Was not all Westminster-hall convinced that he did it with a view to puzzle them with some perplexing question, and in hopes of bringing some of them over to him?—You say the commissioners "were "very capable of framing a decree for themselves." By the fact, it only appears, that they were capable of fram-

ing an illegal one; which, I apprehend, is not much to

m

hea

tha

rea

Fo

A

to

fti

bu

lit

ît

re

W

el

ft

th

be

C

C

d

C

n

the credit either of their learning or integrity.

We are both agreed, that Lord Mansfield has inceffantly laboured to introduce new modes of proceeding in the court where he prefides; but you attribute it to an honest zeal in behalf of innocence oppressed by quibble and chicane. I fay, that he has introduced new law too, and removed the land-marks established by former decifions. I fay, that his view is to change a court of common law into a court of equity, and to bring every thing within the arbitrium of a prætorian court. The public must determine between us. But now for his merits. Firft, then, the establishment of the judges in their places for life (which you tell us was advised by Lord Mansfield), was a concesfion merely to catch the people. It bore the appearance of royal bounty, but had nothing real in it. The judges were already for life, excepting in the case of a demise. Your boafted bill only provides, that it shall not be in the power of the King's successor to remove them. At the best, therefore, it is only a legacy, not a gift, on the part of his prefent majefty, fince for himfelf he gives up nothing. -That he did oppose Lord Camden and Lord Northington upon the proclamation against the exportation of corn, is most true, and with great ability. With his talents, and taking the right fide of fo clear a question, it was impossible to speak ill. His motives are not so easily penetrated. They who are acquainted with the state of politics at that period, will judge of them fomewhat differently from Zeno. Of the popular bills, which you fay he supported in the House of Lords, the most material is unquestionably that of Mr. Grenville, for deciding contested elections. But I should be glad to know upon what possible pretence any number of the Upper House could oppose such a bill after it had passed the House of Commons I-I do not pretend to know what there he had in promoting the other two bills; but I am ready to give him all the credit you defire. Still you willi find, that a whole life of deliberate iniquity is ill atoned for, by doing now and then a laudable action upon a mixed or doubtful principle.- If it be unworthy of him, thus ungratefully treated, to labour any longer for the public,

in God's name let him retire. His brother's patron (whose health he once was anxious for) is dead; but the son of that unfortunate prince survives, and, I dare say, will be ready to receive him.

PHILO JUNIUS.

LETTER LXII.

TO AN ADVOCATE IN THE CAUSE OF THE PEOPLE.

SIR, OA. 18. 1771.

You do not treat Junius fairly. You would not have condemned him so hastily, if you had ever read Judge Foster's argument upon the legality of pressing scamen. A man who has not read that argument, is not qualified to speak accurately upon the subject. In answer to strong facts and fair reasoning, you produce nothing but a vague comparison between two things which have little or no resemblance to each other. General warrants. it is true, had been often issued; but they had never been regularly questioned or refisted until the case of Wilkes. He brought them to trial; and the moment mey were tried, they were declared illegal. This is not the case of press-warrants. They have been complained of, questioned, and resisted in a thousand instances; but still the legislature have never interposed, nor has there ever been a formal decision against them in any of the superior courts. On the contrary, they have been frequently recognised and admitted by parliament; and there are judicial opinions given in their favour by judges of the first character. Under the various circumstances stated by Junius, he has a right to conclude for himself, that there is no remedy. If you have a good one to propose, you may depend upon the affiftance and applaule of Junius. The magistrate who guards the liberty of the individual, deserves to be commended. But let him remember, that it is also his duty to provide for, or at least not to hazard, the fafety of the community. If, in the case of a foreign war, and the expectation of an invation, you would rather keep your fleet in harbour, than man it by pressing feamen who refuse the bounty, I have done.

You talk of disbanding the army with wonderful ease

and indifference. If a wifer man held fuch language, I

should be apt to suspect his sincerity.

As for keeping up a much greater number of seamen in time of peace, it is not to be done. You will oppress the merchant, you will distress trade, and destroy the nursery of your seamen. He must be a miserable statesman, who voluntarily by the same act increases the public expence, and lessens the means of supporting it.

PHILO JUNIUS.

cl

M

T

he

pom

ar

T le

P!

to

d

C

11

Ð

N

fa

re

u

n

C

e

e

15

C

2

-

6

I

t

i

LETTER LXIII.

Oct. 22. 1771

A FRIEND of Junius defires it may be observed,

(in answer to A Barrister at Law),

1 mo, That the fact of Lord Mansfield's having ordered a juryman to be passed by (which poor Zeno never heard

of) is now formally admitted.

When Mr. Benson's name was called, Lord Mansfield was blerved to flush in the face (a signal of guilt not uncommon with him), and cried out, "Pass him by." This I take to be fomething more than a peremptory challenge. It is an unlawful command, without any reason assigned. That the counsel did not resist, is true; but this might happen either from inadvertence, or a criminal complaisance to Lord Mansfield. You barristers are too apt to be civil to my Lord Chief Justice, at the expence of your clients.

2de, Junius did never say that Lord Mansfield had destroyed the liberty of the press. "That his Lordship has "laboured to destroy,—that his doctrine is an attack up-"on the liberty of the press,—that it is an invasion of "the right of juries," are the propositions maintained by Junius. His opponents never answer him in point, for they never meet him fairly upon his own ground.

grie, Lord Mansfield's policy, in endeavouring to screen his unconstitutional doctrines behind an act of the legissature, is easily understood.—Let every Englishman stand upon his guard;—the right of juries to return a general verdict, in all cases whatever, is a part of our constitution. It stands in no need of a bill, either enacting or de-

claratory, to confirm it.

n

e

0

1

4to, With regard to the Grosvenor cause, it is pleasant to observe, that the doctrine, attributed by Junius to Lord Mansfield, is admitted by Zeno and directly desended. The barrister has not the assurance to deny it slatly; but he evades the charge, and softens the doctrine by such poor contemptible quibbles as cannot impose upon the

meanest understanding.

5to, The quantity of business in the Court of King's Bench proves nothing but the litigious spirit of the people. arising from the great increase of wealth and commerce. These, however, are now upon the decline, and will soon leave nothing but law-fuits behind them. When Junius affirms that Mansfield has laboured to alter the fystem of jurifprudence in the court where his Lordship presides, he speaks to those who are able to look a little farther than the vulgar. Besides, that the multitude are easily deceived by the imposing names of equity and substantial justice, it does not follow that a judge, who introduces into his court new modes of proceeding, and new principles of law, intends, in every instance, to decide unjustly. Why should he, where he has no interest? -- We say that Lord Mansfield is a bad man, and a worse judge; -but we do not fay that he is a mere devil. Our adversaries would fain reduce us to the difficulty of proving too much .- This artifice, however, shall not avail him. The truth of the matter is plainly this; - When Lord Mansfield has fucceeded in changing a court of common law to a court of equity, he will have it in his power to do injustice whenever he thinks proper. This, though a wicked purpose, is neither ablurd nor unattainable.

6to, The last paragraph relative to Lord Chatham's cause cannot be answered. It partly refers to facts of too secret a nature to be alcertained, and partly is unintelligible. "Upon one point, the cause is decided against Lord Chatham—Upon another point, it is decided for him."—
Both the law and the language are well suited to a barrister!—If I have any guess at this honest gentleman's meaning, it is, That, "whereas the commissioners of the great "leal saw the question in a point of view unsavourable to Lord Chatham, and decreed accordingly.—Lord

"Mansfield, out of theer love and kindness to Lord Cha"tham, took the pains to place it in a point of view more
"favourable to the appellant."—Credat Judaus Appella.
So curious an affection would stagger the faith of Mr. Sylva.

LETTER LXIV.

Nov 2. 1771.

alo

Ge

of wh wa

har

do

mi

bit

fio

fib

im

in

ju

an

ab

pa

m

by

fr

fo

la

m

cl

la

th

ti

C

We are defired to make the following declaration, in behalf of Junius, upon three material points, on which his opinion has been miltaken or mifreprefented.

tmo, Jumus confiders the right of taxing the colonies, by an act of the British legislature, as a speculative right merely, never to be exerted, nor ever to be renounced. To his judgment it appears plain, "That the general reasonings which were employed against that power, went directly to our whole legislative right, and that one part of it could not be yielded to such arguments with-

out a virtual furrender of all the rest."

2do, That, with regard to press-warrants, his arguthent should be taken in his own words, and answered strictly;—that comparisons may sometimes illustrate, but prove nothing; - and that, in this case, an appeal to the paffions is unfair and unnecessary. Junius feels and acknowledges the evil in the most express terms, and will show himself ready to concur in any rational plan that may provide for the liberty of the individual, without hazarding the fafety of the community. At the fame time, he expects that the evil, such as it is, be not exaggerated or mifrepresented. In general, it is not unjust, that, when the rich man contributes his wealth, the poor man should ferve the flate in person; otherwise the latter contributes nothing to the defence of that law and conflictation from which he demands fafety and protection. But the question does not lie between rich and poor. The laws of England make no fuch distinctions. Neither is it true that the poor man is torn from the care and support of a wife and family, helpless without him. The single queffion is, Whether the feaman , in times of public danger, shall ferve the merchant or the state, in that profesfrom to which he was bred, and by the exercise of which ١.

r.

T

alone, he can honestly support himself and his family?-General arguments against the doctrine of necessity, and the dangerous use that may be made of it, are of no weight in this particular case. Necessity includes the idea of inevitable. Whenever it is fo, it creates a law to which all positive laws and all positive rights must give way. In this fense, the levy of ship-money by the King's warrant, was not necessary, because the business might have been as well or better done by parliament. If the doctrine maintained by Junius be confined within this limitation, it will go but very little way in support of arbitrary power. That the King is to judge of the occafion, is no objection, unless we are told how it can posfibly be otherwise. There are other instances, not less important in the exercise, nor less dangerous in the abuse, in which the constitution relies entirely upon the King's judgment. The executive power proclaims war and peace, binds the nation by treaties, orders general embargoes, and imposes quarantines; not to mention a multitude of prerogative writs, which, though liable to the greatest abuses, were never disputed.

3tio, It has been urged as a reproach to Junius, that he has not delivered an opinion upon the game laws, and particularly the late dog act. But Junius thinks he has much greater reason to complain, that he is never affifted by those who are able to assist him; and that almost the whole labour of the press is thrown upon a single hand, from which a discussion of every public question whatfoever is unreasonably expected. He is not paid for his labour, and certainly has a right to choose his employment.-As to the game laws, he never scrupled to declare his opinion, that they are a species of the forest laws; that they are oppressive to the subject; and that the spirit of them is incompatible with legal liberty:-That the penalties imposed by these laws, bear no proportion to the nature of the offence; that the mode of trial, and the degree and kind of evidence necessary to convict, not only deprive the subject of all the benefits of a trial by jury, but are in themselves too summary, and to the last degree arbitrary and oppressive: That, in particular, the late act to prevent dog-stealing, or killing game between fun and fun, are diftinguished by their abfurdity, extravagance, and pernicious tendency. If thele terms are weak or ambiguous, in what language can Iunius express himfelf?—It is no excuse for Lord Mansfield to fay, that he happened to be absent when these bills passed the House of Lords. It was his duty to be present. Such bills could never have paffed the House of Commons without his knowledge. But we very well know by what rule he regulates his attendance. When that order was made in the House of Lords, in the case of Lord Pomfret, at which every Englishman shudders, my honest Lord Mansfield found himself by mere accident, in the Court of King's Bench :- Otherwise he would have done wonders in defence of law and property! The pitiful evasion is adapted to the character. But Junius will never justify himself by the example of this had man, The diffinction between doing wrong, and avoiding to do right, belongs to Lord Mansfield. Junius disclaims it.

LETTER LXV.

TO LORD CHIEF JUSTICE MANSFIELD.

Nov. 2. 1771.

YO.

il

n

p

At the intercession of three of your countrymen, you have bailed a man who, I presume, is also a Scotchman, and whom the Lord Mayor of London had refused to bail. I do not mean to enter into an examination of the partial, finister motives of your conduct; but, confining myself strictly to the fact, I affirm that you have done that which by law you were not warranted to do. The thief was taken in the theft; -the stolen goods were found upon him, and he made no defence. In these circumstances (the truth of which you dare not deny, because it is of public notoriety), it could not stand indifferent, whether he was guilty or not, much less could there be any prefumption of his innocence; and in these circumstances, I affirm, in contradiction to YOU, LORD CHIEF JUSTICE MANSFIELD, that by the laws of England, he was not bailable. If ever Mr. Eyre should be brought to trial, we shall hear what you have to say for yourself; and I pledge myfelf, before God and my country, in proper time and place, to make good my charge against

ing the many content and the later of the animal of Towns.

LETTER LXVI.

not supply themsely tought in

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

Nov. 9. 1771.

JUNIUS engages to make good his charge against Lord Chief Justice Mansfield some time before the meeting of parliament, in order that the House of Commons may, if they think proper, make it one article in the impeachment of the said Lord Chief Justice.

LETTER LXVII.

TO HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF GRAFTON.

MY LORD,

nele

Ju-

ield

oills ent.

by

der

ord

ho-

in

ave

iti-

will an. do

71.

ry-

0 2

ad

12-

ıt,

ou

to

ds

fe

e-

f-

ld

fe.

D

d,

nt

•

n

Noy. 27 1771.

What is the reason, my Lord, that when almost every man in the kingdom, without diffinction of principles or party, exults in the ridiculous defeat of Sir James Lowther; when good and bad men unite in one common opinion of that baronet, and triumph in his diffress, as if the event (without any reference to vice or virtue) were interesting to human nature; your Grace alone should appear to miferably depressed and afflicted? In such univerfal joy, I know not where you will look for a compliment of condolence, unless you appeal to the tender, fympathetic forrows of Mr. Bradshaw. That cream-coloured gentleman's tears, affecting as they are, carry confolation with them. He never weeps but, like an April shower, with a lambent ray of sunshine upon his countenance. From the feelings of honest men upon this joyful oceasion, I do not mean to draw any conclusion to your Grace. They naturally rejoice when they fee a fignal instance of tyranny relisted with success; -of treachery exposed to the derision of the world; -an infamous informer defeated, and an impudent robber dragged to the public gibbet.—But, in the other class of mankind, I own I expected to meet the Duke of Grafton. Men who have

the

mea

vou

the

deci

diat

loft

wit

fult

ties

trel

ón

the

feff

val

for

He

no

be

no

he

D

ele

Rm

er

CI

fu

u

y

I

heartily pleased with Sir James Lowther's well-deserved punishment, as if he did not constitute an example against themselves. The unhappy baronet has no friends, even among those who resemble him. You, my Lord, are not reduced to so deplorable a state of dereliction. Every villain in the kingdom is your friend; and in compliment to such amity, I think you should suffer your dismal countenance to clear up. Besides, my Lord, I am a little anxious for the consistency of your character. You violate your own rules of decorum, when you do not insult the

man whom you have betrayed.

The divine justice of retribution seems now to have begun its progress. Deliberate treachery entails punishment upon the traitor. There is no possibility of escaping it, even in the highest rank to which the consent of society can exalt the meanest and worst of men. The forced, unnatural union of Luttrell and Middlesex was an omen of another unnatural union, by which indefeafible infamy is attached to the house of Brunswick. If one of these acts was virtuous and honourable, the best of princes, I thank God, is happily rewarded for it by the other .-Your Grace, it has been laid, had fome there in recommending Colonel Luttrell to the King; -or was it only the gentle Bradihaw who made himself answerable for the good behaviour of his friend? An intimate connection has long sublisted between him and the worthy Lord Irnham. It arole from a fortunate similarity of principles, cemented by the constant mediation of their common friend Mils Davis g.

Yet I confess I should be sorry that the opprobrious infamy of this match should reach beyond the family.—We have now a better reason than ever to pray for the long life of the best of princes, and the welfare of his royal issue.—I will not mix any thing ominous with my prayers;—but let parliament look to it.—A Luttrell shall never succeed to the crown of England.—If the hereditary virtues of the family deserve a kingdom, Scotland will be

a proper retreat for them.

The next is a most remarkable instance of the goodness of providence. The just law of retaliation has at last overtaken the little contemptible tyrant of the north. To

23

ed

nst

en

ot

ry nt

n-

te

ne,

e-

nt

t,

ty

d,

n le

I

1-

y

e

n

d

-

1

1

2

the fon-in-law of your dearest friend the Earl of Bute you meant to transfer the Duke of Portland's property; and you hastened the grant with an expedition unknown to the Treasury, that he might have it time enough to give a decifive turn to the election for the county. The immediate consequence of this flagitious robbery was, that he loft the election which you meant to ensure to him, and with fuch fignal circumstances of scorn, reproach, and infult (to fay nothing of the general exultation of all parties), as (excepting the King's brother-in-law Colonel Lutrell, and old Simon his father-in-law) hardly ever fell upon a gentleman in this country. In the event, he loses: the very property of which he thought he had gotten posfession, and after an expence which would have paid the value of the land in question twenty times over. The forms of villany, you see, are necessary to its success. Hereafter you will act with greater circumspection, and not drive so directly to your object. To fnatch a grace beyond the reach of common treachery, is an exception, not a rule.

And now, my good Lord, does not your conscious heart inform you, that the justice of retribution begins to operate, and that it may soon approach your person?—Do you think that Junius has renounced the Middlesex election?—or that the King's timber shall be resused to the Royal Navy with impunity;—or that you shall hear no more of the sale of that patent to Mr. Hine, which you endeavoured to screen by suddenly dropping your prosecution of Samuel Vaughan, when the rule against him was made absolute? I believe, indeed, there never was such an instance in all the history of negative impudence.—But it shall not save you.—The very sunshine you live in is a prelude to your dissolution. When you are ripe, you shall be plucked.

JUNIUS.

P. S. I beg you will convey to our gracious master my humble congratulations upon the glorious success of peerages and pensions, so lavishly distributed as the rewards or Irish virtue.

LETTER LXVIII.

TO LORD CHIEF JUSTICE MANSFIELD.

Jan. 21. 1772.

victi

law

ceivi

cour

diltr

of th

tory

ries

lear

whi

and

ftiti

fom

ligio

crec

gua

pov

in (

by

kno

oug

din

no

ed

nic

aff

an

fta

Be

an

tic

ju

po

m

le

ju

01

0

I HAVE undertaken to prove, that when, at the intercession of three of your countrymen, you bailed John Eyre, you did that which by law you were not warranted to do; and that a felon, under the circumstances of being taken in the fact, with the stolen goods upon him, and making no defence, is not bailable by the laws of England. Your learned advocates have interpreted this charge into a denial that the Court of King's Bench, or the judges of that court during the vacation, have any greater authority to bail for criminal offences than a justice of peace. With the instance before me, I am supposed to question your power of doing wrong, and to deny the existence of a power at the same moment that I arraign the illegal exercise of it. But the opinions of such men, whether wilful in their malignity, or fincere in their ignorance, are unworthy of my notice. You, Lord Mansfield, did not understand me so; and, I promise you, your cause requires an abler defence.-I am now to make good my charge against you. However dull my argument, the subject of it is interesting. I shall be honoured with the attention of the public, and have a right to demand the attention of the legislature. Supported as I am by the whole body of the criminal law of England, I have no doubt of establishing my charge. If, on your part, you should have no plain, fubstantial, defence, but should endeavour to shelter yourfelf under the quirk and evalion of a practifing lawyer, or under the mere infulting affertion of power without right, the reputation you pretend to is gone for ever; -you ftand degraded from the respect and authority of your office, and are no longer de jure, Lord Chief Justice of England. This letter, my Lord, is addressed, not so much to you, as to the public. Learned as you are, and quick in apprehension, few arguments are necessary to fatisfy you; that you have done that which by law you were not warranted to do. Your conscience already tells you, that you have finned against knowledge, and that whatever defence you make contradicts your own internal conviction. But other men are willing enough to take the law upon trust. They rely upon your authority, because they are too indolent to fearch for information; or, conceiving that there is some mystery in the laws of their country which lawyers only are qualified to explain, they distrust their judgment, and voluntarily renounce the right of thinking for themselves. With all the evidence of hisfory before them, from Trefillian to Jefferies, from Jefferies to Mansfield, they will not believe it possible that a learned judge can act in direct contradiction to those laws which he is supposed to have made the study of his life, and which he has fworn to administer faithfully. fition is certainly not the characteristic of this age. some men are bigotted in politics who are infidels in religion.—I do not despair of making them ashamed of their credulity.

The charge I brought against you is expressed in terms guarded and well considered. They do not deny the strict power of the judges of the Court of King's Bench to bail in cases not bailable by a justice of peace, nor replevisible by the common writ, or exofficio by the sheriss. I well know the practice of the court, and by what legal rules it ought to be directed. But, far from meaning to soften or diminish the force of those terms I have made use of, I

now go beyond them, and affirm,

1

g

e

S

•

n

f

-

1-

1-

S

e

of

of

of

1-

er

V-

1-

.

of

ce fo

nd a-

re

u,

n-

I. That the superior power of bailing for selony, claimed by the Court of King's Bench, is sounded upon the opinion of lawyers, and the practice of the court;—that the affent of the legislature to this power is merely negative, and that it is not supported by any positive provision in any

statute whatsoever.—If it be, produce the statute.

II. Admitting that the judges of the Court of King's Bench are vested with a discretionary power to examine and judge of circumstances and allegations which a justice of peace is not permitted to consider, I affirm that the judges, in the use and application of that discretionary power, are as strictly bound by the spirit, intent, and meaning, as the justice of peace is by the words, of the legislature. Favourable circumstances, alleged before the judge, may justify a doubt whether the prisoner be guilty or not; and, where the guilt is doubtful, a presumption of innocence should in general be admitted. But, when

crun

mit

fron

WTO

ure

inve

ittei

prae

mod

ulua

nate

ftan

mist

be a

edly

disti

tion

I

mati

the o

A

acti

of li

law

the :

of o

man

" fi

11 20

" ta

" h

" b

" d

w 21

lt w

thoi

the

to.1

witl

indi

hal

inc

any fuch probable circumstances are alleged, they alter the state and condition of the prisoner. He is no longer that all-but-convicted felon whom the law intends, and who by law is not bailable at all. If no circumstances whatsoever are alleged in his favour;—if no allegation whatfoever be made to lessen the force of that evidence which the law annexes to a politive charge of felony, and particularly to the fact of being taken with the maner; I then fay, that the Lord Chief Justice of England has no more right to bail him than a justice of peace. The discretion of an English judge is not of mere will and pleasure ;-it is not arbitrary; -it is not capricious: -but, as that great lawyer (whose authority I wish you respected half as much as I do) truly fays h, " Discretion, taken as it ought to be, is, discernere per legem quid sit justum. If it be not di-" rected by the right line of the law, it is a crooked cord, " and appeareth to be unlawful."-If discretion were arhitrary in the judge, he might introduce whatever novelties he thought proper. But, fays Lord Coke, "Novel-" ties, without warrants of precedents, are not to be al-" lowed; some certain rules are to be followed; — Quicquid judicis authoritati subjicitur, novitati non subjicitur?" and this found doctrine is applied to the Star-chamber, a court confessedly arbitrary. If you will abide by the authority of this great man, you shall have all the advantage of his opinion, wherever it appears to favour you. Excepting the plain express meaning of the legislature, to which all private opinions must give way, I desire no better judge between us than Lord Coke.

III. I affirm, that, according to the obvious indisputable meaning of the legislature, repeatedly expressed, a person positively charged with feloniously stealing, and taken in flagrante delicto, with the stolen goods upon him, is not bailable. The law considers him as differing in nothing from a convict, but in the form of conviction; and (whatever a corrupt judge may do) will accept of no security but the consinement of his body within sour walls. I know it has been alleged in your favour, that you have often bailed for murders, rapes, and other manifest crimes. Without questioning the fact, I shall not admit that you are to be justified by your own example. If that were a protection to you, where is the

at

10

)-

)-

h

1-

n

re

n

it

at

h

e,

li-

d,

r-

1-

1.

ıl-

c-

."

a

u-

ge

t-

ch

ge

ole

on

in.

ot

10-

n;

of

ur

nat

er

all

X-

he

crime, that, as a judge, you might not now fecurely commit? But neither shall I suffer myself to be drawn aside from my present argument, nor you to profit by your own wrong.-To prove the meaning and intent of the legislaure, will require a minute and tedious deduction. To investigate a question of law, demands some labour and attention: though very little genius or fagacity. As a practical profession, the study of the law requires but a moderate portion of abilities. The learning of a pleader is usually upon a level with his integrity. The indiscriminate defence of right and wrong contracts the underfanding, while it corrupts the heart. Subtlety is foon mistaken for wisdom, and impunity for virtue. If-there be any inftances upon record, as some there are undoubtedly, of genius and morality united in a lawyer, they are diffinguished by their fingularity, and operate as exceptions.

I must solicit the patience of my readers. This is no light matter; nor is it any more susceptible of ornament, than the conduct of Lord Mansfield is capable of aggravation.

As the law of bail, in charges of felony, has been exactly ascertained by acts of the legislature, it is at present of little consequence to inquire how it stood at common law before the statute of Westminster. And yet it is worth the reader's attention to observe, how nearly, in the ideas of our ancestors, the circumstance of being taken with the maner approached to the conviction of the felon i. It " fixed the authoritative stamp of verifimilitude upon the " accusation; and, by the common law, when a thief was " taken with the maner (that is, with the thing stolen upon " him, in manu), he might, so detected flagrante delicto, be " brought into court, arraigned and tried, without indictment; as, by the Danish law, he might be taken " and hanged upon the spot, without acculation or trial?" It will foon appear that our statute-law, in this behalf, though less summary in point of proceeding, is directed by the same spirit. In one instance, the very form is adhered to. In offences relating to the forest, if a man was taken with vert k, or venision, it was declared to be equivalent to indictment. To enable the reader to judge for himself, I hall state, in due order, the several statutes relative to bail incriminal cases, or as much of them as may be material

to the point in question, omitting superfluous words. If I misrepresent, or do not quote with fidelity, it will not

rati

any # C

afte

" I u t

he t

the

fere

limi

wit

tho

be c

7

fort " a

" in

" i

" t

1 O

" f

con

of i

mar

ing

him

1 " F

" a

" n

" ir

" tl

" to

ther that

mla

ony

Ť

be difficult to detect me.

1 The statute of Westminster the first, in 1275, sets forth, that " Forasmuch as sheriffs and others, who have staken and kept in prison persons detected of felony, and incontinent have let out by replevin fuch as were " not replevifable, because they would gain of the one or party and grieve the other; and forafmuch as, before " this time, it was not determined which persons were " replevifable, and which not, it is provided, and by the " king commanded, that fuch prisoners, &c. as be taken with the maner, &c. or for manifest offences, shall be in " no wife replevifable by the common writ, nor without " writ "." Lord Coke, in his exposition of the last part of this quotation, accurately diftinguishes between replevy by the common writ, or ex officio, and bail by the King's Bench. The words of the statute certainly do not extend to the judges of that court. But, belides that the reader will foon find reason to think that the legislature, in their intention, made no difference between bailable and replevifable, Lord Coke himself (if he be understood to mean nothing but an exposition of the statute of Westminster, and not to state the law generally, does not adhere to his own distinction. In expounding the other offences, which, by this statute, are declared not replevisable, he constantly uses the words not bailable. "That outlaws, for instance, are not bailable at all; " that persons who have abjured the realm, are attainted " upon their own confession, and therefore not bailable " at all by law; that provers are not bailable; that M notorious felons are not bailable." The reason why the fuperior courts were not named in the statute of Westminster, was plainly this, " because anciently most of the business touching bailment of prisoners for fe 16 lony or misdemeanors, was performed by the sheriffs or special bailiffs of liberties, either by writ, or virtul officia ";" consequently the superior courts had little of no opportunity to commit those abuses which the statut imputes to the theriffs.—With submission to Dr. Black unstance, I think he has fallen into a contradiction; which in terms at least, appears irreconcileable. After enume of ating feveral offences not bailable, he afferts, without any condition or limitation whatfoever o, "All these are " clearly not admissible to bail." Yet, in a few lines after, he fays, "it is agreed that the Court of King's "Bench may bail for any crime whatfoever, according to circumstances of the case." To his first proposition he should have added, by Sheriffs or Justices: otherwise the two propositions contradict each other; with this difference, however, that the first is absolute, the second limited by a confideration of circumstances. I say this without the least intended disrespect to the learned author. His work is of public utility, and should not hastily be condemned.

The statute of 17th Richard II. cap. 10. 1393, sets forth, that "Forasmuch as thieves notoriously defamed, " and others taken with the maner, by their long abiding " in prison, were delivered by charters, and favourable " inquests procured, to the great hinderance of the people, " two men of law shall be assigned, in every commission " of the peace, to proceed to the deliverance of fuch " felons," &c. It feems by this act, that there was a constant struggle between the legislature and the officers of justice. Not daring to admit felons taken with the maner to bail or mainprife, they evaded the law by keeping the party in prison a long time, and then delivering

him without due trial.

ot

ts

ve

y,

re

ne

re

re

he

en in

out

art

evy g's

ex-

the

ire,

ble

ood eft-

ad-

her ple-

;-

nted

tha

why

e of mol fe-

riffs

rtut le or

atute

The statute of 1st Richard III. in 1483, sets forth, that " Forasmuch as divers persons have been daily arrested " and imprisoned for-suspicion of felony, sometime of able " malice, and fometime of a light fuspicion, and so kept " in prison without bail or mainprise, be it ordained, that every justice of peace shall have authority, by his " discretion, to let such prisoners and persons so arrested to bail or mainprise."—By this act it appears, that there had been abuses in matter of imprisonment, and hat the legislature meant to provide for the immediate mlargement of persons arrested on light suspicion of feony.

The statute of 3d Henry VII. in 1486, declares, that lack "under colour of the preceding act of Richard the hich "Third, persons, such as were not mainpernable, were ume oftentimes let to bail or mainprife by justices of the

me

by

mir

tha

cul

Ot

fup

(ta

tra

be

is

un

to

inc

ini

to

far

it

fp

PI

m

f

b

C

t

u

t

6

.

6

repeace, whereby many murderers and felons escaped, the king, &c. hath ordained, that the justices of the peace, or two of them at least (whereof one to be of the quorum), have authority to let any such prisoners or persons, mainpernable by the law, to bail or main-

" prife." The statute of 1st and 2d of Philip and Mary, in 1554, fets forth, that, " notwithstanding the preceding " statute of Henry the Seventh, one justice of peace hath oftentimes, by finister labour and means, set at large " the greatest and notablest offenders, such as be not re-" plevisable by the laws of this realm; and yet, the ra-" ther to hide their affections in that behalf, have figned the cause of their apprehension to be but only for "fuspicion of felony, whereby the faid offenders have " escaped unpunished, and do daily, to the high displea-" fure of Almighty God, the great peril of the king and " queen's true subjects, and encouragement of all thieves " and evil-doers; for reformation whereof be it en-" acted, that no justices of peace shall let to bail or "mainprise any such persons, which, for an offence by " them committed, be declared not to be replevifed, or " bailed, or be forbidden to be replevifed or bailed by " the statute of Westminster the first; and furthermore, " that any persons, arrested for manslaughter, selony, being bailable by the law, shall not be let to bail or mainprise by any justices of peace, but in the form therein after prescribed."-In the two preceding statutes, the words bailable, replevisable, and mainpernable, are used synonymously p, or promiscuously, to express the fame fingle intention of the legislature, viz. not to accept of any fecurity but the body of the offender; and when the latter statute prescribes the form in which perfons arrested on suspicion of felony (being bailable by the law) may be let to bail, it evidently supposes, that there are some cases not bailable by the law.—It may be thought, perhaps, that I attribute to the legislature an appearance of inaccuracy in the use of terms, merely to ferve my present purpose. But in truth it would make more forcibly for my argument, to prefume, that the legiflature were constantly aware of the strict legal distinction between bail and replevy, and that they always i,

9

of

27

1-

n

g

e-

3-

d

10

70

2-

ld

es

n-

or

by

or

by

e,

y,

or

m

2-

le,

he

C-

nd

er-

he

Te

be

p-

to

ke

le-

iys

meant to adhere to it 9. For if it be true that replevy is by the sheriffs, and bail by the higher courts at Westminster (which I think no lawyer will deny), it follows, that when the legislature expressly says, that any particular offence is by law not bailable, the superior courts are comprehended in the prohibition, and bound by it. Otherwise, unless there was a positive exception of the superior courts (which I affirm there never was in any ftatute relative to bail), the legislature would grossly contradict themselves, and the manifest intention of the law be evaded. It is an established rule, that when the law is special, and reason of it general, it is to be generally understood; and though by custom a latitude be allowed to the Court of King's Bench (to confider circumstances inductive of a doubt, whether the priloner be guilty or innocent), if this latitude be taken as an arbitrary power to bail, when no circumstances whatsoever are alleged in favour of the prisoner, it is a power without right, and a

daring violation of the whole English law of bail.

The act of the 31st of Charles the Second (commonly called the Habeas Corpus act) particularly declares, that it is not meant to extend to treason or felony plainly and specially expressed in the warrant of commitment. prisoner is therefore left to seek his habeas corpus at common law; and fo far was the legislature from supposing that persons (committed for treason or felony plainly and specially expressed in the warrant of commitment) could be let to bail by a fingle judge, or by the whole court, that this very all provides a remedy for fuch persons, in case they are not indicted in the course of the term or fessions subsequent to their commitment. The law neither fuffers them to be enlarged before trial, nor to be imprisoned after the time in which they ought regularly to be tried. In this case the law says, " It shall and may " be lawful to and for the judges of the Court of King's " Bench, and justices of over and terminer, or general " gaol delivery, and they are hereby required, upon mo-" tion to them made in open court, the last day of the " term, session, or gaol delivery, either by the prisoner " or any one in his behalf, to fet at liberty the prisoner " upon bail; unless it appear to the judges and justices, upon oath made, that the witnesses for the king could

M 3

a

" i

by 1

real

" (

16]

"

45

ref

of

th

th

ec

to

of

to

2

b

b

" not be produced the fame term, fessions, or gaol-deli-" very."-Upon the whole of this article, I observe, 1. That the provision made in the first part of it, would be, in a great measure, useless and nugatory, if any single judge might have bailed the prisoner ex arbitrio during the vacation; or if the court might have bailed him immediately after the commencement of the term or felfions .- 2. When the law fays, It shall and may be lawful to bail for felony under particular circumstances, we must presume, that before the passing of that act, it was not lawful to bail under those circumstances. used by the legislature are enacting, not declaratory.-3. Notwithstanding the party may have been imprisoned during the greatest part of the vacation, and during the whole fession, the court are expressly forbidden to bail him from that fession to the next, if oath be made that the witnesses for the king could not be produced that same term or feffions.

Having faithfully stated the several acts of parliament relative to bail in criminal cases, it may be useful to the reader to take a short historical review of the law of bail, through its various gradations and improvements.

By the ancient common law, before and fince the Conquest, all felonies were bailable, till murder was excepted by ftatute; fo that persons might be admitted to bail, before conviction, almost in every case. The statute of Westminster fays, that before that time, it had not been determined which offences were replevifable, and which were not, whether by the common writ de homine replegiando, or ex officio by the sheriff. It is very remarkable, that the abuses arising from this unlimited power of replevy, dreadful as they were, and destructive to the peace of fociety, were not corrected or taken notice of by the legislature, until the Commons of the kingdom had obtained a share in it by their representatives; but the House of Commons had scarce begun to exist, when these formidable abuses were corrected by the statute of Westminster. It is highly probable, that the milchief had been severely felt by the people, although no remedy had been provided for it by the Norman kings or barons. "The iniquity of the times was fo great, as it " even forced the subjects to forego that, which was in " account a great liberty, to stop the course of a grow" ing mischies." The preamble to the statutes, made
by the sirst parliament of Edward the First, assigns the
reason of calling it, " because the people had been
" otherwise entreated than they ought to be, the peace
" less kept, the laws less used, and offenders less punish" ed, than they ought to be, by reason whereof the
" people feared less to offend:" and the first attempt to
reform these various abuses, was by contracting the power

of replevying felons.

leli-

rve,

bluc

igle

ing

im-

fef.

ful

we

Was

ms

-

red

he

ail

iat

ne

nt

he

il,

he

X-

to

a-

d

d

e

For above two centuries following, it does not appear that any alteration was made in the law of bail, except that being taken with vert or venison was declared to be equivalent to indictment. The legislature adhered firmly to the spirit of the statute of Westminster. The statute of 27th of Edward the First, directs the justices of affize to inquire and punish officers bailing such as were not bailable. As for the judges of the superior courts, it is probable, that in those days they thought themselves bound by the obvious intent and meaning of the legislature. They confidered not fo much to what particular persons the prohibition was addressed, as what the thing was which the legislature meant to prohibit; well knowing, that in law quando aliquid prohibetur, prohibetur et omne, per quod devenitur ad illud. " When any thing is forbidden, " all the means by which the fame thing may be com-" passed or done, are equally forbidden."

By the statute of Richard the Third, the power of bailing was a little enlarged. Every justice of peace was authorised to bail for felony; but they were expressly confined to persons arrested on light suspicion; and even this power, so limited, was found to produce such inconveniencies, that, in three years after, the legislature sound it necessary to repeal it. Instead of trusting any longer to a single justice of peace, the act of 3d Henry VII. repeals the preceding act, and directs, "that no prisoner (of those "who are mainpernable by the law) shall be let to bail "or mainprise by less than two justices, whereof one to be of the quorum." And so indispensably necessary was this provision thought for the administration of justice, and for the security and peace of society, that at this time an oath was proposed by the king, to be taken by the

the

of

jul

lov

tia

th

"

n

b

knights and esquires of his household, by the members of the House of Commons, and by the peers spiritual and temporal, and accepted and sworn to quasi una voce by them all; which, among other engagements, binds them "not to let any man to bail or mainprise, knowing and deeming him to be a felon, upon your honour and wor-

Thip. So help you God and all faints t."

In about half a century, however, even these provisions were found insufficient. The act of Henry the Seventh was evaded, and the legislature once more obliged to interpose. The act of 1st and 2d of Philip and Mary, takes away entirely from the justices all power of bailing for offences declared not bailable by the statute of Westminster.

The illegal imprisonment of feveral persons who had refused to contribute to a loan exacted by Charles the First, and the delay of the babeas corpus, and subsequent refusal to bail them, constituted one of the first and most important grievances of that reign. Yet when the House of Commons, which met in the year 1628, resolved upon measures of the most firm and strenuous resistance to the power of imprisonment assumed by the king or privycouncil, and to the refusal to bail the party on the return of the babeas corpus, they did expressly, in all their resolutions, make an exception of commitments, where the cause of the restraint was expressed, and did by law justify the commitment. The reason of the distinction is, that whereas, when the cause of commitment is expresfed, the crime is then known, and the offender must be brought to the ordinary trial; if, on the contrary, no cause of commitment be expressed, and the prisoner be thereupon remanded, it may operate to perpetual impriforment. This contest with Charles the First produced the act of the 16th of that king; by which the Court of King's Bench are directed, within three days after the return to the habeas corpus, to examine and determine the legality of any commitment by the king or privy-council, and to do what to justice shall appertain, in delivering, bailing, or remanding the prisoner. Now, it seems, it is unnecessary for the judge to do what appertains to justice. The fame fcandalous traffic, in which we have feen the privilege of parliament exerted or relaxed, to gratify the present humour, or to serve the immediate purpose of the crown, is introduced into the administration of justice. The magistrate, it seems, has now no rule to follow, but the dictates of personal enmity, national par-

tiality, or perhaps the most prostituted corruption.

18

nd

by

m

nd

r-

15

1-

T

To complete this historical inquiry, it only remains to be observed, that the habeas corpus act of 31st of Charles the Second, so justly considered as another Magna Charta of the kingdom ", " extends only to the case of commit-" ments for fuch criminal charge as can produce no in-" convenience to public justice by a temporary enlargement of the prisoner." So careful were the legislature, at the very moment when they were providing for the liberty of the subject, not to furnish any colour or pretence for violating or evading the established law of beil in the higher criminal offences. But the exception, stated in the body of the act, puts the matter out of all doubt. After directing the judges how they are to proceed to the discharge of the prisoner upon recognizance and surety, having regard to the quality of the prisoner and nature of the offence, it is expressly added, " unless it shall appear " to the faid Lord Chancellor, &c. that the party fo " committed is detained for fuch matters or offences, for " the which, BY THE LAW, THE PRISONER IS NOT BAIL-" ABLE."

When the laws, plain of themselves, are thus illustrated by facts, and their uniform meaning established by history, we do not want the authority of opinions, however respectable, to inform our judgment, or to confirm our belief. But I am determined that you shall have no escape. Authority of every fort shall be produced against you, from Jacob to Lord Coke, from the Dictionary to the Classic. In vain shall you appeal from those upright judges whom you disdain to imitate, to those whom you have made your example. With one voice they all condemn you.

"To be taken with the maner, is where a thief, have ing stolen any thing, is taken with the same about him, as it were in his hands, which is called flagrante delictor." Such a criminal is not bailable by law."—Jacob, under

the word Maner.

[&]quot;Those who are taken with the maner are excluded,

by the statute of Westminster, from the benefit of a

" replevin."-Hawkins, P. C. ii. 98.

"Of fuch heinous offences, no one, who is notoriously guilty, seems to be bailable by the intent of this statute."

Ditto, ii. 00.

"The common practice and allowed general rule is, that bail is only then proper where it stands indifferent whether the party were guilty or innocent."—Ditto,

ditto.

"There is no doubt, but that the bailing of a person, who is not bailable by law, is punishable, either at common law as a negligent escape, or as an offence

"against the several statutes relative to bail."—Ditto, 89.
"It cannot be doubted, but that neither the judges of this, nor of any other superior court of justice, are strictly within the purview of that statute; yet they will always, in their discretion, pay a due regard to it, and not admit a person to bail, who is expressly desclared by it irreplevisable, without some particular circumstance in his favour; and therefore it seems dissince to find an instance, where persons, attainted of sellony, or notoriously guilty of treason or manslaughter, &c. by their own confession, or otherwise, have been admitted to the benefit of bail, without some special

" motive to the court to grant it."-Ditto, 114.

"If it appears that any man hath injury or wrong by his imprisonment, we have power to deliver and discharge him; if otherwise, he is to be remanded by us to prison again."—Lord Ch. J. Hyde; State Trials, vii.

"The statute of Westminster was especially for direc-"tion to the sheriffs and others; but to say courts of "justice are excluded from this statute, I conceive it

cannot be."-Attorney General Heath, ditto, 132.

"The court, upon view of the return, judgeth of the fufficiency or insufficiency of it. If they think the prifoner in law to be bailable, he is committed to the
"Marshal and bailed; if not, he is remanded." Through the whole debate, the objection on the part of the prisoner was, that no cause of commitment was expressed in the warrant; but it was uniformly admitted by their counsel, that, if the cause of commitment had been expressed for

treason or felony, the court would then have done right

in remanding them.

f 2

ully

e."

IS,

ent

to,

n,

at

ce

9.

of

re

t,

-

.,

1

The Attorney General having urged, before a committee of both Houses, that, in Beckwith's case and others, the lords of the council sent a letter to the Court of King's Bench to bail, it was replied by the managers of the House of Commons, that this was of no moment; "for that either the prisoner was bailable by the law, or not bail," able. If bailable by the law, then he was to be bailed without any such letter; if not bailable by the law, then plainly the judges could not have bailed him up on the letter, without breach of their oath, which is, that they are to do justice according to the law, &c."—State Trials, vii. 175.

"So that in bailing upon such offences of the highest nature, a kind of discretion, rather than a constant law, hath been exercised, when it stands wholly indifferent in the eye of the court whether the prisoner be guilty

" or not." - Selden, St. Tr. vii. 230. 1 .-

"I deny that a man is always bailable when imprisonment is imposed upon him for custody." Attorney Gemeral Heath, ditto, 238.—By these quotations from the
State Trials, though otherwise not of authority, it appears
plainly, that, in regard to bailable or not bailable, all parties agreed in admitting one proposition as incontrovertible.

"In relation to capital offences, there are especially these acts of parliament that are the common landmarks v touching offences bailable or not bailable."
Hale, ii. P. C. 127. The enumeration includes the several acts cited in this paper.

"Persons taken with the manœuvre are not bailable, because it is furtum manifestum."—Hale, ii. P. C. 133.

"The writ of habeas corpus is of a high nature: for if persons be wrongfully committed, they are to be discharged upon this writ returned; or, if bailable, they are to be bailed; if not bailable, they are to be committed." Hale, ii. P. C. 143. This doctrine of Lord Chief Justice Hale refers immediately to the superior courts from whence the writ issues.—"After the result turn is filed, the court is either to discharge, or bail,

" or commit him, as the nature of the cause requires."

op

of

a

n

I

-Hale, ii. P. C. 146.

"If bail be granted otherwise than the law alloweth, the party that alloweth the same shall be fined, imprisoned, render damages, or forfeit his place, as the

" cafe shall require." Selden by N. Bacon, 182.

"This induces an absolute necessity of expressing, upon every commitment, the reason for which it is made; that the court, upon a habeas corpus, may examine into its validity, and, according to the circumstances of the case, may discharge, admit to bail, or remand the prisoner."—Blackstone, iii. 133.

"Marriot was committed for forging indorsements upon bank-bills, and upon a habeas corpus was bailed,

" because the crime was only a great misdemeanor;—
" for though the forging the bills be felony, yet forging

66 the indorsement is not."-Salkeld, i. 104.

"Apell de Mahem, &c. ideo ne fuit lesse a baille, "nient plus que in appell de robbery ou murder; quod "nota, et que in robry et murder le partie n'est baillable."

-Bro Mainprife, 67.

"The intendment of the law in bail is, Quod stat indifferenter, whether he be guilty or no; but when he is
convicted by verdict or confession, then he must be
deemed in law to be guilty of the felony, and therefore
not bailable at all."—Coke, ii. Inst. 188.—iv. 178.

" Bail is quando flat indifferenter, and not when the of-

" fence is open and manifest."—ii. Inft. 189.

"In this case, non stat indifferenter whether he be guilty or no; being taken with the maner, that is with the thing stolen, as it were in his hand."—Ditto, ditto.

"If it appeareth that this imprisonment be justand lawful, he shall be remanded to the former gaoler; but if it shall appear to the court that he was imprisoned against the law of the land, they ought, by force of this statute, to deliver him; if it be doubtful and under

" consideration, he may be bailed."—ii. Inft. 55.

It is unnecessary to load the reader with any farther quotations. If these authorities are not deemed sufficient to establish the doctrine maintained in this paper, it will be in vain to appeal to the evidence of law-books, or to the

opinions of judges. They are not the authorities by which Lord Mansfield will abide. He assumes an arbitrary power of doing right; and if he does wrong, it lies only between God and his conscience.

h,

n-

36

n

S

,

Now, my Lord, although I have great faith in the preceding argument, I will not fay that every minute part of it is absolutely invulnerable. I am too well acquainted with the practice of a certain court, directed by your example, as it is governed by your authority, to think there ever yet was an argument, however conformable to law and reason, in which a cunning, quibbling attorney might not discover a flaw. But taking the whole of it together, I affirm, that it constitutes a mass of demonstration, than which nothing more complete or fatisfactory can be offered to the human mind. How an evalive, indirect reply will stand with your reputation, or how far it will answer, in point of defence, at the bar of the House of Lords, is worth your confideration. If, after all that has been faid, it should still be maintained, that the Court of King's Bench, in bailing felons, are exempted from all legal rules whatfoever; and that the judge has no direction to purfue but his private affections, or mere unquestionable will and pleasure; it will follow plainly, that the distinction between bailable and not bailable, uniformly expressed by the legislature, current through all our law-books, and admitted by all our great lawyers without exception, is in one sense a nugatory, in another a pernicious distinction. It is nugatory, as it supposes a difference in the bailable quality of offences, when, in effect, the distinction refers only to the rank of the magistrate. It is pernicious, as it implies a rule of law, which yet the judge is not bound to pay the least regard to; and impresses an idea upon the minds of the people, that the judge is wifer and greater than the law.

It remains only to apply the law, thus stated, to the fact in question. By an authentic copy of the mittimus it appears, that John Eyre was committed for selony, plainly and specially expressed in the warrant of commitment. He was charged before Alderman Halisax, by the oath of Thomas Fielding, William Holder, William Payne, and William Nash, for seloniously stealing eleven quires of writing paper, value six shillings, the property of Thomas:

Suc

alw

was

bee

My

tali

fub

all

a P

Y

of

as

me

fel

fel

on

ha

try

tu

in

le

m

T

tr

it

A

t

d

1

Beach, &c. - By the examination supon oath of the four perfons mentioned in the mittimus, it was proved, that large quantities of paper had been missed, and that eleven quires (previously marked from a suspicion that Eyre was the thief) were found upon him. Many other quires of paper, marked in the fame manner, were found at his lodg. rogs; and after he had been some time in Wood-street Compter, a key was found in his room there, which appeared to be a key to the closet at Guildhall, from whence the paper was stolen. When asked what he had to say in his defence, his only answer was, "I hope you will bail me." Mr. Holder, the clerk, replied, "That is impossible. There never was an instance of it, when the " stolen goods were found upon the thief." The Lord Mayor was then applied to, and refused to bail him. - Of all these circumstances, it was your duty to have informed yourself minutely. The fact was remarkable; and the chief magistrate of the city of London was known to have refused to bail the offender. To justify your compliance with the folicitations of your three countrymen, it should be proved that fuch allegations were offered to you in behalf of their affociate, as honestly and bona fide reduced it to a matter of doubt and indifference whether the prisoner was innocent or guilty. Was any thing offered by the Scotch triumvirate that tended to invalidate the positive charge made against him by four credible withesses upon oath?—Was it even infinuated to you, either by himfelf or his bail, that no felony was committed; -or that he was not the felon; -that the stolen goods were not found upon him ;—or that he was only the receiver, not knowing them to be stolen?—Or, in short, did they attempt to produce any evidence of his infanity?-To all these queftions I answer for you, without the least fear of contradiction, positively NO. From the moment he was arrested, he never entertained any hope of acquittal; therefore thought of nothing but obtaining bail, that he might have time to fettle his affairs, convey his fortune into another country, and spend the remainder of his life in comfort and affluence abroad. In this prudential scheme of future happiness, the Lord Chief Justice of England most readily and heartily concurred. At fight of fo much virtue in diffress, your natural benevolence took the alarm.

Such a man as Mr. Eyre, struggling with adversity, must always be an interesting scene to Lord Mansfield .- Or, was it that liberal anxiety, by which your whole life has been distinguished, to enlarge the liberty of the subject ?-My Lord, we did not want this new instance of the liberality of your principles. We already knew what kind of subjects they were for whose liberty you were anxious. At all events, the public are much indebted to you for fixing a price at which felony may be committed with impunity. You bound a felon, notorioully worth 30,000l. in the fum of 300l. With your natural turn to equity, and knowing as you are in the doctrine of precedents, you undoubtedly meant to fettle the proportion between the fortune of the felon and the fine, by which he may compound for his felony. The ratio now upon record, and transmitted to posterity under the auspices of Lord Mansfield, is exactly one to a hundred.-My Lord, without intending it, you have laid a cruel restraint upon the genius of your countrymen. In the warmest indulgence of their passions, they have an eye to the expence; and if their other virtues fail us, we have a resource in their economy.

By taking so trifling a security from John Eyre, you invited and manifestly exhorted him to escape. Although, in bailable cases, it be usual to take four securities, you left him in the custody of three Scotchmen, whom he might have easily satisfied for conniving at his retreat. That he did not make use of the opportunity you industriously gave him, neither justifies your conduct, nor can it be any way accounted for but by his excessive and monstrous avarice. Any other man but this bosom-friend of three Scotchmen, would gladly have facrificed a few hundred pounds, rather than to fubmit to the infamy of pleading guilty in open court. It is possible indeed that he might have flattered himself, and not unreasonably, with the hopes of a pardon. That he would have been pardoned, feems more than probable, if I had not directed the public attention to the leading step you took in favour of him. In the present gentle reign, we well know what use has been made of the lenity of the court and of the mercy of the crown. The Lord Chief Justice of England accepts of the hundredth part of the property of a felon taken in the fact, as, a recognizance for his appearance. Your brother Smythe browbeats a jury, and forces them to alter their verdict, by which they had found a Scotch ferjeant guilty of murder; and though the Kennedies were convicted of a most deliberate and atrocious murder, they still had a claim to the royal mercy.-They were faved by the chastity of their connections .- They had a fifter; -yet it was not her beauty, but the pliancy of her virtue, that recommended her to the king .- The holy Author of our religion was feen in the company of finners; but it was his gracious purpose to convert them from their fins. Another man, who in the ceremonies of our faith might give lessons to the great enemy of it, upon different principles keeps much the same company. He advertifes for patients, collects all the diseases of the heart, and turns a royal palace into an hospital for incurables. -A man of honour has no ticket of admission at St. James's .- They receive him like a virgin at the Magdalene's ;-" Go thou and do likewise."

MY

in '

ens

ry

nai

the

th

ce

po

m

tl

u

C

t

. My charge against you is now made good. I shall, however, be ready to answer or to submit to fair objections. If, whenever this matter shall be agitated, you suffer the doors of the House of Lords to be shut, I now protest, that I shall consider you as having made no reply. From that moment, in the opinion of the world, you will fland felf-convicted. Whether your reply be quibbling and evalive, or liberal and in point, will be matter for the judgment of your peers ;-but if, when every possible idea of difrespect to that noble house (in whose honour and justice the nation implicitly confides) is here most solemnly disclaimed, you should endeavour to represent this charge as a contempt of their authority, and move their Lordships to censure the publisher of this paper, I then affirm. that you support injustice by violence, that you are guilty of a heinous aggravation of your offence, and that you contribute your utmost influence to promote on the part of the highest court of judicature, a positive denial of justice to the nation.

The state of the same of the same

CHEST THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE

grant our principle and refer the property of

AND LONG PLANS AND MENTINE CONTROL OF THE PARTY.

LETTER LXIX

TO THE RIGHT HONOURABLE LORD CAMBDEN.

MY LORD,

nd

nd n-

us

ey

ey

cy

1e

of

m

of

n

e

t,

I TURN with pleasure from that barren waste. in which no falutary plant takes root, no verdure quickens, to a character fertile, as I willingly believe, in every great and good qualification. I call upon you, in the name of the English nation, to stand forth in defence of the laws of your country, and to exert, in the cause of truth and justice, those great abilities with which you were intrusted for the benefit of mankind. To ascertain the facts fet forth in the preceding paper, it may be necessary to eall the persons mentioned in the mittimus to the bar of the House of Lords. If a motion for that purpose should be rejected, we shall know what to think of Lord Mansfield's innocence. The legal argument is fubmitted to your Lordship's judgment. After the noble stand you made against Lord Mansfield upon the question of libel, we did expect that you would not have suffered that matter to have remained undetermined. But it was faid that Lord Chief Justice Wilmot had been prevailed upon to vouch for an opinion of the late Judge Yates, which was supposed to make against you; and we admit of the excuse. When such detestable arts are employed to prejudge a question of right, it might have been imprudent at that time to have brought it to a decision. In the present instance, you will have no such opposition to contend with. If there be a judge, or a lawyer of any note in Westminster-hall, who shall be daring enough to affirm, that, according to the true intendment of the laws of England, a felon, taken with the maner, in flagrante delicto, is bailable; or that the diferetion of an English judge is merely arbitrary, and not governed by rules of law ;-I should be glad to be acquainted with him. Whoever he be, I will take care that he shall not give you much trouble. Your Lordship's character affures me that you will assume that principal part which belongs to you, in supporting the laws of England against a wicked judge, who makes it the occupation of his life to misinterpret and pervert them. If you decline this honourable office, I fear it will be faid, that, for some months past, you have kept too much company with the Duke of Graston. When the contest turns upon the interpretation of the laws, you cannot, without a formal surrender of all your reputation, yield the post of honour even to Lord Chatham. Considering the lituation and abilities of Lord Mansfield, I do not scruple to affirm, with the most solemn appeal to God for my sincerity, that, in my judgment, he is the very worst and the most dangerous man in the kingdom. Thus far I have done my duty in endeavouring to bring him to punishment. But mine is an inferior, ministerial office in the temple of justice:—I have bound the victim, and dragged him to the altar.

TUNIUS.

"

"

"

66

*

-

.

THE Reverend Mr. John Horne having, with his usual veracity and honest industry, circulated a report that Junius, in a letter to the supporters of the bill of rights, had warmly declared himself in favour of long parliaments and rotten boroughs, it is thought necessary to submit to the public the following extract from his letter to John Wilkes, Esq. dated the 7th of September 1771, and laid before the society on the 24th of the same month.

"WITH regard to the feveral articles, taken feparate-"Iy, I own I am concerned to fee, that the great conof dition which ought to be the fine qua non of parliamentary qualification,—which ought to be the bafis (as it " affuredly will be the only support) of every barrier raifed in defence of the constitution, I mean a declaration " upon oath to shorten the duration of parliaments, is reof duced to the fourth rank in the esteem of the society; and, even in that place, far from being infifted on " with firmness and vehemence, seems to have been parti-" cularly flighted in the expression, 'You shall endeavour to restore annual parliaments!'-Arethese theterms which " men, who are in earnest, make use of, when the falus " reipublica is at stake?—I expected other language from Mr. Wilkes.—Belides my objection in point of form, " I disapprove highly of the meaning of the fourth article vou

on.

the

our

12-

ord

nn

he

g-

to

11-

he

13.

h

of.

15

T

e

" as it stands. Whenever the question shall be seriously " agitated, I will endeavour (and if I live, will afforedly " attempt it) to convince the English nation, by argu-" ments to my understanding unanswerable, that they " ought to infift upon a triennial, and banish the idea of " convinced, that if shortening the duration of parliaments " (which in effect is keeping the representative under the " rod of the constituent) be not made the basis of our " new parliamentary jurisprudence, other checks or improvements fignify nothing. On the contrary, if this " be made the foundation, other measures may come in aid " and as auxiliaries; be of confiderable advantage. Lord " Chatham's project, for instance, of increasing the num-" ber of knights of shires, appears to me admirable. . . . "..... As to cutting away the rotten boroughs, I am as much offended as any man at feeing fo many of them under the direct influence of the crown, or at the disposal of private persons. Yet, I own, I have both doubts and apprehensions in regard to the remedy you or propose. I shall be charged, perhaps, with an unusual " want of political intrepidity, when I honeftly confess to you, that I am startled at the idea of so extensive an " amputation.—In the first place, I question the power " de jure, of the legislature to disfranchise a number of " boroughs, upon the general ground of improving the constitution. There cannot be a doctrine more fatal " to the liberty and property we are contending for, than " that which confounds the idea of a supreme and an ar-"bitrary legislature. I need not point out to you the " fatal purposes to which it has been, and may be, apof plied. If we are fincere in the political creed we pro-" fefs, there are many things which we ought to affirm cannot be done by the King, Lords, and Commons. " Among these I reckon the disfranchising of boroughs with " a general view to improvement. I confider it as equivalent " to robbing the parties concerned, of their freehold, of " their birthright. I fay, that although this birthright may be forfeited, or the exercise of it suspended in par-" ticular cases, it cannot be taken away by a general law, " for any real or pretended purpose of improving the " constitution. Supposing the attempt made, I am per-

"

me

is

m

cl

w

W

0

I

g

"fuaded you cannot mean that either King or Lords, fhould take an active part in it. A bill, which only touches the representation of the people, must originate " in the House of Commons. In the formation and " mode of passing it, the exclusive right of the Commons " must be afferted as scrupulously as in the case of a mo-" ney bill. Now, Sir, I should be glad to know by what " kind of reasoning it can be proved, that there is a pow-" er vested in the representative to destroy his immediate constituent. From whence could he possibly derive it? "A courtier, I know, will be ready to maintain the af-" firmative. The doctrine fuits him exactly, because it es gives an unlimited operation to the influence of the " crown. But we, Mr. Wilkes, ought to hold a diffe-" rent language. It is no answer to me to say, that the bill, when it passes the House of Commons, is the act " of the majority, and not the representatives of the par-" ticular boroughs concerned. If the majority can dif-" franchise ten boroughs, why not twenty, why not the " whole kingdom? Why should not they make their " own feats in parliament for life ?-When the septen-" nial act passed, the legislature did what, apparently and " palpably, they had no power to do: but they did more " than what people in general were aware of; they, in " effect, disfranchised the whole kingdom for four years." "For argument's fake, I will now suppose that the ex-" pediency of the measure and the power of parliament " are unquestionable. Still you will find an unsurmountable " difficulty in the execution. When all your instruments of amputation are prepared, when the unhappy patient " lies bound at your feet without the possibility of resist-" ance, by what infallible rule will you direct the operation? "When you propose to cut away the rotten parts, can " you tell us what parts are perfectly found? - Are there " any certain limits in fact or theory, to inform you at what point you must stop, at what point the mortifica-" tion ends? To a man so capable of observation and " reflection as you are, it is unnecessary to say all that " might be faid upon the subject. Besides that I approve " highly of Lord Chatham's idea of infusing a portion " of new health into the constitution, to enable it to bear " its infirmities (a brilliant expression, and full of intrin" fic wisdom), other reasons concur in persuading me to

" adopt it." I have no objection, &c.

ls,

ly

ite

nd

ns

0-

at

W-

te

1

fit

10

2-

10

art ein id en

test

e

l

The man who fairly and completely answers this argument shall have my thanks and my applause. My heart is already with him.—I am ready to be converted.—I admire his morality, and would gladly subscribe to the articles of his faith.—Grateful as I am to the GOOD BEING whose bounty has imparted to me this reasoning intellect, whatever it is, I hold myself proportionably indebted to him, from whose enlightened understanding another ray of knowledge communicates to mine. But neither should I think the most exalted faculties of the human mind-a gift worthy of the Divinity, nor any affistance in the improvement of them a subject of gratitude to my fellow-creature, if I were not satisfied, that really to inform the understanding corrects and enlarges the heart.

TUNIUS,

of the bailing transmit tempor recovery. There is a party of the a sheet in I lare no obed inta E.c. The man wish fairly land such placely an last's this are as ment that have my access that in appointed by house The state of the state of the state of the mercan and a him of set I see hell the - died but to got Anterior property of the property of the state of the el stover to le la l'hort revier properte delle colette con co yar english wallet treet at least to the control and of the aired or required with the interior of the aired are fined a transfer remaining to the time and the reserve from his dentity the westing of the following will be got be with the restly was at a to fair first. In the grown a most to incurrence constant of plant and a president that again I fit amount store the self-star bus alternos - businesses **阿勒克斯斯** Charles and American ABUA. THOUSANDS entertain productives, and the second The state of the s A TO BUYOU THE MENT OF THE PARTY OF THE PART The state of the s The season of th

ve

tw

pr

..

.

fte

to

1,00 W or M. 200 8 3 800 5

contravalent and the

a home when were will a sill decided the reds off the will be to

chen. Bu nei bihah silapah sebratah nad 1.53, silabah

Dedication. ^a This positive denial, of an arbitrary power being vested in the legislature, is not in fact a new doctrine. When the Earl of Lindsay, in the year 1675, brought a bill into the House of Lords, "To prevent the dangers which might arise from persons disaffected to government," by which an oath and penalty was to be imposed upon the members of both Houses, it was affirmed, in a protest signed by twenty-three lay peers (my lords the bishops were not accustomed to protest), "That the privilege of sitting and voting in parliament was an honour they had by birth, and a right so inherent in them, and insemparable from them, that nothing could take it away, but what, by the law of the land, must withal take away their lives, and corrupt their blood." These noble peers (whose names are a reproach to their posterity) have, in this instance, solemnly denied the power of parliament to alter the constitution. Under a particular proposition, they have afferted a general truth, in which every man in England is concerned.

PREFACE. b The following quotation from a speech delivered by Lord Chatham on the 11th of December 1770, is taken with exactness. The reader will find it curious in itself, and very fit to be inserted here. " My Lords, The verdict given in Woodfall's trial was, guilty of print-" ing and publishing only:-upon which two motions were made in court; one, in arrest of judgment, by the defendant's counsel, grounded upon the ambiguity of the verdict; the other, by the counsel for " the crown, for a rule upon the defendant to show cause why mewer-" dict should not be entered up according to the legal import of the " words. On both motions a rule was granted, and foon after the mat-" ter was argued before the Court of King's Bench. The noble judge. " when he delivered the opinion of the court upon the verdict, went " regularly through the whole of the proceedings at nifi prius, as well as " the evidence that had been given, as his own charge to the jury. This proceeding would have been very proper, had a motion been made of either fide for a new trial; because either a verdict given contrary to evidence, or an improper charge by the judge at nife prius, is held to " be a sufficient ground for granting a new trial. But when a motion " is made in arrest of judgment, or for establishing the verdict by en-" tering it up according to the legal import of the words, it must be on " the ground of fomething appearing on the face of the record : and the " court, in confidering whether the verdict shall be established or not, " are so confined to the record, that they cannot take notice of any thing " that does not appear on the face of it; in the legal phrase, they can-" not travel out of the record. The noble judge did travel out of the " record; and Lashirm that his discourse was irregular, extrajudicial, and unprecedented. His apparent motive for doing what he knew to be " wrong was, that he might have an opportunity of telling the public " extrajudicially, that the other three judges concurred in the doctrine " laid down in his charge."

later of Congress of March Deposed to take

c Parliamentary Hiftory, Vol. VII. p. 406.

d Monfieur de Lolme.

LETTERS. ² The Duke of Grafton took the office of Secretary of State, with an engagement to support the Marquis of Rockingham's administration. He resigned, however, in a little time, under pretence that he could not act without Lord Chatham, nor bear to see Mr. Wilkes abandoned; but that, under Lord Chatham, he would act in any office. This was the signal of Lord Rockingham's dismission. When Lord Chatham came in, the Duke got possession of the Treasury. Reader, mark the consequence!

de

B

W

de

fa

S

d

FILL

- D This happened frequently to poor Lord North.
 - Yet Junius has been called the partizan of Lord Chatham!
- 4 That they should retract one of their resolutions, and erase the entry of it.
- France, had quarrelled with the Duke of Choiseuil; and that therefore he was appointed to the Northern department, out of compliment to the French minister.
 - f The late Lord Granby.
- 8 This man, being committed to the Court of King's Bench for a contempt, voluntarily made oath, that he would never answer interrogatories, unless he should be put to the torture.
- It has been faid, I believe truly, that it was fignified to Sir William Draper, as the request of Lord Granby, that he should desist from writing in his Lordship's defence. Sir William Draper certainly drew Junius forward to say more of Lord Granby's character than he originally intended. He was reduced to the dilemma of either being totally silenced, or of supporting his sirst letter. Whether Sir William had a right to reduce him to this dilemma, or to call upon him for his name, after a voluntary attack on his side, are questions submitted to the candour of the public.—The death of Lord Granby was lamented by Junius. He undoubtedly owed some compensations to the public, and seemed determined to acquit himself of them. In private life, he was unquestionably that good man who, for the interest of his country, ought to have been a great one. Beaum virum facile dixeris:—magnum libenter. I speak of him now without partiality:—I never spoke of him with resentment. His missakes, in public conduct, did not arise either from want of sentiment, or want of judgment, but in general from the difficulty of saying we to the bad people who surrounded him.

As for the rest, the friends of Lord Granby should remember, that he himself thought proper to condemn, retract, and disavow, by a most solemn declaration in the House of Commons, that very system of political conduct which Junius had held forth to the disapprobation of the public.

Les rois ne se font reservé que les graces. Els renvoient les condamnations vers leurs officiers.

k Whitehall, March 11. 1769. His Majesty has been graciously pleased to extend his royal mercy to Edward M. Quirk, found guilty of the murder of George Clarke, as appears by his royal warrant to the tenor sollowing:

GEORGE R.

y of

lkes

fice.

Cha-

lark

en-

r in

Готе

the

or a

ga-

am

rit-

ius

in-

re-

VO-

the

er-

bly

en

of nt.

ng

he

fo-

cal lic.

m-

ed ir-

ol-

WHEREAS a doubt had arisen in Our Royal breast concerning the evidence of the death of George Clarke, from the representations of William Broomfield, Efq. furgeon, and Solomon Starling, apothecary; both of whom, as has been represented to Us, attended the deceased before his death, and expressed their opinions that he did not die of the blow he received at Brentford: And whereas it appears to Us, that neither of the faid persons were produced as witnesses upon the trial, though the said Solomon Starling had been examined before the Coroner; and the only person called to prove that the death of the faid George Clarke was occafioned by the faid blow, was John Foot, surgeon, who never law the deceased till after his death: We thought fit thereupon to refer the said representations, together with the report of the Recorder of Our City of London, of the evidence given by Richard and William Beale, and the faid John Foot, on the trial of Edward Quirk, otherwise called Edward Kirk, otherwise called Edward M'Quirk, for the murder of the said Clarke, to the matter, wardens, and the rest of the court of examiners of the Surgeons Company, commanding them likewife to take fuch further examination of the faid persons so representing, and of faid John Foot, as they might think necessary, together with the premises above mentioned, to form and report to Us their opinion, " Whether it did or " did not appear to them, that the faid George Clarke died in confe-" quence of the blow he received in the riot at Brentford, on the 8th of " December last." And the said court of examiners of the Surgeons Company having thereupon reported to Us their opinion, " That it did " not appear to them that he did;" We have thought proper to extend Our Royal mercy to him the faid Edward Quirk, otherwise Edward Kirk, otherwise called Edward M'Quirk, and to grant him Our free pardon for the murder of the faid George Clarke, of which he has been found guilty. Our will and pleasure therefore is, That he the said Edward Quirk, otherwise called Kirk, otherwise called Edward M'Quirk, be inferted for the faid murder in Our first and next general pardon that shall come out for the poor convicts of Newgate, without any condition whatfoever; and that in the mean time you take bail for his appearance, in order to plead-Our faid pardon. And for so doing, this shall be your, warrant.

Given at Our Court at St. James's, the 10th day of March 1769, in the ninth year of Our reign.

By his Majefly's command,

To our trulty and well-beloved James Eyre, Efq. Recorder of our city of London, the Sheriffs of Our faid City and County of Middlefex, and all others whom it may concern.

1 This unfortunate person had been persuaded by the Duke of Grasson to set up for Middlesex, his Grace being determined to seat him in the House of Commons, if he had but a single vote. It happened unluckily that he could not prevail upon any one freeholder to put him in nomination.

The Sir Fletcher Norton, when it was proposed to punish the sheriff, declared in the House of Commons, that they, in returning Mr. Wilkes, had done no more than their duty.

N

a ft

SIR

ele

in

fti qu th

TI

- n The reader is defired to mark this prophecy.
- O The Duke, about this time, had separated himself from Ann Parsons; but proposed to continue united with her, on some Platonic terms of friendship, which she rejected with contempt. His baleness to this woman is beyond description or belief.
- To understand these passages, the reader is referred to a noted pamphlet, called, "The Hiltory of the Minority."
- q His Grace had lately married Miss Wrottesly, niece of the Good Gertrude, Duchess of Bedford.
- Mils Liddel, after her divorce from the Duke, married Lord Upper Offory.
- The wife Duke, about this time, exerted all the influence of government to procure addresses to fatisfy the King of the fidelity of his subjects. They came in very thick from Scotland; but, after the appearance of this letter, we heard no more of them.
 - It is hardly necessary to remind the reader of the name of Bradhaw.
 - " Sir John Moore.
- v The reader will observe, that these admissions are made, not as of truths unquestionable, but for the sake of argument, and in order to bring the real question to issue.
- w Precedents, in opposition to principles, have little weight with Junius; but he thought it necessary to meet the ministry upon their own ground.
 - Z Cafe of the Middlefex Election confidered, p. 38.
- This is still meeting the ministry upon their own ground; for, in truth, no precedents will support either natural injustice, or violation of positive right.
- ² Mr. Grenville had quoted a passage from the Doctor's excellent Commentaries, which directly contradicted the doctrine maintained by the Doctor in the House of Commens.
- a (page 77.) If, in flating the law upon any point, a judge deliberately affirms that he has included every case, and it should appear that he has purposely omitted a material case, he does in effect lay a snare for the unwary.
- b It is well worth remarking, that the compiler of a certain quarto, called "The Cafe of the last Election for the County of Middlesex, con"fidered," has the impudence to recite this very vote in the following terms, vide page II. "Resolved, that Robert Walpole, Esq. having been "that session of parliament expelled the House, was and is incapable of being elected a member to serve in the present parliament." There cannot be a stronger positive proof of the treachery of the compiler, nor

a stronger presumptive proof that he was convinced that the vote, if tru-

irms

his

od

er

0-

o£

TO THE PRINTER OF THE PUBLIC ADVERTISER.

May 22, 1777.

VERY early in the debate upon the decision of the Middlesex election, it was observed by Junius, that the House of Commons had not only exceeded their boafted precedent of the expulsion and confequent incapacitation of Mr. Walpole, but that they had not even adhered to it strictly as far as it went. After convicting Mr. Dyson of giving a false quotation from the Journals, and having explained the purpose which that contemptible fraud was intended to answer, he proceeds to state the vote itself by which Mr. Walpole's supposed incapacity was declared, viz.—" Refolved, That Robert Walpole, Esq. having been this session of parliament committed a prisoner to the Tower, and expelled this " House for a high breach of trust in the execution of his office, and no-" torious corruption when Secretary at War, was and is incapable of be-" ing elected a member to ferve in the prefent parliament:"observes, that, from the terms of the vote, we have no right to annex one incapacitation to the expulsion only; for that, as the proposition stands it must arise equally from the expulsion and the commitment to the Tower. I believe Sir, no man who knows any thing of Dialectics. or who understands English, will dispute the truth and fairness of this construction. But Junius has a great authority to support him, which, to speak with the Duke of Grafton, I accidentally met with this morning in the course of my reading. It contains an admonition, which cannot be repeated too often. Lord Sommers, in his excellent tract upon the rights of the people, after reciting the votes of the convention of the 28th of January 1687, viz .- " That King James the Second having en-" devoured to subvert the constitution of this kingdom, by breaking " the original contract between king and people, and by the advice of " Jefuits, and other wicked persons, having violated the fundamental " laws, and having withdrawn himfelf out of this kingdom, hath abdi-" cated the government," &c .- makes this observation upon it: " The " word abdicated relates to all the clauses aforegoing, as well as to his de-ferting the kingdom, or else they would have been wholly in vain." And that there might be no pretence for confining the abdication merely to the withdrawing, Lord Sommers farther observes, " That King James, " by refufing to govern us according to that law by which he held the crown, implicitly renounced his title to it."

If Junius's construction of the vote against Mr. Walpole be now admitted (and indeed I cannot comprehend how it can honestly be disputed), the advocates of the House of Commons must either give up their precedent entirely, or be reduced to the necessity of maintaining one of the grossest absurdates imaginable, viz. "That a commitment to the "Tower is a constituent part of, and contributes half at least to, the in-

" capacitation of the person who suffers it."

I need not make you any excuse for endeavouring to keep alive the attention of the public to the decision of the Middlesex election. The more I consider it, the more I am convinced that, as a fact, it is indeed highly injurious to the rights of the people; but that, as a precedent, it is one of the most dangerous that ever was established against those who are to come after us. Yet I am so far a moderate man, that I verily believe the majority of the House of Commons, when they passed this dangerous vote, neither understood the question, nor knew the consequence

Na

of what they were doing. Their motives were rather despicable than chiminal, in the extreme. One effect they certainly did not forsee. They are now reduced to such a situation, that if a member of the present House of Commons were to conduct himself ever so improperly, and in reality deserve to be sent back to his constituents with a mark of disgrace, they would not dare to expel him; because they know that the people, in order to try again the great question of right, or to thwart an odious House of Commons, would probably overlook his immediate unworthiness, and return the same person to parliament.—But, in time, the precedent will gain strength. A suture House of Commons will have no such apprehensions; consequently will not scruple to follow a precedent, which they did not establish. The miser himself seldom lives to enjoy the fruit of his extortion; but his heir succeeds him of course, and takes possession without censure. No man expects him to make restitution; and no matter for his title, he lives quietly upon the estate.

PHILO JUNIUS.

P

- d The Duke lately loft his only fon, by a fall from his horfe.
- e At this interview, which passed at the house of the late Lord Eglington, Lord Bute told the Duke that he was determined never to have any connection with a man who had so basely betrayed him.

In an answer in Chancery, in a suit against him to recover a large sum paid him by a person whom he had undertaken to return to parliament for one of his Grace's boroughs, he was compelled to repay the money.

- g Of Bedford; where the tyrant was held in such contempt and detestation, that in order to deliver themselves from him, they admitted a great number of strangers to the freedom. To make his deseat truly ridiculous, he tried his whole strength against Mr. Horne, and was beaten upon his own ground.
- h Mr. Heston Homphrey, a country attorney, horsewhipped the Duke, with equal justice, severity, and perseverance, on the course at Litchfield. Rigby and Lord Trentham were also cudgested in a most exemplary manner. This gave rise to the following story: "When the late "King heard that Sir Edward Hawke had given the French a drubbing, his Majesty, who had never received that kind of chastisement, was pleased to ask Lord Chestersield the meaning of the word.—Sir, says "Lord Chestersield, the meaning of the word—but here comes the Duke of Bedsord, who is better able to explain it to your Majesty than I am."
- I This man, notwithstanding his pride and Tory principles, had some English stuff in him. Upon an official letter he wrote to the Duke of Bedford, the Duke desired to be recalled, and it was with the utmost difficulty that Lord Bute could appeale him.
 - Mr. Grenville, Lord Halifax, and Lord Egremont.
- The ministry having endeavoured to exclude the Dowager out of the regency bill, the Earl of Bute determined to dismiss them. Upon this the Duke of Bedford demanded an audience of the —; reproached him in plain terms with his duplicity, baseness, falsehood, treachery, hypocrify—repeatedly gave him the lie, and lest him in convulsions.

The He received three thousand pounds for plate and equipage money.

When Earl Gower was appointed President of the Council, the King, with his usual sincerity, assured him that he had not had one happy moment since the Duke of Bedford lest him.

O Lords Gower, Weymouth, and Sandwich.

They

present

and in

igrace, eople,

orthi-

e pre-

ve no

enjoy

takes

tion;

NIUS.

Eg-

rge

ar-

the

ie-

l a ri-

cn

e,

P Was Brutus an ancient bravo and dark affaffin? or does Sir W. D. think it criminal to ftab a tyrant to the heart?

q " Measures, and not-men," is the common cant of affected moderation;—a base, counterfeit language, sabricated by knaves, and made current among fools. Such gentle censure is not fitted to the present degenerate state of society. What does it avail, to expose the absurd contrivance or pernicious tendency of measures, if the man who advises or executes, shall be suffered not only to escape with impunity, but even to preserve his power, and insult us with the savour of his sovereign! I would recommend to the reader the whole of Mr. Pope's letter to Dr. Arburthnot, dated July 26. 1734, from which the following is an extract: "To reform, and not to chastise, I am assaid is impossible; and that the "best precepts, as well as the best laws, would prove of small use, if there were no examples to enforce them. To attack vices in the absurfact, without touching persons, may be safe sighting indeed, but it is sighting with shadows. My greatest comfort and encouragement to proceed, has been to see that those who have no shame, and not sear of any thing else, have appeared touched by my satires."

Sir William gives us a pleasant account of men, who, in his opinion at least, are the best qualified to govern an empire.

This gentleman is supposed to have the same idea of blushing, that a man, blind from his birth, has of scarlet or sky-blue.

If Sir W. D. will take the trouble of looking into Torcy's Memoirs, he will fee with what little ceremony a bribe may be offered to a Duke, and with what little ceremony it was only not accepted.

Within a fortnight after Lord Tavistock's death, the venerable Gertrude had a route at Bedford House. The good Duke (who had only fixty thousand pounds a year) ordered an inventory to be taken of his son's wearing apparel, down to his slippers, sold them all, and put the money in his pocket. The amiable Marchioness, shocked at such brutal, unfeeling avarice, gave the value of the clothes to the Marquis's servant, out of her own purse. That incomparable woman did not long survive her husband. When she died, the Duchess of Bedford treated her as the Duke had treated his only son. She ordered every gown and trinket to be sold, and pocketed the money.—These are the monsters whom Sir William Draper comes forward to defend!—May God protect me from doing any thing that may require such defence, or to deserve such friendship!

Major-General Ganfel.

Lieutenant Dodd.

laid

and

to

use

wh

litt

bee

tan

un

m

K

B

ti

- I Lieutenant Garth.
- y A few of them were confined.
- A little before the publication of this and the preceding letter, the chaste Duke of Grafton had commenced a profecution against Mr. Samuel Vaughah, for endeavouring to corrupt his integrity, by an offer of five thousand pounds for a patent place in Jamaica. A rule to show cause why an information should not be exhibited against Vaughan for certain misdemeanors, being granted by the Court of King's Bench, the matter was folemnly argued on the 27th of November 1769, and, by the unanimous opinion of the four judges, the rule was made absolute. The pleadings and speeches were accurately taken in short-hand, and published. The whole of Lord Mansfield's speech, and particularly the following extracts from it, deferve the reader's attention. " A practice " of the kind complained of here, is certainly dishonourable and scanda-" lous.—If a man standing under the relation of an officer under the "king, or of a person in whom the king puts confidence, or of a minister, takes money for the use of that confidence the king puts in " him, he basely betrays the king,-he basely betrays his trust. " king fold the office, it would be acting contrary to the trust the con-" flitution hath reposed in him. The constitution does not intend the " crown should sell those offices, to raise a revenue out of them.-Is it " possible to hesitate, whether this would not be criminal in the Duke " of Grafton—contrary to his duty as a privy counfellor—contrary to his duty as a subject?—His advice. " should be free, according to his judgment.—It is the duty of his office;
 "—he hath sworn to it."—Notwithstanding all this, the chaste Duke of Grafton certainly sold a patent place to Mr. Hine, for three chastes and five hundred pounds; and, for so doing, is now Lord Privy Seal to the chaste George, with whose piety we are perpetually deafened. If the House of Commons had done their duty, and impeached the black Duke for this most infamous breach of trust, how woefully must poor honest Mansfield have been puzzled! His embarrassment would have afforded the most ridiculous scene that ever was exhibited. To save the worthy judge from this perplexity, and the no less worthy Duke from impeachment, the prosecution against Vaughan was immediately dropped upon my discovery and publication of the Duke's treachery. The suffering this charge to pals without any inquiry, fixes shameless prostitution upon the face of the House of Commons, more strongly than even the Middlefex election.—Yet the licentiousness of the press is complained of !
- a (page 126.) From the publication of the preceding to this date, not one word was faid in defence of the infamous Duke of Grafton. But vice and impudence foon recovered themselves, and the sale of the royal favour was openly avowed and defended. We acknowledge the piety of St. James's; but what has become of his morality?
 - b And by the same means preserves it to this hour.
 - c Tommy Bradshaw.
- d Mr. Taylor. He and George Ross (the Scotch agent, and worthy confident of Lord Mansfield) managed the business.
 - e The plan of tutelage and future dominion over the heir-apparent,

laid many years ago at Carlton-house, between the Princess Dowager and her favourite the Earl of Bute, was as gross and palpable as that which was concerted between Anne of Austria and Cardinal Mazarin, to govern Lewis the Fourteenth, and in effect to prolong his minority until the end of their lives. That Prince had strong natural parts, and used frequently to blush for his own ignorance and want of education, which had been wilfully neglected by his mother and her minion. A little experience, however, foon showed him how shamefully he had been treated, and for what infamous purposes he had been kept in ignorance. Our great Edward too, at an early period, had fense enough to understand the nature of the connection between his abandoned mother and the detefted Mortimer. But, fince that time, human nature, we may observe, is greatly altered for the better. Dowagers may be chaste, and minions may be honest. When it was proposed to settle the present King's household, as Prince of Wales, it is well known that the Earl of Bute was forced into it, in direct contradiction to the late king's inclination. That was the falient point, from which all the mischiefs and dif-graces of the present reign took life and motion. From that moment Lord Bute never suffered the Prince of Wales to be an instant out of his fight.-We need not look farther.

- One of the first acts of the present reign, was to dismis Mr. Legge, because he had some years before refused to yield his interest in Hamp-shire to a Scotchman recommended by Lord Bute. This was the reason publicly assigned by his Lordship.
- g Viscount Townshend sent over on the plan of being resident governor. The history of his ridiculous administration shall not be lost to the public.
- h In the King's speech of 8th November 1768, it was declared, "That the spirit of faction had broken out afresh in some of the colonies, and, in one of them, proceeded to acts of violence and resistance to the execution of the laws;—that Boston was in a state of disobedience to all law and government, and had proceeded to measures subversive of the constitution, and attended with circumstances that manifested a disposition to throw off their dependence on Great Britain,"
- I The number of commissioned officers in the guards, are to the marching regiments as one to eleven; the number of regiments given to the guards, compared with those given to the line, is about three to one, at a moderate computation; consequently the partiality in favour of the guards is as thirty-three to one. -- So much for the officers -- The private men have four-pence a-day to subsist on, and sive hundred lastes if they desert. Under this punishment they frequently expire. With these encouragements, it is supposed, they may be depended upon, whenever a certain person thinks it necessary to butcher his fellow-subjects.
 - ___k Sacro tremuere timore. Every coward pretends to be planet-firuck.
- 1 There was something wonderfully pathetic in the mention of the horned cattle.
 - m The Bedford party.

, the

Sa-

r of

for

ich,

by

nd the

ice la-

he iin

10

it

0

2

" The most secret particulars of this detestable transaction shall in due.

N

time be given to the public. The people shall know what kind of man they have to deal with.

Mr. Stewart Mackenzie.

P A pension of 1500l per annum, insured upon the 4 r-half per cents. the was too cunning to trust in Irish security) for the lives of himself and all his sons. This gentleman, who a very sew years ago was clerk to a contractor for sorage, and afterwards exalted to a petty post in the War Office, thought it necessary (as soon as he was appointed Secretary to the Treasury) to take that great house in Lincoln's-Inn-Fields, in which the Earl of Northington had resided while he was Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain. As to the pension, Lord North very solemnly assured the House of Commons, that no pension was ever so well deserved as Mr. Bradshaw's.—N. B. Lord Camden and Sir Jessey Amherst are not near so well provided for; and Sir Edward Hawke, who saved the state, retires with two thousand pounds a-year on the Irish establishment, from which he in saft receives less than Mr. Bradshaw's pension.

This eloquent person has got as far as the discipline of Demosthenes, He constantly speaks with pebbles in his mouth, to improve his articulation.

The constantly speaks with pebbles in his mouth, to improve his articulation.

The Lord Mayor, Ac. had the honour of kissing his Majesty's hand; after which, as they were withdrawing, his Majesty instantly turned round to his courtiers, and burst out a laughing.—

" Nero fiddled, while Rome was burning."

JOHN HORNE.

ho

off

in

This graceful minister is oddly constructed. His tongue is a little too big for his mouth, and his eyes a great deal too big for their foebets. Every part of his person sets natural proportion at defiance. At this present writing, his head is supposed to be much too heavy for his shoulders.

t About this time the courtiers talked of nothing but a bill of pains and penalties against the Lord Mayor and sheriffs, or impeachment at the least. Little Manniken Ellis told the King, that, if the business were lest to his management, he would engage to do wonders. It was thought very odd, that a motion of so much importance should be intrusted to the most contemptible little piece of machinery in the whole kingdom. His honest zeal, however, was disappointed. The minister took sright; and, at the very instant that little Ellis was going to open, sent him an order to sit down. All their magnanimous threats ended in a ridiculous vote of censure, and a still more ridiculous address to the King. This shameful desertion so afflicted the generous mind of George the Third, that he was obliged to live upon potatocs for three weeks, to keep off a malignant sever.—Poor man!—Quis talia fando temperet a lacerymis!

u After a certain person had succeeded in cajolling Mr. Yorke, he told the Duke of Grafton, with a witty smile, "My Lord, you may kill the "next Percy yourself."—N. B. He had but that instant wiped the tears away which overcame Mr. Yorke.

Every true friend of the House of Brunswick fece with affliction,

f man

f and to a War

the of the Mr.

reom

or,

e.

le s. is

: : how rapidly some of the principal branches of the family have dropped off.

- This extravagant resolution appears in the votes of the House; but in the minutes of the committees, the instances of resolutions contrary to law and truth, or of resusals to acknowledge law and truth, when proposed to them, are innumerable.
- when the King first made it a measure of his government to destroy Mr. Wilkes, and when for this purpose it was necessary to run down privileges, Sir Fletcher Norton, with his usual profituted effrontery, assured the House of Commons, that he should regard one of their votes no more than a resolution of so many drunken porters. This is the very lawyer whom Ben Johnson describes in the following lines:
 - " Gives forked counsel; takes provoking gold,

" On either hand, and puts it up.

" So wife, fo grave, of fo perplex'd a tongue,

- " And loud withal, that would not way, nor fcarce
- " Lie still without a fee."
- I The man who relists and overcomes this iniquitous power assumed by the Lords, must be supported by the whole people. We have the laws on our side, and want nothing but an intrepid leader. When such a man stands forth, let the nation look to it. It is not his cause, but our own.
- The examination of this firm, housest man, is printed for Almon. The reader will find it a most curious and a most interesting tract. Dr. Musgrave, with no other support but truth and his own firmness, refisted and overcame the whole House of Commons.
- " (page 164.) " An ignorant, mercenary, and fervile crew; unanimous in evil, diligent in mischief, variable in principles, constant to
 flattery, talkers for liberty, but slaves to power;—styling themselves
 the court party, and the prince's only friends."

 Davesant.
 - Mifs Kennedy.
- C He now fays that his great object is the rank of Colonel, and that he will have it.
- d This infamous transaction ought to be explained to the public. Colonel Gisborne was Quarter-Master-General in Ireland. Lord Townshend persuades him to resign to a Scotch officer, one Fraser, and gives
 him the government of Kinsale.—Colonel Cuninghame was AdjutantGeneral in Ireland. Lord Townshend offers him a pension, to induce him
 to resign to Luttrell. Cuninghame treats the offer with contempt.
 What's to be done? Poor Gisborne mast move once more.—He accepts
 of a pension of 500s. a-year, until a government of greater value shall
 become vacant. Colonel Cuninghame is made Governor of Kinsale;
 and Luttrell, at last, for whom the whole machinery is put in motion,
 becomes Adjutant-General, and in effect takes the command of the army
 in Ireland.

This man was always a rank Jacobite. Lord Raventworth produced

the most fatisfactory evidence of his having frequently drank the Pretender's health upon his knees.

- Confidential Secretary to the late Pretender. This circumstance confirmed the friendship between the brothers.
- B The oppression of an obscure individual gave birth to the famous Habeat Corpus Act of 31st Car. II. which is frequently considered as another Magna Charta of the kingdom.

 Blackstone, iii. 135.
- Bingley was committed for contempt, in not submitting to be examined. He lay in prison two years, until the Crown thought the matter might occasion some serious complaint; and therefore he was let out, in the same contumelious state he had been put in, with all his sine about him, unanointed and unancaled.—There was much coquetry between the Court and the Attorney-General, about who should undergo the ridicule of letting him escape.—Vide another Letter to Almon, p. 189.
 - i The philosophical poet doth netably describe the damnable and damned proceedings of the judge of hell,—
 - " Gnoffius hæc Rhadamanthus habet duriffima regna,

" Caftigatque, auditque dolos, fubigitque fateri."

First he punisheth, and then he heareth, and lastly compelleth to confess, and makes and mars laws at his pleasure; like as the Centurion, in the holy history, did to St. Paul; for the text saith, "Centurio apprehendi "Paulum justit, et se catenis eligari; et tunc interrogabat, quis suisset, et, et quid secisset." But good judges abhor these courses.

Coke, 2 Inft. 55.

wheth Tca " th nh

- Directly the reverse of the doctrine he constantly maintained in the House of Lords, and elsewhere, upon the decision of the Middlesex election. He invariably afferted, that the decision must be legal, because the court was competent; and never could be prevailed on to enter farther into the question.
- These iniquitous prosecutions cost the best of princes six thousand pounds, and ended in the total deseat and disgrace of the prosecutors. In the course of one of them, Judge Aston had the unparalleled impudence to tell Mr. Morris (a gentleman of unquestionable honour and integrity, and who was then giving his evidence on oath), that "he should pay very little regard to any assidavit he should make."
- m He faid in the House of Lords, that he believed he should carry his spinion with him to the grave. It was afterwards reported that he had intrusted it, in special confidence, to the ingenious Duke of Cumberland.
- This paragraph gagged poor Leigh. I really am concerned for the man, and wish it were possible to open his mouth.—He is a very pretty erator.
- The King's acceptance of the Spanish ambassador's declaration, is drawn up in barbarous French, and figned by the Earl of Rochford. This diplomatic Lord has spent his life in the study and practice of etiquettes, and is supposed to be a prosound master of the ceremonies. I

will not infult him by any reference to grammar or common fense; if he were even acquainted with the common forms of his office, I should think him as well qualified for it as any man in his Majesty's service.—

The reader is requested to observe Lord Rochford's method of authenticating a public instrument. "En soi de quoi, moi soussigné, un des principaux Secretaires d'Etat S. M. B. ai signé la presente de ma signature ordinaire, et scelle sait apposer le cachet de nos Armes."—In three lines there are no less than seven salse concords. But the man does not even know the style of his office. If he had known it, he would have said, "nous soussigné Secretaire d'Etat de S. M. B. avous signé," &c.

- P A mistake. He appears before them every day with the mark of a blow upon his face.—Prob puder !
- The necessity of securing the House of Commons against the King's power, so that no interruption might be given either to the attendance of the members in parliament, or to the freedom of debate, was the soundation of parliamentary privilege; and we may observe, in all the addresses of new appointed Speakers to the Sovereign, the utmost privilege they demand, is liberty of speech, and freedom from arrests. The very word privilege means no more than immunity, or a safeguard to the party who possesses it, and can never be construed into an active power of invading the rights of others.
 - In the years 1593-1597-and 1601.

Pre-

ance

nous

135.

ex-

en

ri-

ad

- Upon their own principles, they should have committed Mr. Wilkes, who had been guilty of a greater offence than even the Lord Mayor or Alderman Oliver. But after repeatedly ordering him to attend, they at last adjourned beyond the day appointed for his attendance; and by this mean, pitiful evasion, gave up the point.—Such is the force of conscious guilt!
- House for a matter manisestly out of their jurisdiction, what remedy can he have? I answer, That it cannot well be imagined that the law which favours nothing more than the liberty of the subject, should give us a remedy against commitments by the King himself, appearing to be illegal, and yet give us no manner of redress against our commitment by our fellow subjects, equally appearing to be unwarranted. But as this is a case which I am persuaded will never happen, it seems needless over-nicely to examine it."—slawkins, ii. 110.—N. B. He was a good lawyer, but no prophet.
- "That their practice might be every way conformable to their principles, the House proceeded to advise the Crown to publish a proclamation, universally acknowledged to he illegal. Mr. Moreton publicly protested against it before it was issued; and Lord Manssield, though not scrupulous to an extreme, speaks of it with horror. It is remarkable enough, that the very men who advised the proclamation, and who hear it arraigned every day both within doors and without, are not daring enough to utter one word in its defence; nor have they ventured to take the least notice of Mr. Wilkes for discharging the persons apprehended under it.

Lord Chatham very properly called this the act of a mob, not of a fenate.

" " g

When Mr. Wilkes was to be punished, they made no scruple about the privileges of parliament: and although it was as well known as any matter of public record and uninterrupted custom could be, " that the " members of either House are privileged, except in case of treason, se-" lony, or breach of peace," they declared, without hefitation, " that privilege of parliament did not extend to the case of a seditious libel;" and undoubtedly they would have done the fame, if Mr. Wilkes had been profecuted for any other misdemeanor whatsoever. The ministry are of a fudden grown wonderfully careful of privileges, which their predecessors were as ready to invade. The known laws of the land, the rights of the subject, the fanctity of charters, and the reverence due to our magistrates, must all give way, without question or resistance, to a privilege of which no man knows either the origin or the extent. The House of Commons judge of their own privileges without appeal; they may take offence at the most innocent action, and imprison the person who offends there during their arbitrary will and pleasure. The party has no remedy; he cannot appeal from their jurisdiction; and if he queflions the privilege which he is supposed to have violated, it becomes an aggravation of his offence. Surely this doctrine is not to be found in Magna Charta. If it be admitted without limitation, I affirm that there is neither law nor liberty in this kingdom. We are the flaves of the House of Commons; and through them we are the flaves of the King and his ministers .- Anonymous.

If there be in reality any such law in England as the law of parliament, which (under the exceptions stated in my letter on privilege), I confess, after long deliberation, I very much doubt, it certainly is not constituted by, nor can it be collected from, the resolutions of either House, whether enacting or declaratory. I desire the reader will compare the above resolution of the year 1704 with the following of the 3de of April 1628.—" Resolved, That the writ of Habeas Corpus cannot be "denied, but ought to be granted to every man that is committed or detained in prison, or otherwise restrained by the command of the "King, the Privy Council, or any other, he praying the same."

Y The Duke was lately appointed Lord Privy Seal.

2 A superb villa of Colonel Burgoyne, about this time advertised for

a (page 203.) It will appear by a subsequent letter, that the Duke's precipitation proved fatal to the grant. It looks like the hurry and confusion of a young highwayman, who takes a few shillings, but leaves the purse and watch behind him:—And yet the Duke was an old offender!

b By an intercepted letter from the Secretary of the Treasury, it appeared, " that the friends of government were to be very active" in supporting the ministerial nomination of sheriffs.

Desler. I thought they had been better acquainted.—" Another very wrong objection has been made by fome, who have not taken leifure to distinguish the characters. The hero of the play (meaning Melle-

" font) is a gull, and made a fool, and cheated.—Is every man a gulf " and a fool that is deceived ?-At that rate, I am afraid the two classes " of men will be reduced to one, and the knaves themselves be at a loss " to justify their title. But if an open honest-hearted man, who has an " entire confidence in one whom he takes to be his friend, and who (to " confirm him in his opinion), in all appearance, and upon feveral trials, has been fo; if this man be deceived by the treachery of the other, " must he of necessity commence fool immediately, only because the other has proved a villain?"-Yes, fays Parson Horne; No, fays Congreve; and he, I think, is allowed to have known fomething of human nature.

d The very foliloguy of Lord Suffolk before he paffed the Rubicon.

The epitaph would not be ill fuited to the character; at the beat, it is but equivocal.

f I confine myself strictly to seamen; -if any others are presed, it is a gross abuse, which the magistrate can and should correct.

8 There is a certain family in this country, on which nature feems to have entailed an hereditary baseness of disposition. As far as their hiflory has been known, the fon has regularly improved upon the vices of his father, and has taken care to transmit them pure and undiminished into the bosom of his successor. In the senate, their abilities have confined them to those humble, fordid services in which the scavengers of the ministry are usually employed. But, in the memoirs of private treachery, they stand first and unrivalled. The following story will serve to illustrate the character of this respectable family, and to convince the world that the prefent possessor has as clear a title to the infamy of his ancestors as he has to their estate. It deserves to be recorded for the curiosity of the fact, and should be given to the public as a warning to every honest member of fociety.

The present Lord Irnham, who is now in the decline of life, lately cultivated the acquaintance of a younger brother of a family with which he had lived in some degree of intimacy and friendship. The young man had long been the dupe of a most unhappy attachment to a commonprofitute. His friends and relations forefaw the confequences of this connection, and did every thing that depended upon them to fave him from ruin. But he had a friend in Lord Irnham, whose advice rendered all their endeavours ineffectual. This hoary letcher, not contented with the enjoyment of his friend's mistress, was base enough to take advantage of the passions and folly of a young man, and perfuaded him to marry her. He descended even to perform the office of father to the profti-He gave her to his friend, who was on the point of leaving the

kingdom, and the next night lay with her himself.
Whether the depravity of the human heart can produce any thing more base and detestable than this fact, must be lest undetermined, until the fon shall arrive at the father's age and experience.

f 2

out

ny

he feat

ad 7

ir e

0

a

2

⁴ Inft. 41. 66.

Blackstone, 4. 303.

^{* 1} Ed. III. cap. 8. and 7 Rich. II. cap. 4.

- " Videtur que le statute de mainprise n'est que rehersal del comen-
- m " There are three points to be confidered in the construction of all " remedial flasutes;-the old law, the mischief, and the remedy;-that " is, how the common law stood at the making of the act, what the mischief was for which the common law did not provide, and what " remedy the parliament hath provided to cure this mischief. It is the business of the judges so to construe the act as to suppress the mischief " and advance the remedy."-Blackflone, i. 87.
 - n 2 Hale, P. C. 128, 136.
 - Blackstone, iv. 296.
 - P 2 Hale, P. C. ii. 124.
- 9 Vide ad Inft. 150 186-" The word replevifable never fignifies bailable. Ballable is in a court of record by the King's justices; but re-plevifable is by the Sheriff."-Seldon, State Tr. vii. 149.

the transfer in the state of the state of

- Selden, by N. Bacon, 18a.
- 3 Parliamentary History, i. 82.
- Parliamentary History, i. 419.
- Blackstone, iv. 137.

a con the LICH STATES

It has been the study of Lord Mansfield to remove landmarks.

Free for the second of the second of the second of

N. B. The Letters of the Alphabet (2, &c.) refer to the Notes at the End of the Valume.

•

AMERICA, Mr. Pitt and Lord Camden the patrons of, page 20; a new office established for the bu-

finels of, 21.

cn-

all hat the hat he

ief

ARMY, young gentlemen coming into it, faid to be a fecurity to the kingdom from foreign as well as domestic flavery, 34; many of our forces in climates unfavourable to British constitutions, 35; the insult offered to it, by appointing Colonel Luttrell Adjutant-General of Ireland, 167.

B.

BECKFORD M. his fumptuous entertainment at the Mansion-house,

BEDFORD, Corporation of, admit strangers to their freedom, to shake off the tyranny of the Duke of Bedford (g), 290; Duke of, a letter to his Grace from Junius, 94; his feelings nice; if to be judged from his refentments, ib.; what his Grace was, and what he might have been, 95; his avowal of the fale of a borough, 96; accused of insensibility on the loss of his fon, ih.; his Grace every way unfortunate, 97; his embaffy at Verfailles the first important part of his history, ib.; those who are acquainted with his Grace's pecuniary character, are apt to suspect such facrifices would not have been made without some private compensations, 98; Aipulations made betwirt him and Lord Bute, and violated, ib.; behaves to the king in an outrageous manner (1), 292: fo-licits again the friendship of Lord Bute, 98; his measures to obtain

and confirm his power, 99; his character vindicated by Sir William Draper, 705; makes a public display, of his infensibility on the death of his son, 114; an anecdote of his Grace's behaviour on this missortune (u), 293.

BENSON, Mr. challenged as a jury-

man, 250.

Bingley, Mr. his imprisonment

for two years (h), 298.

BLACKSTONE, Dr. Solicitor to the Queen, 61; more solicitous to preferve his place than his reputation, ib.; a letter addressed to him from Junius, 74; charged with having delivered different doctrines in the House of Commons, from what he had written in his Commentaries, 76; that when he spoke in the House, he never once thought of the Commentaries, until the contradiction was unexpectedly urged, 79; contradicts the ministry sometimes as well as himself, 93.

Beadshaw Mr. has a penfion fettled upon him, 146; affirms that every part of Mr. Hine's purchasemoney was paid to Colonel Burgoyne, 127; an intimacy betwist him and Lord Irnham, 260.

BROMFIELD, Mr. furgeon, his opinion in regard to the death of George Clarke, who received a blow at the Brentford election (k), 280:

BROOKE, Dr. faid to be quartered on the falary of a patent place, purchased by Mr. Hine, 126.

Breakell, the Spanish Governor of Port Egmont, acted in obsdience to his order, 177; if he had acted without it, he deserved death, 180. WAGOYNE, Colonel, his expences at Preston, 125; the purchase money of a patent place faid to be given him for his fervice at Prefton, 127; no man more tender

of his reputation, ib.

BUTE, Earl of, his interview with the Duke of Bedford (e), 292; not of a temper to relinquish power, though he retired from employment, 98; ftipulations made betwist him and the Duke of Bedford violated, ib.; treats the Duke with contempt, when again foliciting his friendship, ib. forced into the Prince of Wales's household, contrary to the late king's inclination (e), 294.

CALCRAFT, Mr. when he determined to be a patriot, 245.

CAMBEN, Lord, attributes to the crown a power, in case of necesfity, to suspend the operation of an act of the legislature, 242; his doctrine in this respect confidered and refuted, 248; a letter to his Lordship from Junius, 281.

CARLETON-HOUSE, the tutelage and dominion of the heir-apparent laid there many years ago (e)

294. CHARLES I. lived and died ahypocrite, 53.

CHARLES II. a hypocrite, though

of another fort, 53. Duke of Grafton on the political stage, 53; obliged to withdraw his name from an administration formed on the credit of it, 54; the motive of giving the thanks of the city to him, 220; an encomium on him by Junius, 225.

CLERGY, their incapacity to fit in the House of Commons, 88.

Coxe, Sir Edward, his opinion with regard to the power of the House of Commons committing for con2 mpt, 197.

COLONIES, those of America alienated from their natural affection to the mother-country, 20; receive spirit and argument from the declaration of Mr. Pitt and Lord Camden, 21; the flamp act repealed, and a new mode of taxing the colonies invented, ib.; the colonists equally detest the pageantry of a king and the hy-

pocrify of a bishop, 136. they are reduced to by their vote on the Middlesex election, 87; faid to have transferred their gratitude from their parents to their benefactors, 134; have affumed an authority equal to an act of the legislature, 1394 have transferred the right of election from the collective to the representative body, ib.; they are only interpreters to convey the fense of the people to the crown, 157; did not dare to affert their own dignity, when grofsly attacked, 161; would best consult their dignity by appealing to the laws when they are offended, 192.

CORSICA would never have been invaded if the British court had interposed with dignity and firm-

nefs, 56.

CROMWELD, Oliver, with all his crimes, had the spirit of an Englishman, 184; an expression of his in the time of Charles 1. 217.

CUMBERLAND, the late Duke of, in his time parliamentary influence prevailed least in the army, 34

DINGLEY, Mr. becomes a candidate for the county of Middlesex, 45.

Donn, Captain, applied to Captain; Garth for the affistance of his guard, to refeue General Ganfel, 121.

DRAPER, Sir William, his defence of the Marquis of Grandy against the charges of Junius, 25; his letter to Junius, 32; refers him to the united voice of the army, and all Germany, for instances of the military kill and capacity of the Marquis of Granby, 33; his an-

fwer on his own account, 35; accufed of making a traffic of the royal favour, 36; another letter to Junius, 39; his answer to a question of Junius, ib.; to Junius, 101; complains of the affertion of Junius, that he had fold the companions of his fuccess, ib.; that it is a malicious falfehood, and bids the writer stand forth, and avow the charge, ib.; appeals to the gentlemen to whom he had made application in this affair, ib.; to Junius, 105; that he has read his letter to the Duke of Bedford with horror and aftonishment, wherein an affectionate father is upbraided with the loss of an only and most amiable fon, 105, 106; that Junius goes wantonly out of his way to torment declining age, 106; he is called upon to prove the Duke's avarice, before he makes his hafty and wicked conclusions, ib.; but if an ambaffador loves money too much, is this a proof that he has taken any to betray his country? 108; Sir William's account of the ministerial quarrels, 107; that the Duke, however potent as he is, is amenable to justice, and the Parliament is the high and folemn tribunal, ib.

re-

rom

and

act

b.;

the

ay-

ion

ote

2-

eir

ed

of

8-

m

-

of

n

75 4

of

ELLIS, Mr. Welbore, whether he makes or suppresses a motion, is fure of his difgrace, 155.

EXPULSION from the House of Commons, whether it creates incapacity of being re-elected, 80 ct feq.; Mr. Walpole's case considered as a precedent, 82.

Evaz, John, bailed by Lord Mansfield, 258; this affair stated and examined according to the statutes in fuch cafes, 277.

FELONY, whether or not bailable, 264; the statutes relative to bail in criminal matters, flated in due order, 266. .

FOOTE, Mr. furgeon, his evidence on the trial of M'Quirk (k), 289.

GAME-LAWS oppressive to the sub-

ject, 257

GANSEL, General, his reseue from the bailiffs, near the Tilt-yard in St. James's Park, 177; he folicited a corporal and other foldiers to affift him in making his escape,

GARTH, Captain, declined appearing himfelf, but flood aloof, while Captain Dodd took upon him to order out the king's guard to rescue General Gansel, 121.

GISBORNE, Colonel, a regiment faid to be fold to him, 32; Colonel Draper refigned it to him for his half-pay, 37; accepts of a penfion for the government of Kin-

fale (d) 297. GRAFTON, Duke of, upon what footing he first took, and foon after refigned the office of Secretary of State (a) 228; the only act of mercy to which he advised his fovereign, received with difapprobation, 42; his establishment of a new tribunal, 43, 44; one fatal mark fixed on ever measure wherein he is concerned 44; a fingular inflance of youth without spirit, 45; obliged either to abandon a ufeful partifan, or to protect a felon from public justice, 46; accused of balancing his non-execution of the laws with a breach of the constitution, 48; the feating Mr Luttrell in the House of Commons, entails on posterity the immediate effects of his administration, 49; in his fystem of government, he addres-fes himself simply to the touch, 52; his character, confidered as a subject, of curious speculation, ib.; refemblance thereof to that of his royal progenitors, 53; at his fetting out, a patriot of no unpromising expectations, ib.; has many compensations to make in the closet for his former friend-

thip with Mr. Wilkes, 54; his union by marriage not imprudent in a political view, 55; his Grace's public conduct as a minister, the counterpart of his private history, ib.; in the whole course of his life, a strange endeavour to unite contradictions, 60; his infult on public decorum at the Operahouse, 61; his reasons for deserting his friends, ib.; his political infant state, childhood, puberty, and manhood, 62; if his Grace's abilities had been able to keep pace with the principles of his heart, he would have been a formidable minister, 63; the people find a resource in the weakness of his understanding, ib.; charged with being the leader of a fervile administration, collected from the deserters of all parties, 64; his coyness in rejecting Mr. Vaughan's proposals, is said to resemble the termagant chaftity of a prade, 125; is called upon to tell the price of the patent purchased by Mr. Hine, ib.; will be dare, says Junius, to profecute Vaughan, whilst he is letting up the royal patronage to auction? (z) 294; in his public character, has injured every- subject in the empire, 142; the event of all the facrifices he made to Lord Bute's patronage, ib.; at the most active period of life obliged to quit the bufy scene, and conceal himfelf from the world, ib.; the neglect of the petitions and remonstrances a part of his original plan of government, 143; was contented with pronouncing Colonel Luttrell's panegyric, 166; is restored to his rank under the royal standard, 200; is acknowledged by Junius to have great intrinsic merit, but is cautioned not to value it too highly, ib.; in vain would his majefty have looked round for a more confummate character, 201; he remembers with gratitude how the Duke accommodated his morals to the

necessity of his fervice, 202; the abundance of merit in the Duke to fecure the favour of his fovereign, ib.; a striking peculiarity in his character, 204; his Grace's re-appointment in the cabinet announced to the public by the ominous return of Lord Bute, 205; in whatever measure concerned, he makes the government of the best of princes contemptible and ridiculous, ib.; his baseness affirmed to be the cause of greater mischief to England than even the unfortunate ambition of Lord Bute, 226; to what enormous excesses the influence of the crown has conducted his Grace, without a spark of personal resolution, 232; in what a hopeful condition he delivered the navy to his fucceffor, 234; the navy being in great want of timber, a warrant was made out to cut timber in Whittlebury Forest, where the Duke is hereditary ranger, 435; his Grace's persecution of the deputy-furveyor, for attempting to cut down the trees when he happened not to have the warrant in his pocket, ib.; the Duke afferted upon his honour, that in the grant the property of the timber is vested in the ranger, ib.; the very reverse affirmed to be the truth, ib.; yet the oaks keep their ground, the king is defrauded, and the navy fuffers; all this to appeafe the Duke of Grafton, 236; the mortification he received on the defeat of Sir James Lowther, 259; his expedition in hastening the grant to transfer the Duke of Portland's property to Sir James Lowther, 261.

H

GRANDY, Lord, accused of accumulating in his own person and family a number of lucrative employments, 22; his cares confined to filling up vacancies, 23; praised and vindicated by Sir William Draper against the charges of Junius, 25; the united voice of the army, and all Germany, will tell

instances of his military skill and capacity, 33; his reputation is faid by Junius to have suffered more by his friends than his enemies, 37.

; the

Duke

fove.

arity

ace's

tan-

omi-

205:

ned,

and

af-

ater f

ven ord

ex-

Wn

Juo

on,

on 1c-

in

mt

in

he

5;

to

n-

GRENVILLE, Mr. at any rate to be distressed, because he was minister, 20; vindicated from some ressections thrown out against him in a pamphlet written in defence of Sir William Blackstone, 75; receives chastisement from the chair in the House of Commons,

H

HARLEY, Mr. the interest of government in the city said to be committed to him, 205.

HARRY the Eighth, by the submission of his parliament, as absolute as Lewis the Fourteenth, 184.

HAWRE, Sir Edward, this country highly indebted to him, 23.

HILSBOROUGH, Earl of, called forth to govern America, 21; his meafures cenfured, ib.

HINE, Mr. a patent purchased by him, 125; the price at which the place was knocked down, 127.

HORNE, Mr. his unfortunate endeavours in support of the nomination of sheriffs, 206; in his principles already a bishop, ib.; his letter to Junius, 207; it is the reputation gained under this fignature which draws from him a reply, ib.; that he is ready to lay down his life in opposition to the ministerial measures, 203; that he did not solicit one vote in fayour of Meffrs Plumbe and Kirkman, ib.; a letter to him from Junius, 209; accused of having fold himself to the ministry, from his own letters, 210; his mode of attack on Mr. Wilkes censured, ib.; is blamed for introducing the name of a young lady into the newspapers, 211; is charged with having duped Mr. Oliver, ib.; another letter to Junius, ib.; charges him with inconsistency and felf-contradiction, 212; that he feels no reluctance to attack

the character of any man, 213; that the darkness in which he thinks himfelf shrouded, has not concealed him, 216; reflections on the tendency of Junius's principles, 217; that Mr. Wilkes did commission Mr. Thomas Walpole to solicit a pension for him, 218; that, according to Junius, Mr. Wilkes ought to hold the Rrings of his benefactors purfes " fo long " as he continues to be a thorn " in the king's fide," 219; that the leaders of the opposition refused to flipulate certain points for the public, in case they should get into administration, ib.; a letter in reply to Mr. Horne, 221; is charged with changing the terms of Junius's proposition, when he supposes him to affert it would be impossible for any man to write in the newspapers, and not to be discovered, 223; that he deals in fiction, and th fore naturally appeals to the evidence of the poets, ib.; is allowed a degree of merit which aggravates his guilt, 224; his furi perfecuting zeal has by gentle degrees foftened into moderation, 225; fhameful for him who has lived in friendship with Mr. Wilkes, to reproach him for failings naturally councided with defpair, 227.

HUMPHREY, Mr. his treatment of the Duke of Bedford on the course

at Litchfield (h) 292.

IRELAND, the people of, have been uniformly plundered and oppreffed, 135.

INNEAM, Lord, father of Colonel Luttrell, (g) 301.

Judge, one may be honest enough in the decision of private causes, yet a traitor to the public, 23.

JUNIUS, letter from, to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, on the state of the nation, and the different departments of the state, 17; to Sir William Draper, 28; ap-

proves of Sir William's spirit in giving his name to the public, but that it was a proof of nothing but spirit, ib.; requires some inflances of the military fkill and capacity of Lord Granby, 29; puts fome queries to Sir William, as to his own conduct, 31; called upon by Sir William to give his real name, 32; another letter to Sir William Druper, 37; explains Sir William's bargain with Colonel Gisborne, 38; letter to Sir. William Draper, 40; declares himself to be a plain unlettered man, ib.; calls upon Sir William to justify his declaration of the fovereign's having done an act in his favour, contrary to law, 41; takes his leave of Sir William, ib.; letter to the Duke of Grafton, ib.; that the only act of mercy to which the Duke advifed his Majesty, meets with difprobation, 42; that it was hatoo much to interpole the firength of prerogative be-tween fuch a felon as M'Quirk and the justice of his country, ib.; the pardoning of this man, and the reasons alleged for so doing, confidered, 44; to the Duke of Grafton, ib.; that one fatal mark feems to be fixed on every meafure of his Grace, whether in a personal or political character, ib.; that a certain ministerial writer does not defend the minifter as to the pardoning M'Quirk upon his own principles, 45; that his Grace can best tell for which of Mr. Wilker's good qualities he first honoured him with his friendthip, 46; to Mr. Edward Weston, 47; a citation from his pamphlet in defence of the pardoning of M'Quirk, with remarks, ib.; to the Duke of Grafton, 48; that his Grace was at first scrupulous of even exercifing those powers with which the executive power of the legislature is invested, ib.; that he referved the proofs of his intrepid spirit for trials of greater

hazard, 49; that he balanced the non-execution of the laws with a breach of the constitution, ib.; to the Duke of Grafton, 52; that his Grace addreffes himfelf fimply to the touch, ib.; his character refembles that of his royal anceftors, 53; to the Duke of Grafton, 63; if his Grace's talents could keep pace with the principles of his heart, he would have been a most formidable minister, ib.; that he became the leader of an administration collected from the deferters of all parties, 65; to the Printer of the Public Advertifer, 68; the question arising from Mr. Wilkes's expulsion, and the appointment of Mr. Luttrell, attempted to be flated with juflice and precision, ib. 69; the expulsion of Mr. Walpole and his re-election, how far a case in point, 70; to Sir William Blackstone, 74; a certain pamphlet written in defence of Sir William's conduct, confidered, 75; Mr. Grenville and Sir William Meredith vindicated from fome aspersions in this pamphlet, ib. 76; that a certain writer who defends the proceedings with regard to the Middlesex-election, only quotes fuch part of Mr. Walpole's cafe, as feems to fait his purpose, 85, 86; that the House meant to declare Mr. Walpole's incapacity arose from the crimes he had committed, 86; they also declared the other candidate not duly elected, 87; explanation of some passages in the last letter, 90; to the Duke of Bedford, 94; that he has loft much real authority and importance, 95; the degree of judgment he has flown in carrying his own fystem into execution, 97; the importance of his embaffy to the court of Verfailles, ib.; the measures he took to obtain and confirm his power, 99; to Sir William Draper, 103; that after having attacked Junius un-

der that character, he had no right to know him under any other, ib.; that Sir William was appointed Colonel to a regiment greatly out of his turn, 104; Junius thinks it by no means ne-ceffary he should be exposed to the resentment of the worst and most powerful men in this country, ib.; Sir William still continues to be a fatal friend, 108; he confiders nothing in the caufe he adopts but the difficulty of defending it, 109; he may reft affured the Duke of Bedford laughs with equal indifference at Junius's reproaches and Sir William's distress about him, 110; admitting the fingle instance of his Grace's generofity, the public may perhaps demand fome other proofs of his munificence, ib.; though there was no document left of any treasonable negotiation, yet the conduct and known temper of the minister carried an internal evidence, ib.; to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, 112; Junius applauds the fpirit with which a lady has paid the debt of gratitude to her benefactor, ib.; this fingle benevolent action is perhaps the more conspicuous, from standing alone, ib.; to the Printer of the Public Advertifer, 116; the present miniftry fingularly marked by their fortune as their crimes, ib.; they feem determined to perplex us with the multitude of their offences, ib.; a Major-General of the army arrested for a considerable debt, and refcued by a ferjeant and fome private foldiers, 117; that this is a wound given to the law, and no remedy applied, ib.; the main question is, how the ministry have acted on this occasion, 118; the aggravating circumstances of this affair, ib.; that the regiments of guards, as a corps, are neither good fubjects nor good foldiers, 110; the marching regiments

d the

ib. ;

that

nply

cter

an-

raf-

ents

rin-

ave

ter,

om

65;

Ad-

ing

ad

ell,

ju-

he

his

in

k-

et

1-

;

m

C

.

r of

the bravest troops in the world, ib.; to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, 124; that he admits the claim of Modellus in the Gazetteer, ib.; that Modestus having infinuated that the offenders in the rescue may still be brought to a trial, any attempt to pre-judge the cause would be highly improper, ib.; if the gentlemen whose conduct is in question, are not brought to a trial, the Duke of Grafton shall hear from him again, ib.; leaves it to his countrymen to determine whether he is moved by malevolence, or animated by a just purpose of obtaining a fatisfaction to the laws of the country, 125; to his Grace the Duke of Grafton, ib.; Junius gives his Grace credit for his difcretion, in refusing Mr. Vaughan's proposals, ib.; asks what was the price of Mr. Hine's patent, ib.; and whether the Duke dares to complain of an attack upon his own honour, while he is felling the favours of the crown, 126; to his Grace the Duke of Grafton, ib.; Junius is furprifed at the filence of his Grace's friends to the charge of having fold a patent place, ib.; the price at which the place was knocked down, ib. 127; that there is none of all hi Grace's friends hardy enough to deny the charge, 127; that Mr. Vaughan's offer amounted to a high mildemeanor, 128; the opinion of a learned judge on this matter (a) 294; to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, 128; Junius supposes a well-intentioned prince asking advice for the happiness of his subjects, 129; and an honest man, when permitted to approach a king, in what terms he would address his fovereign, ib.; he separates the amiable prince from the folly and treachery of his fervants, 130; and that the king thould diftinguish betwixt his own dignity and what ferves only to promote

the interest and ambition of a minifter, ib.; that he should withdraw his confidence from all parties, and confult his own understanding, ib.; that there is an original bias in his education, 191; that a little personal motive was able to remove the ablest fervants of the crown, ib.; that Mr. Wilkes, though he attacked the favourite, was unworthy of a king's personal resentment, 132; that the destruction of one man has been for years the fole object of government, ib.; that his ministers have forced the subjects from wishing well to the cause of one man to unite with him in their own, 133; that nothing less than a repeal of a certain refolution, can heal the wound given to the constitution, ib.; if an English king be hated or despised, he must be unhappy, ib.; that the prince takes the fense of the army from the conduct of the guards, as he does that of the people from the representations of the ministry, 137; that the House of Commons have attributed to their own vote an authority equal to an act of the legislature, 139; to the Duke of Grafton, 141; in his public character, he has injured every fubject of the empire, 142; at the most active period of life, he must quit the bufy scene, and conceal himself from the world, ib.; that the neglect of the remonstrances and petitions was part of his ori-ginal plan of government, 143; the fituation in which he abandoned his royal master, ib.; that he either differed from his colleagues, or thought the admini-Aration no longer tenible, 145; that he began with berraying the people, and concluded with betraying the king, ib.; Junius takes leave of the Duke 147; to the Printer of the Public Advertifer, 148; the king's answer to the city remonstrance con-

fidered, ib. the grievances of the people aggravated by infults, 149; if any part of the represen-tative body be not chosen by the people, that part vitiates and corrupts the whole, ib.; instead of an answer to the petition, his majesty pronounces his own panegyric, 150; whether the remonstrance be or be not injurious to the parliament, is the very question between the parliament and the people, 151; the city of London has not defired the king to assume a power placed in o-ther hands, ib.; they call upon him to make use of his royal prerogative, 152; to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, ib.; that the king's answer to the city remonstrance, is only the fentiments of the minister, ib; the confequences, however, materially affeet his majesty's honour, 153; he should never appear but in an amiable light to his subjects, ib.; his majesty introduced too often in the present reign to act for or defend his servants, 155; an appeal to his Majesty's judgment, 156; addresses from parliament confidered as a fashionable unmeaning formality, ib.; the consequences of them considered, when supposed to mean what they profess, ib.; to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, 157; while parliament was fitting, it would neither have been fafe nor regular to offer any opinion concerning their proceedings, ib.; we had a fight to expect something from their prudence, and fomething from their fears, 158; the majority of the House of Lords join with the other House, 160; they would hardly have yielded so much to the other House, without the certainty of a compensation, 161; the House of Commons did not vindicate their own dignity, when grossly attacked, 162; the bufiness ofthe fession after voting the suppl cl

th

fo

0

t

j

i

i

plies, and fettling the Middlefex . election, 163; the fituation of the king after the prorogation of parliament, 164; to Lord North, 166; the honour of rewarding Mr. Luttrell's fervices referved for his Lordship, ib.; is called upon to tell who advised the kin to appoint Colonel Luttrell Adjutant-General to the army of Ireland, 267; fome fecret purpole in view by fuch an appointment, ib.; to Lord Mansfield, 168; the danger of writing to his Lordship, as he becomes party and judge, ib.; a tribute paid to his Scotch fincerity, ib.; that he confoles himself for the loss of a favourite family, by reviving the maxims of their government, 169; that his maxims of jurisprudence direct his interpretation of the laws, and treatment of juries, 170; that the Court of King's Bench becomes thereby a court of equity, 171; his conduct with regard to Bingley's affair, ih.; that he invades the province of a jury in the matter of libel, 172; that his charge to the jury in the profecution against Almon and Woodfall, contradicted the highest legal authorities, ib.; that he ordered a special juryman to be set afide, without any legal objection, 173; is accused of having done great mischiefs to this country as a minister, 174; to the Printer of the Public Advertiser 176; violence and oppression at home supported by treachery and fubmission abroad, 177; the plan of domestic policy from his majefty's accession to the throne, engroffes all the attention of his fervants, ib.; the expedition of the Spaniards against Port Egmont, ib.; his majefty's ship detained in port above twenty days, 178; the king's speech, Nov. 1770, confidered, ib.; if the actual fituation of Europe be con-fidered, when the affair of Port Egmont happened, the treachery

of

ts,

n-

he

T-

of

is

a-

e-

us

ry

nt

of

og o•

ac

è-

of

at

e-

ts

e-

f-

3;

un

.;

or

p-

nt

1-

d,

at

er

it

10

-

d

f

c.

of it

of the king's fervants mult appear in the ftrongest colours, 181; a most favourable opportunity lost, ib.; the materials of a fable from the affair of Port Egmont, ib.; to the Printer of the Public Advertifer, 186; nothing now to be apprehended from prerogative, but much from undue influence, 187; our political climate feverely akered, 188; the nature and origin of privileges tracedand confidered, 190; to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, 197; an extract from the journals of the House of Commons, 198; a question or two put thereupon to the advocates for privilege, ib.; to the Duke of Grafton, 200; that his Majesty would in vain have looked round the kingdom for a character fo confummate as his Grace's, 201; that his Grace did not neglect the magistrate. while he flattered the man, 202; that he has merit in abundance to recommend him to the fove-reign, ib.; that he has never formed a friendship which has not been fatal to the object of it, 204; the fervices he h his master, have been faithfulty recorded, ib.; his Grace's re-aprecorded, ib.; his Grace's re-ap-pointment to a feat in the cabinet, how to announce to the public, 205; the Duke is the pillow on which Junius proposes to rest all his resentments, 206; to the Rev. Mr. Horne, 209; from Mr. Horne's own letters, he is suppo-fed to have fold himself to the ministry, ib.; in order to gratify his personal hatred to Mr. Wilkes, that he facrificed the cause of the country as far as was in his power, 210; when the public expected discoveries, highly interesting to the community, from Mr. Horne, what a pitiful detail was produced, ib.; he has fo little power to do mischief, that it is much to be questioned if the ministry will adhere to the promifes they may have made him, ib.; to the Rev.

Mr. Horne, 221; if any coarse expressions have escaped Junius, he agrees they are unfit for his pen, but that they may not have been improperly applied, 222; upon Mr. Horne's terms, there is no danger in being a patriot, 224; by what gentle degrees his perfecuting zeal has foftened into moderation, \$25; an high enco-mium on Lord Chatham, ib.; what excuse can Mr. Horne make for labouring to promote fuch a confummately bad man as Mr. Wilkes to a station of fuch trust and importance, 227; the best of princes not displeased with the abuse thrown upon his ostensible ministers, 228; to the Duke of Grafton, 232; that he has done as much mischief to the community as Cromwell would have done, had he been a coward, ib.; the enormous excelles through which court-influence has fafely conducted his Grace, without a ray of real understanding, ib.; it is like the universal passport of an ambassador, ib.; his majesty in want of money, and the navy in want of timber, 234; a warrant made out for cutting down any trees in Whittlebury Forest, of which the Duke is hereditary ranger, 235; his Grace's behaviour on this oceasion, ib.; to the Livery of London, 237; that the election of their chief magifirste was a point in which every member of the community was interested, ib.; the question to those who mean fairly to the li-berty of the people, lies within a very narrow compais, ib.; Mr. Naih's character confidered as a magistrate and a public man, ib.; he cannot alter his conduct, without confessing that he never acted upon principle of any kind, 238; to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, 239; Junius la-ments the unhappy differences which have arisen among the friends of the people, ib.; the infidious partizan who foments the disorder, sees the fruit of his industry ripen beyond his hopes, ib.; that Mr. Wilkes has no re-fource but in the public favour, 240; that Mr. Sawbridge has shown himself possessed of that republican -firmnels which the times require, 241; the right of preffing founded originally upon a necessity which supersedes all argument, 242; the deligns of Lord Mansfield fubtle, effectual, and fecure, 243, 244; we should not reject the services or friendship of any man, because he differs from us in a particular opinion, 244; patriotifm, it feems, may be improved by transplanting, 245; Junius defended in three material points, 256; charges Lord Mansfield with doing what was illegal in bailing Eyre, 258; engages to make good his charge, 259; to the Duke of Grafton, ib.; the miserable depression of his Grace, when almost every man in the kingdom was exulting in the defeat of Sir James Lowther, 260; that he violates his own rules of decorunt, when he does not infult the man whom he has betrayed, ib.; to Lord Chef-Justice Mansfield, 262; Junius undertakes to prove the charge against his Lordship, ib.; that the fuperior power of bailing for felony, claimed by the Court of King's Bench, has only the negative affent of the legislature, 263; that a person positively charged with feloniously stealing, and taken with the stolen goods upon him, is not bailable, 264; authorities quoted to support this opi-nion, 265; the leveral statutes relative to bail in criminal cases, stated in due order, ib.; the law, as stated, applied to the case of John Eyre, who was committed for felony, 277; to the Right Honourable Lord Camden, 281; Junius calls upon his Lordship to stand forth in defence of the laws

of his country, ib.; extract of a letter from Junius to Mr. Wilkes,

LIGONIER, Lord, the army taken from him, much against his in-

clination, 31.

the

in-

pes,

re-

our,

has

that

the

it of

pon

all s of

tual,

puld

end-

dif-

opi-

ems,

ant-

hree

rges

vhat

158;

rge, ib.;

his

man

g im

her,

own

does

: bas

Ref-

nius arge

that for rt bi

ega-

263;

rged l ta-

роп

tho-

opi-

tutes afes,

law,

le of

itted

Ho-

Ju-

p to laws London, City of, has given an example in what manner a king of this country should be addressed,

LOTTERY, the worst way of raising money upon the people, 20.

LOYALTY, what it is, 17.

LUTTRELL, Mr. patronized by the Duke of Grafton with fuccess, 49. the affertion, that two-thirds of the nation approve of his admiftion into parliament, cannot be maintained nor confuted by argument, 60; the appointment of, invades the foundations of the laws themselves, 66; a strain of profitution in his character admired for its lingularity, 166,

NN burgefles of, re-elect Mr. Walpole, after being expell

d'Quirr; the king's warrant for his pardon (k) 288; the par-doning of him much blamed, and the reasons alleged for so doing ne alleged for fo doing.

MANILLA ranform dishenourably given up, 29; the ministers laid to be desirous to do justice in this affair, but their effect in

vain, 36.

MANSFIELD, Lord, extraded his speech in the Court of Ki Bench, in regard to the off money made by Vaughan to the Duke of Grafton, for the reverfion of a place (z) 294; a tribute paid by Junius to his Scotch fin-cerity, 168; that his Lordship had fome original attachments which he took every opportunity to acknowledge, 169; is charged with reviving the maxims of gosernment of his favourite family, ib.; that he follows an uniform

plan, to enlarge the power of the crown, ib.; that he labours to contract the power of the jury, 170, 174; that instead of pos tive rules, by which a court should be determined, he has introduced his own unfettled notions of equity, 170, 172; his conduct in regard to Bingley's confinement, and release, 171; his charge to the jury in cases of libel, contradicts the highest legal authorities 172; his Lordship reminded of the name of Benfon, 173; charged with doing much mischief to this country, as a minister, 174; the suspicious applause given by him to Lord Chatham, 249; the doctrine he delivers to a jury, ib.; his reasons for challenging a juryman, 250; accused of endeavouring to screen the king's bro-ther, as I; charged by Junius for

a capt of a (9) 2931 2 90

on this fub

MIDDUISEE, L tended with lequence fo

with duced a fudden and extraordinary change within these services in Great Britain, ih.; the conduct the misconduct of and character, not the description of ministers, the cause of national calamities, 29; the minister who by corruption invades the freedo of election, and the ruffian who by open violence destroys that freedom, embasked in the same bottom, 43; he is the tenant of the day, and has no interest in

the inheritance, 157.

Modernes charges Junius with ab-furdity in his writings, 113; can-not diffinguish between a farcasm and a contradiction, ib.; is accufed of milquoting what Junius fays of confeience, and making the fentence ridiculous, by making it his own, 114.

eskonave, Dr. his firmines and integrity on his examination before the House of Commons, 161.

THEN SAME

Nasn, Mr. his behaviour as a magiftrate and a public man, confiered, 237.

NATION, when the fafety of it is at ftake, fuspicion is a sufficient

ground for inquiry, 17.

aru; Lord, Chanceller of the think feriovily, before he in-creases the public debt, ib.; the palm of minimum of feriod palm of manufacial firmuels trans-ferred to his Bordship, 147; his boasted firmuels and consistency, 153 but the means in his pos-terior, of reducing all the four per cents at some 162, 162, but he per cents at once, 163; had the honour of rewarding Mr. Luttrell's fervices, 166; is called upon by Junius to tell who advised the king to appoint Colonel Luttrell Adjutant-General of the army of Ireland, 167; the not have time to trish simy, 168; pe Bute and the Princels Downger,

Nove, Mr. Attorney-General, his opinion of the privilege of the House of Commons, to commit for contempt, 197.

OLD Nort destined to be the ruin of the House of Stuart, 60; does not deny that Corfica has been facrificed to the French, 62.

PARSONS, Ann, mistresstothe Dake of Grafton, 51; led into public by his Grace, and placed at the head of his table, 58; handed through the Opera-house in presence of the queen, by the First Lord of the Treasury, 6r.

PARTIES, the idea of uniting does not produce the falutary effects

intended thereby, 18.

PEOPLE, submission of a free, a compliance with laws which they themselves have enacted, 17; in reading the history of, how we become interested in their cause, ib.; an impartial administration of justice, the firmest bond to engage their affections to government, 23.

PERCY, Earl, placed at the head of a regiment, 34; aid-de-camp to the king, and had the rank of colonel before he had the regiment,

BILO JUNIUS to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, 58; that the Duke of Gaspon's friends, in the contest with Junius, are reduced to the general charge of feurrility and falfehood, ib.; the truth of Junius's facts of importance to the public, ib.; a revifal and confideration of them, as they appeared in Letter XII. ib.; an-other letter of his to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, 60; that in the whole course of the Duke of Grafton's life, there is a strange endeavour to unite contradicdecorum should never be forgiven, 61; the Duke of Grafton's conduct in this respect, ib.; his Grace has always fome reafon for deferting his friends, ib.; to the Printer of the Public Advertifer, 72; the objections of G. A. to Junius's state of the question as to the Middlesex election, considered, ib.; to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, 78; that a correspondent of the

St. James's Evening Post, mifunderstood Junius, ib.; that it appears evident that Dr. Blackftone never once thought of his Commentaries, when speaking in the House of Commons, until the contradiction was urged, 79; Philo Junius defends Junius's construction of the vote against Mr. Walpole (c) 291; charges the ministry with introducing a new fystem of logic, which he ealls Argument against Fact, 91; to the Printer of the Public Advertifer, 112; that he is affured Junius will never descend to a dispute with such a writer as Modestus, ib.; an examination of the inftances brought to fupport the charge of Junius being an Irishman, ib. &c.; that Modeftus misquotes what Junius says of conscience, and makes the sentence ridiculous, by making it his own, 114; to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, 183; that Anti-Junius triumphs in having, as he supposes, cut off an outpost of Junius, ib.; that Junius of not fpeak of the Spanish nation. e Spanish court, as the matural enemies of England, ib.; if it were not the respect he bears the minister, he could name a man who, without one grain of understanding, can do half as much as Oliver Cromwell, 184; as to a fecret system in the el that this can only be determin by appearances, 184, 185; the queries put by Anti-Junius can be only answered by the miniftry, 185; to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, 186; that those who object to detached parts of Junius's last letter, do not mean fairly, or have not confidered the scope of his argument, 193; that Junius does not expect a diffolution of parliament will destroy corruption, but will be a terror and check to their fucceffors, 194; to the Printer of

ke

blic

the

ded

rc-

irft

ocs

cts

ey

7 ;

we

fe,

on

n-

n-

of

to

0-

st,

in

C-

σf

ie

al Y

1

11

e

.

;

the Public Advertiser, ib.; Junius's confiruction of the vote, declaring Mr. Walpole's incapacity, ib; a quotation from a tract of Lord Sommers, to suppe this construction, 195; this construction be admitted, Commons must be reduced to the necessity of maintaining one of the groffest absurdities, ib. ; that the House of Commons certainly did not forefee one effect pro-Middleser election, 196; to the Printer of the Public Advertiser, ib.; the doctrine of Junius concerning the power of the Commons to commit for contempt not new, ib.; tally exactly with the opinions of Attorney-General Noye and Sir Edward Coke, 197; to the Printer of the Public A vertifer, 229; the vanity an piety of January perpetual topics of abole, ib.; the proofs brought to support such charges, and impiety, the charges of variey and impiety. proved to defirey the Printer of the tifer, 246; that Junina's inclination leads him to treat Lord Camden with particular respect and candour, ib.; that his Lordship overstoot himself, in asserting the proclamation against exporting corn, was legal, 247; to Zeno, 248; that the sophistry of this author's letter, in defence of Lord Mansfield, is rapted to the tharacter he defends, ib.; the sufficient applicable given by his picious applaufe given by his Lordship to the man he detests, 249; his doctrine, as delivered to a jury, ib.; his challenging a juryman, 250; is accused of endeavouring to fcreen the king's brother, 251; and incessantlylabouring to introduce new modes of proceeding in the court where he prefides, 252; to an Advocate in the Cause of the People, Oij

253; the difference betwixt general-warrants and prefs-warrants stated and explained, ib.

Pope, Mr. extract of a letter of his to Dr. Arbuthnot (q) 293.

WEETOGE, Mr. has shown himself possessed of that republican firmness which the times require, 241.

SHELBURNE, Lord, applied to in regard to the Manilla ransom, 36.

Sommers, Lord, a quotation from his tract upon the Rights of the People (c) 291.

STAMP-ACT made and repealed, 21. STARLING, Solomon, apothecary, his opinion in regard to the death of Clarke, who received a blow at the Brentford election (k). 289.

STATE, the principal departmentsof, when improperly bestowed, the cause of every mischief, 19.

the public gratuide has not been

count to his deferts, 241.

overer, Mr. in his most prosperous fortune, the same man as at prefent, 205.

VAUGHAN, Mr. fends proposals to the Duke of Grafton, 125; his offers to the Duke amounted to a high mifdemenor, 128; a profecution commenced against him (2) 294; the matter folemnly argued in the Court of King's Bench, ib.; Junius does justice to this injured man, 146.

Weston, Edward, a letter to him from Junius, 47; quotations from this pamphlet, in defence of the

South will are not 273 Tr 17102 202 C

: A 200 人 200 人 200 人 in want of a late to pardoning M'Quirk, with remarks, ib.

WALFOLE Mr. his case supposed to be firictly in point, to prove expulsion creates incapacity of being re-elected, 80; the vote of expulsion, as expressed in the votes, 86; remarks upon its meaning and extent (b), 290; the election was declared void, 87.

WEYMOUTH, Lord, appointed one of the Secretaries of State, 22; nominated to Ireland, 98.

WHITTLEBURY Forest, the Duke of Grafton hereditary ranger of, 234; the right to the timber claimed by his Grace, 235.

WILKES, Mr. hisconduct often cenfured by Junius, 46; suffered to appear at large, and to canvals for the city and county, with an outlawry hanging over him, 48; his fituation and private character gave the ministry advantages over him, 50; it is perhaps the greatest misfortune of his life, that the Duke of Grafton had fo many compensations to make in the closet for his former friendship with him, 54; faid more than moderate men would justify, 132; hardly ferious at first, he became an onthufiast, ib.; commissions Mr. Thomas Walpole to folicit a pension for him, 218; comes over from France to England, where he gets 200 l. from the Duke of Portland and Lord Rockingham, ib.

WOOLASTON, Mr. expelled, reelected, and admitted into the fame parliament, 88; the public left to determine whether this be a plain matter of fact, 90.

YATES, Mr. Justice, quits the Court of King's Bench, 171.

THE END.